

SONOMA COUNTY

575 ADMINISTRATION DRIVE, ROOM 102A SANTA ROSA, CA 95403

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2024-0735

Type: Regular Calendar Item Status: Passed

File created: 6/4/2024 In control: County Counsel

On agenda: 7/9/2024 Final action: 7/9/2024

Title: Initiative Petition for Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations

Ordinance.

Sponsors: County Counsel

Indexes:

Attachments: 1. Summary Report.pdf, 2. CAFO Initiative Revised Resolution for 11-5-24 Election remediated.pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/9/2024	1	Board of Supervisors	Approved as recommended	

To: Board of Supervisors

Department or Agency Name(s): County Counsel

Staff Name and Phone Number: Chief Deputy Joshua Myers (707) 565-2421

Vote Requirement: Majority

Supervisorial District(s): Countywide

Title:

Initiative Petition for Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Ordinance.

Recommended Action:

- A) Adopt a Resolution modifying Resolution 24-0197 that placed the Initiative Petition for Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Ordinance on the November 5, 2024, ballot to align with Elections Code section 13119.
- B) Consider delegation of authority to Chair to write "argument against" measure in the ballot materials provided to the voters.

Executive Summary:

At the May 14, 2024, Board meeting, your Board adopted Resolution No. 24-0197, which placed the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) Ordinance Measure on the November 5, 2024, general Presidential election ballot. Resolution No. 24-0197 also contained ballot question language that has since been determined to be incompatible with the mandates of Elections Code section 13119, subdivision (c), which requires that the "statement of the measure shall be a true and impartial synopsis of the purpose of the proposed measure, and shall be in language that is neither argumentative nor likely to create prejudice for or against the measure." The proposed revised resolution removes the problematic language. All other terms and conditions of Resolution No. 24-0197 remain unchanged.

Further, your Board could consider delegating authority to the Chair to draft the "argument against" the CAFO measure in the ballot materials supplied to the Voters.

Discussion:

File #: 2024-0735, Version: 1

Resolution Modifying Resolution 24-0197

On April 14, 2024, your Board accepted the Registrar of Voter's certificate of sufficiency demonstrating that the proponents of the Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Initiative Petition gathered sufficient valid signatures to qualify the initiative for the ballot. Further, pursuant to Elections Code sections 9111 and 9115, your Board requested reports on the impact of the CAFO Measure from County agencies and departments.

On May 14, 2024, your Board received reports from County agencies and departments pursuant to Elections Code sections 9111 and 9118 and adopted Resolution No. 24-0197 that placed the CAFO Measure on the November 5, 2024, Presidential general election.

The Elections Code does not contain detailed instructions for crafting the ballot question language. The ballot statement or question must only be 75 words long, and Elections Code section 13119, subdivision (c) requires that the "statement of the measure shall be a true and impartial synopsis of the purpose of the proposed measure, and shall be in language that is neither argumentative nor likely to create prejudice for or against the measure."

Resolution No. 24-0197 contained the following ballot question language:

YES
NO

After further review, staff believes the initial phrase "To promote animal welfare, water quality, and other goals," violates the requirement in Election Code section 13119, subdivision(c), that the ballot question must be "neither argumentative nor likely to create prejudice for or against the measure."

As described in the County agency and department reports received by the Board on May 14, 2024, there is little evidence that adopting the CAFO measure would promote animal welfare or water quality in Sonoma County. For example, if the CAFO measure passes, and many Sonoma County animal production farms must cease operations, then the animals at those farms would be sold or shipped to other farms outside of Sonoma County, thereby not promoting those animals' welfare. Additionally, the CAFO definition comes from the federal Environmental Protection Agency in relations to waste discharges into protected waterways. Currently, there are no farms in Sonoma that actually discharge waste into protected waterways. Finally, the language says nothing about the negative impact on Sonoma County the CAFO Measure would have by undermining local food security, jeopardizing existing fire breaks, hurting the local economy, eliminating carbon

File #: 2024-0735, Version: 1

sequestration opportunities, and impose an unfunded mandate on government services, as described in the County agency and department reports presented to the Board on May 14, 2024.

Therefore, staff recommend adopting the attached resolution that revises the ballot question language to remove the initial phrase "To promote animal welfare, water quality, and other goals," but keep unchanged the remaining terms and conditions of Resolution No. 24-0197. The ballot question language would then only contain neutral language regarding the changes made by the CAFO Measures, if adopted.

Argument in Opposition to Measure

In addition, your Board may decide to draft the official argument against the CAFO measure that is included in the county voter information guide. Elections Code section 9162, subdivision (a), states: "The board of supervisors or any member or members of the board, or an individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure, or bona fide association of citizens, or a combination of these voters and associations may file a written argument for or against any county measure. An argument shall not exceed 300 words in length. The county elections official shall cause an argument for and an argument against the measure, and the analysis of the measure, to be printed, and shall enclose a copy of both arguments preceded by the analysis with each county voter information guide." Additionally, your Board may either draft a rebuttal argument to whoever drafts the argument for the CAFO measure. See Elections Code section 9167.

If your Board decides to author the argument against, staff recommends delegating authority to do so to the Chair.

Strates	zic E)lan:
Juales	SIC F	iaii.

N/A

Racial Equity:

Was this item identified as an opportunity to apply the Racial Equity Toolkit?

Prior Board Actions:

On April 14, 2024, your Board accepted the sufficiency from the Registrar of Voters demonstrating that the proponents of the Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Initiative Petition gathered sufficient valid signatures to qualify the initiative for the ballot and ordered County agencies and departments to report on the impacts of the Initiative Petition.

On May 14, 2024, your Board received reports from County agencies and departments pursuant to Elections Code sections 9111 and 9118 and adopted Resolution No. 24-0197 that placed the CAFO Measure on the November 5, 2024, Presidential general election.

FISCAL SUMMARY

		FY26-27 Projected
Budgeted Expenses		
Additional Appropriation Requested		

Total Expenditures		
Funding Sources		
General Fund/WA GF		
State/Federal		
Fees/Other		
Use of Fund Balance		
General Fund Contingencies		
Total Sources		

Narrative Explanation of Fiscal Impacts:

File #: 2024-0735, Version: 1

There is no fiscal impact related to this item.

Staffing Impacts:			
Position Title (Payroll Classification)	Monthly Salary Range (A-I Step)	Additions (Number)	Deletions (Number)

Narrative Explanation of Staffing Impacts (If Required):

N/A

Attachments:

CAFO Initiative Revised Resolution for November 5, 2024 Election

Related Items "On File" with the Clerk of the Board:

None.