

County Administrator's Office Office of County Counsel

# County PG&E Funds Allocation to Renewal Enterprise District

May 11, 2021

### **Recommended Actions**

- 1. Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the First Amendment to Renewal Enterprise District Agency Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement.
- 2. Receive an update and information about the proposed Renewal Enterprise District (RED) Housing Fund.
- 3. Consider policy options related to the County's \$10 million contribution to the Renewal Enterprise District Housing Fund and provide direction to staff.
- 4. Authorize the County Administrator, in consultation with County Counsel, to execute a nonbinding term sheet that reflects the Board's direction to staff and that will form the basis of the future, binding funding agreement and related documents.
- 5. Authorize the County Administrator, in consultation with County Counsel, to execute a funding agreement and other related documents for the \$10 million contribution with the Renewal Enterprise District Housing Fund.



## **Renewal Enterprise District (RED)**

- In December 2018, the County and the City of Santa Rosa established the RED as a joint powers authority (JPA) to implement a shared vision for regional housing development and to accelerate the production of mid to high-density infill housing in transit rich areas.
- The RED Board includes two representatives each from the County Board of Supervisors and the City of Santa Rosa City Council
  - Supervisors Rabbitt and Coursey
  - Councilmembers Tibbetts and Fleming



# **RED Housing Fund Policy Options**

- Form of contribution
  - Performance criteria / measurables
- Geographic limitations
- Use of County funds
- Short-term rentals
- Administrative costs



# Form of Contribution: Grant vs. Loan

#### Grant

- Pros:
  - Eliminates need for subsequent action by board
- Cons:
  - Provides less control over use of funds
    - Will likely want to consider performance criteria and measurables

#### Loan

- Pros:
  - Provides greater control over use of funds
- Cons:
  - Limited term
  - Will require future actions by board



# **Geographical Limitations**

- City's Approach
  - City funds can only be used in City limits
  - Initial focus limited to downtown Santa Rosa
- County's Options
  - Unincorporated areas coming online (pending Specific Plans/Priority Development Areas; pending housing sites rezoning/Rural Community Investment Areas)
  - Limit use of County funds to unincorporated areas
  - Require some equity between incorporated and unincorporated areas
    - RHNA sharing options
    - Other City-County/regional housing initiatives



## **Use of County Funds**

- Market Rate Projects
  - Residential Use Restrictions
- Mixed Use Projects
- Affordability Requirements
- Entitled Projects vs. In the Pipeline



### **Short-term Rentals**

- City's Approach
- County Options
  - Complete Ban
    - Affordable projects
    - Any time County funds used
  - Impose Limited Restriction on Market Rate Units



## **Administrative Costs**

- Administrative Costs
  - Housing Fund administrative costs
    - Principal vs. Interest
  - Renewal Enterprise District Administrative Costs
  - Maximum Cap
- Soft Costs
  - Absolute ban
  - Allow for qualified affordable projects



# **Summary of Policy Options**

- Form of contribution
  - Performance criteria / measurables
- Geographic limitations
- Use of County funds
- Short-term rentals
- Administrative / soft costs

