

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 20C0248

Facility Carried: LAMBERT BRIDGE RD

Location : 0.4 MI W OF DRY CREEK RD

20C0248/AAAX/41482

City :

Inspection Date: 07/24/2017

Inspection Type

Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other

Х

STRUCTURE NAME: DRY CREEK

#### CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1915 Skew (degrees): 0
Year Modified: N/A No. of Joints : 2
Length (m) : 57.9 No. of Hinges : 0

Structure Description: Through steel pinned Parker Truss with RC deck on RC seat abutments

with monolithic wingwalls on spread footings

Span Configuration :1 @ 185.3 ft

#### SAFE LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: RF=0.56 =>18.1 metric tons Calculation Method: LOAD FACTOR Operating Rating: RF=0.94 =>30.5 metric tons Calculation Method: LOAD FACTOR

Permit Rating : XXXXX

Posting Load : Type 3: Legal Type 3S2: Legal Type 3-3:Legal

## DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.3 ft br, 16.4 ft, 0.3 ft br

Total Width: 5.2 m Net Width: 5.0 m No. of Lanes: 2 Speed: 15 mph

Min. Vertical Clearance: 4.01 m Overlay Thickness: 0.0 inches

Rail Code: 0000

Rail Type	Location	Length (ft) Ra	il Modifications		
Misc.	Right/Left	460			
Steel					

#### DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Bed rock, sand, gravel, brush

#### NOTICE

The bridge inspection condition assessment used for this inspection is based on the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Bridge Element Inspection Manual 2013 as defined in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal law. The new element inspection methodology may result in changes to related condition and appraisal ratings on the bridge without significant physical changes at the bridge.

The element condition information contained in this report represents the current condition of the bridge based on the most recent routine and special inspections. Some of the notes presented below may be from an inspection that occurred prior to the date noted in this report. Refer to the Scope and Access section of this inspection report for a description of which portions of the bridge were inspected on this date.

#### INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SCOPE AND ACCESS

This inspection was performed by walking on and about the structure. All visible elements were inspected.

Water in the channel was up to 2 feet deep and both abutments were in the dry. All substructure elements above ground were visible for inspection.

This bridge has the following fracture critical steel elements: lower chord truss

Printed on: Tuesday 01/16/2018 02:11 PM

#### INSPECTION COMMENTARY

members, diagonal tension truss members, vertical truss members, and floor beams. A close-up investigation of these members was conducted by the Caltrans Fracture Critical Inspection Team on 5/10/2017 in accordance with the Fracture Critical Member Inspection Plan dated 06/14/2007.

#### SUPERSTRUCTURE

5/10/2017 FC Inspection: Pack rust and pitting was identified at all of the pin and eyebar connections along the bottom chord of the truss. Pitting was generally 0.06 - 0.125 inches deep, with pack rust ranging from 0.125 - 0.625 inches. Pitting up to 0.125 inches was also identified near the pin/eyebar connection on the top chord. As a result of the pack rust, diagonals are typically distorted near the pin/eyebar connections. A summary of pack rust and section loss measurements in inches is included in the table below.

Panel Point		Left	Right		
	Pack rust	Section loss	Pack rust Sec	tion loss	
L0	0	0	0	0	
L1	0.25	0	0.625	0.125	
L2	0.25	0	0.5	0.06	
L3	0.5	0.125	0.625	0.125	
L4	0.5	0.125	0.5	0.06	
L5	0.375	0.125	0.375	0.06	
L6	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.06	
L7	0.5	0.06	0.375	0.06	
L8	0.25	0.06	0.125	0	
L9	0.625	0.25	0.375	0.06	
L10	0	0	0	0	

The pack rust and section loss at the lower panel points was observed from the deck roadway during the 2015 FC investigation and the condition of the panel point connections do not appear to have changed from the deterioration quantities shown in the above table. See work recommendation dated 08/03/2000 and archived photos dated 2009 and 2011.

#### DECK AND ROADWAY

This bridge lacks an approach rail at the northwest corner. An approach rail would protect the end post of the bridge railing and the truss. See work recommendation dated 06/27/2001 and Photo #4.

#### SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

A Load Rating Summary Sheet dated 1/07/2014 is on file for this structure based on LFR VIRTIS 6.5.0 AASHTO computer calculations dated 12/16/2013. While this report does not include a check of that analysis, it does verify that the structural conditions observed during this inspection are consistent with those assumed in that analysis.

#### OPERATIONAL SIGNS

As seen in Photos #1 and #2, signs stating the load limitations of this structure are present at both approaches to the bridge:

Weight Limit

Printed on: Tuesday 01/16/2018 02:11 PM

#### INSPECTION COMMENTARY

- 15 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 17 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 17 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

Signs Reading, "ONE LANE BRIDGE" were also present. See Photo #3.

#### EXISTING POSTING

In accordance with County Ordinance No. 2652 dated 6/26/80, existing posting is as follows:

Weight Limit

- 15 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 17 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 17 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

#### RESCIND POSTING

New load capacity calculations have determined that the existing ordinance dated June 26, 1980 is no longer applicable and posting the bridge for less than legal loads is no longer required. The county should repeal or modify the existing ordinance to remove the posting on this bridge. A posting rescission letter dated 3/14/2014 was sent to the County of Sonoma to this effect.

#### STEEL INVESTIGATIONS

This structure qualifies for an in-depth Steel investigation because it possesses the following fracture critical or fatigue prone details:

Floor Beams: FC Members, Truss: FC Members with Eyebars

Fracture Critical: Yes

Inspection Freq.: 24 Next Inspection: 05/10/2019

No.	Prot	ect Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units	-		ondition St. 3	
12		Deck-RC	2	302	sq.m	205	0	97	0
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	97		0	0	97	0
center	throughout	s severe size (0.09 inches wide) c, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so ation to treat the deck with meth	ffit cracki	ng wit	hout e	flores			
center	throughout	, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so	ffit cracki	ng wit	hout e	flores			
enter work	throughout	e, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so ation to treat the deck with meth	ffit cracki acrylate wa	ng wit	hout en	flores 2015.	cence.	See Pho	to #5.
enter work	throughout recommenda	t, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so ation to treat the deck with meth	ffit cracki acrylate wa 2	ng wit s ente 347	hout en	fflores 2015. 0	cence.	See Pho	oto #5.
enter work	throughout recommenda 1000 515	t, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so ation to treat the deck with meth Stringer-Steel Corrosion	ffit cracki acrylate wa 2 2	ng wit s ente 347 347	hout ei red in m	2015. 0 0	347 347	See Pho	0 0
enter work	throughout recommenda 1000 515	t, reflected by 0.04 inch wide so ation to treat the deck with meth Stringer-Steel Corrosion Steel Coating-Paint	ffit cracki acrylate wa 2 2 2	347 347 406	hout ei red in m	0 0 0	347 347 0	0 0 406	0 0 0

Printed on: Tuesday 01/16/2018 02:11 PM

## ELEMENT INSPECTION RATINGS AND COMMENTARY

Elem Defect Defect Element Description Env Total Units Qty in each Condition State

No. /Prot Qty St. 1 St. 2 St. 3 St. 4

and repaint	all superstructure elements was en	tered in 2000.						
120	Truss-Steel	2	116	m	0	98	18	0
1000	Corrosion	2	114		0	96	18	0
1900	Distortion	2	2		0	2	0	0
515	Steel Coating-Paint	2	638	sq.m	0	0	638	0
	3440 Effectiveness (Steel PC)	2	638		0	0	638	0

(120)

No fractures or cracks were found in the lower chord members, the diagonal tension members, or the vertical tension members during the 5/10/2017 Fracture Critical Member Inspection.

(120 - 1000)

Surface rust is present on all structural members. See Photo #6.

Significant pack rust and section loss was observed at several of the lower chord panel points between the vertical gusset plates and the horizontal and diagonal eye-bars. No significant section loss was observed in the diagonal or horizontal eye-bars at this time. See the main text section of this report for a detailed account of pack rust on the truss members.

(120-1900)

5/10/2017 FC Inspection: Minor distortion was identified in the U2 & L3 diagonal (approximately 0.25 inches over 3 feet), and in the U5 & L6 diagonal (approximately 0.125 inches over 2 feet).

(120-515-3440)

The paint system on this structure has largely failed. See Photo #6. A work recommendation to clean and repaint all superstructure elements was entered in 2000.

152	Floor Beam-Steel	2	44	m	0	44	0	0
1000	Corrosion	2	44		0	44	0	0
515	Steel Coating-Paint	2	53	sq.m	0	0	53	0
34	440 Effectiveness (Steel PC)	2	53		0	0	53	0

(152)

No fractures or cracks were found in the floor beams during the 5/10/2017 Fracture Critical Member Inspection.

(152-1000)

Surface rust is present on all structural members. See Photo #7.

(152-515-3440)

The paint system on this structure has largely failed. See Photo #7. A work recommendation to clean and repaint all superstructure elements was entered in 2000.

162	Steel Gusset	Plate	2	40	each	35	0	5	0
100	0 Corrosion		2	5		0	0	5	0

(162-1000)

5/10/2017 FC Inspection:

Up to 0.5 inches of pack rust and 0.125 inches of section loss were identified at the primary gusset plate/vertical connection at the right side of L1.

Approximately 0.75 inches of pack rust was also noted at the top of the end posts under the upper chord gusset plates at both end portals. The worst case is at U9R where light could be seen through the portal gusset plates where they were rusted through; however, the plates at U9L and U1L&R were in

No. /Pro	ct Defect Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units	-		Condition St. 3	
similar con	dition. See work recommendation d	lated 08/03/2000	and ar	chived	photos	dated	2009 and	2011.
215	Abutment-RC	2	23	m	21	2	0	0
1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	2		0	2	0	0
by approxim Maintenance	ngwall of Abutment 1 has cracked lately 18 inches, measured at the Engineer of Sonoma County, state ystem (work completed in 2002).	top of the wall.	See	Photo #	8. Gl	en Wal	lis, Brid	ge
220	Pile Cap/Footing-RC	2	4	m	0	4	0	0
6000	Scour	2	4		0	4	0	0
304 (304)	Joint-Open Expansion	2	5	m	5	0	0	0
	no significant defects noted.							
308	Joint-Steel Sliding Plate	<b>s</b> 2	5	m	5	0	0	0
(308)	no significant defects noted.							
There were	-							
There were	Bearing-Moveable	2	2	each	0	2	0	0
-brashele	Bearing-Moveable	2	2	each	0	2	0	0
2210 (311-2210) Decayed veg bearings madated 02/08 4.5 inches.	Bearing-Moveable  Movement (Bearings)  Tetative debris has accumulated in the service of the ser	a and around the on the rollers ar ween the edge of the rust from the	roller id bear the to	bearing place bearing bearings wa	ongs at attes (seing place	Abutme ee arc te and red in	nt 1, and hived pho the back 2015.	the to 13
311 2210 (311-2210) Decayed veg bearings madated 02/08 4.5 inches. 313 (313)	Bearing-Moveable  Movement (Bearings)  The cataline debris has accumulated in the cataline debris has accumulated in the catalogue of the cata	and around the on the rollers ar	roller the to	bearing pla	ongs at attes (seing plan	2 Abutme ee arc	nt 1, and hived pho the back	the
311 2210 (311-2210) Decayed veg bearings madated 02/08 4.5 inches. 313 (313)	Bearing-Moveable  Movement (Bearings)  Tetative debris has accumulated in the seized due to blanket rust of	a and around the on the rollers ar ween the edge of the rust from the	roller id bear the to	bearing place bearing bearings wa	ongs at attes (seing place	Abutme ee arc te and red in	nt 1, and hived pho the back 2015.	the to 13

## WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 08/18/2015

EstCost:

Clean the debris and rust from the roller

Action : Bearings-Clean

The steel lattice rail exhibits light surface rust throughout with no section loss.

StrTarget: 1 YEAR bearings at Abutment 1.

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

DistTarget:

Status : PROPOSED

#### WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 08/18/2015 EstCost: Treat the deck with methacrylate resin.

Action : Deck-Methacrylate StrTarget: 2 YEARS

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY DistTarget:

EA: Status : PROPOSED

RecDate: 06/27/2001 EstCost: Place approach rail at the northwest StrTarget: 2 YEARS corner of the west end of the truss. Action : Railing-Misc.

DistTarget: Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

Status : PROPOSED EA:

EstCost: Clean and repaint the superstructure RecDate: 08/03/2000

Action : Paint-Full Prep StrTarget: 2 YEARS steel throughout.

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY DistTarget:

Status : PROPOSED EA:

RecDate: 08/03/2000 EstCost: Repair, suplement or replace the upper StrTarget: 2 YEARS Action : Super-Misc. chord gusset plates at panel points U1

DistTarget: Work By: LOCAL AGENCY L&R and U9 L&R located at the top of both

Status : PROPOSED EA: end portals.

RecDate: 08/03/2000 EstCost: Repair, supplement or replace the Action : Super-Misc. StrTarget: 2 YEARS corroded vertical gusset plates at the

DistTarget: Work By: LOCAL AGENCY lower chord panel points.

Status : PROPOSED EA:

Shawn Hart Team Leader :

Report Author : Shawn Hart

Inspected By : S.Hart/JL.Burke

Shawn Hart (Registered Civil Engineer)

Printed on: Tuesday 01/16/2018 02:11 PM

ROFESSION

Shawn

Hart

No. 68403

09/30/2019 CIVIL

# STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	**************************************		**************************************
(1)	STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069		
(8)	STRUCTURE NUMBER 20C0248		STATUS STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 140000000		HEALTH INDEX 69.8
(2)	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 04		PAINT CONDITION INDEX = 33.0
(3)	COUNTY CODE 097 (4) PLACE CODE 00000		******** CLASSIFICATION ******** CODE
	FEATURE INTERSECTED- DRY CREEK	(112)	NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
(7)	FACILITY CARRIED- LAMBERT BRIDGE RD	(104)	HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON NHS 0
0 40 50	LOCATION- 0.4 MI W OF DRY CREEK RD	(26)	FUNCTIONAL CLASS- LOCAL RURAL 09
	MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0	(100)	DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
4 100	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0	(101)	PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
2	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE	(102)	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
34	LATITUDE 38 DEG 39 MIN 14.47 SEC	(103)	TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
	LONGITUDE 122 DEG 55 MIN 39.72 SEC	(105)	FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE 0
	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE	(110)	DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0
	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER	(20)	TOLL- ON FREE ROAD 3
(33)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NOMBER	(21)	MAINTAIN- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
1	******* STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL *******	(22)	OWNER- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- STEEL	(37)	HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- ELIGIBLE 2
	TYPE- TRUSS - THRU CODE 310		
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA		********* CONDITION ********** CODE
	TYPE- OTHER/NA CODE 000		DECK 4
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 1		SUPERSTRUCTURE 5
(46)	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0		SUBSTRUCTURE 7
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CIP CONCRETE CODE 1		CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 7
(108)	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(62)	CULVERTS
A)	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- NONE CODE 0		****** LOAD RATING AND POSTING ****** CODE
B)	TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0	(31)	DESIGN LOAD- UNKNOWN 0
C)	TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	the same of	OPERATING RATING METHOD- LOAD FACTOR 1
	******* AGE AND SERVICE *********		OPERATING RATING- 30.5
(27)	YEAR BUILT 1915		INVENTORY RATING METHOD- LOAD FACTOR 1
(106)	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000		INVENTORY RATING- 18.1
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1		BRIDGE POSTING- > 39.9% BELOW 0
	UNDER- WATERWAY 5	7 000	STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED- P
(28)	LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	(/	DESCRIPTION- POSTED FOR LOAD
	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 838		
(30)	YEAR OF ADT 2008 (109) TRUCK ADT 1 %		********** APPRAISAL ********** CODE
(19)	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 18 KM	(67)	STRUCTURAL EVALUATION 5
	******** GEOMETRIC DATA **********	(68)	DECK GEOMETRY 2
(48)	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 56.4 M		UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N
(49)	STRUCTURE LENGTH 57.9 M	2 3 30	WATER ADEQUACY 7
(50)	CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.0 M RIGHT 0.0 M		APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 3
(51)	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 5.0 M		TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES 0000
(52)	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 5.2 M	(113)	SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES 8
(32)	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 5.8 M		****** PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
	BRIDGE MEDIAN- NO MEDIAN 0	(75)	TYPE OF WORK- REPLACE FOR DEFICIENC CODE 31
(34)	SKEW 0 DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARED NO		LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT 57.9 M
(10)	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 3.98 M		BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$690,000
(47)	INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 5.0 M		ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$138,000
(53)	MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 4.01 M	(96)	TOTAL PROJECT COST \$1,159,200
	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M		YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE 2017
	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M		FUTURE ADT 1250
(56)	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M		YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2037
	************* NAVIGATION DATA **********		
(38)	NAVIGATION CONTROL- NO CONTROL CODE 0		**************************************
(111)	PIER PROTECTION- CODE	(92)	INSPECTION DATE 07/17 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
(39)	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
(116)	VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M		FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- YES 24 MO A) 05/17 UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
(40)	NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	C)	UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)
		-7	NO CI

# DRY CREEK

# 07/24/2017 [AAAX]

137 - PHOTO-Operational Signs



Photo No. 1 Load Limit Sign- Advance Warning





Photo No. 2 Load Limit Sign- On Bridge

# **DRY CREEK**

# 07/24/2017 [AAAX]

137 - PHOTO-Operational Signs



Photo No. 3 'One Lane Bridge' Sign





Photo No. 4
Missing Approach Rail- Northwest Corner

# **DRY CREEK**

# 07/24/2017 [AAAX]

102 - PHOTO-Deck-Damage/Deterioration



Photo No. 5 Deck Cracking (typ)





Photo No. 6 Truss Condition

# DRY CREEK 07/24/2017 [AAAX]

108 - PHOTO-Super-Details



Photo No. 7
Floorbeam and Stringer Condition (typ)





Photo No. 8
Abutment 1, Left Wingwall Condition

# 07/24/2017 [AAAX]

129 - PHOTO-Hydraulic-Details



Photo No. 9
Abutment 1 Left Side Footing Exposure