Dear Supervisor Hopkins -

I am writing to express my support for the inclusion of robust community input regarding the county's Cannabis Ordinance. My understanding is that "Phase II" can include funding for staff time to gather and suggest implementation of issues such as neighborhood compatibility and and environmental concerns. I urge you to support and fund the inclusion of these constituent inputs.

Good wishes

Bob Engel

3156 Edison, Graton

From:	<u>Nyla Fleig</u>
To:	Jane Riley
Subject:	Cannabis Regulations - Phase 2
Date:	Sunday, March 24, 2019 9:57:32 AM

We are writing because of our ongoing concerns regarding Cannabis operations in Sonoma county. These concerns include traffic and tourism on mainly rural roads, especially one-way and dead end roads next to residents. Also, odors from cannabis grows, air quality, water usage, safety, crime and setbacks to residents. Sonoma county urgently needs regulatory amendments to address these issues. The Board of Supervisors and county planners need to add neighborhood and environmental protections as regulations for commercial cannabis grows move forward.

We understand Phase II was unexpectedly postponed, which was to allow for public input. We **urge you to formally include Phase II in the 2019-2020 work plan at your April 16** meeting.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nyla Fleig Lisa Mathiesen

Graton Residents

Dear Supervisor,

I urge the board to fund and schedule staff time to add neighborhood and environmental protections to the Cannabis Ordinance as you work to develop the two year work plan for 2019 and 2020.

I have concerns about cannabis operations coming to our county and to our neighborhoods.

My concerns include odors/air quality, traffic, neighborhood security/crime risk, road/fire safety, water availability, cannabis tourism, concentration of projects, or setbacks to residences.

With respect,

Nancy Rowinsky

Chris Stover
Lynda Hopkins
district4; Susan Gorin; David Rabbitt; Shirlee Zane; Jane Riley; Jennifer Mendoza; Susan Upchurch; Pat Gilardi;
Michelle Whitman; Andrea Krout
Funding of 2019-2020 Cannabis Phase II work plan
Sunday, March 24, 2019 1:07:58 PM

Dear Supervisor Hopkins,

Please support that there is funding and staffing resources dedicated to neighborhood compatibility and environmental protections in a 2019-2020 Phase II work plan for the Cannabis Ordinance. it is very important that these areas are adequately studied, investigated, and reviewed to ensure that neighborhoods and environmental resources are adequately protected.

Sincerely,

Chris Stover Lorraine Bazan 1357 Ferguson Road Sebastopol, CA 95472 707-829-8262

From:	Jane Riley
To:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Cannabis Regulations - Phase 2
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:45:01 AM

From: Nyla Fleig [mailto:nfleig@sonic.net]
Sent: March 24, 2019 9:48 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Cannabis Regulations - Phase 2

We are writing because of our ongoing concerns regarding Cannabis operations in Sonoma county. These concerns include traffic and tourism on mainly rural roads, especially one-way and dead end roads next to residents. Also, odors from cannabis grows, air quality, water usage, safety, crime and setbacks to residents. Sonoma county urgently needs regulatory amendments to address these issues. The Board of Supervisors and county planners need to add neighborhood and environmental protections as regulations for commercial cannabis grows move forward.

We understand Phase II was unexpectedly postponed, which was to allow for public input. We **urge you to formally include Phase II in the 2019-2020 work plan at your April 16 meeting.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nyla Fleig Lisa Mathiesen

Graton Residents

From:	Jane Riley
To:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Petition you requested
Date:	Wednesday, April 10, 2019 8:09:51 AM
Attachments:	petition sampleset.pdf

If it's not too late, public comment on the work plan S

From: Kimberly Burr [mailto:kimlarry2@comcast.net]

Sent: April 10, 2019 7:47 AM

To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; Michelle Whitman <Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org>

Cc: Susan Gorin <Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org>; Shirlee Zane <Shirlee.Zane@sonomacounty.org>; Supervisor James Gore <James.Gore@sonoma-county.org>; Lynda Hopkins <Lynda.Hopkins@sonoma-county.org>; David Rabbitt <David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org> **Subject:** Petition you requested

Hi Jane. Here is the petition that you requested for the Board meeting on 4/16/19.

We continue to gather signatures and are up to approximately 500 from all over the County. I am surprized that the Update of the Tree Ordinance was never worked despite being on the Work Plan about two years ago. The energy around the concept of a Green New Deal is exciting and modern small farmers, the local Democratic Central Committee, and many groups are educated on it and support it. The update of the Tree Ordinance as a stand alone document eptiomizes much of what is embodied in this movement.

We sincerely hope that the Tree protection Update gets on the County's Work Plan this time and is completed. As you may know, the trees are still being bulldozed and there is, amazingly enough, nothing to stop them. Thank you for all you do every day on behalf of all of us.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Kimberly

"Balance - When we are urged to weigh the environmental impacts against the interests of developers, consider this...."We've lost nearly two-thirds of the world's wildlife since the first Earth Day 48 years ago." -The Nature Conservancy

From: Rachel Zierdt [mailto:rzierdt@gmail.com]
Sent: April 01, 2019 4:52 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; coffeelane@yahoogroups.com; Joy Anagnostis
<joy2158@yahoo.com>; Jim & Deanna Ralston <notslar300@yahoo.com>
Subject: Phase 2 of cannabis ordinance

Dear Ms. Riley,

We, the ordinary citizens of Sonoma County have been shocked by how Group 420(as they call themselves) have taken it on themselves to ignore the directions of our elected officials (The Board of Supervisors) and place clearly biased provisions

towards the cannabis industry into the ordinance.

Neighborhood compatibility was to be the focus of Phase 2, being that it was virtually ignored in Phase 1. It is vital that Phase 2 be funded so that these areas such as fire-safe roads, odor, setbacks,

overconcentration be addressed. It is clear that staff will not address these

matters unless pressed to do so with additional time and effort by the BOS. There is no need to hold workshops or appoint another advisory committee. The information is already there. All that needs to be done is that staff be directed in Phase 2 to present this information to the BOS for discussion and implementation of this very contentious ordinance.

Rachel Zierdt, member Coffee Lane Neighborhood Alliance

-----Original Message-----From: David Gross [mailto:david@punmaster.com] Sent: March 20, 2019 1:39 PM To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org> Subject: Phase II 2019-2020

Dear Ms. Riley,

I truly hope that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan; the Neighborhood Compatibility component of the cannabis policy.

As homeowners in Graton we are very concerned about any potential development adjacent to the West County Trail and our neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Very truly yours,

David Gross Graton, CA

From:	Jane Riley
To:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Phase II of Cannabis Ordinance - input
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:45:20 AM

From: Mary Rusty Gate [mailto:maryrustygatefarmca@gmail.com]
Sent: March 22, 2019 10:15 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Phase II of Cannabis Ordinance - input

Dear Ms. Riley,

I am writing to you and each of the County Supervisors asking that community concerns and input be **included in the 2019-2020 work plan for Phase II** of the cannabis ordinance be **initiated NOW** rather than later, to address the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy (neighborhood and environmental issues). There are significant issues that impact neighborhoods including odors/air quality, traffic, neighborhood security/crime risk, road/fire safety, water availability, cannabis tourism, concentration of projects, or setbacks to residences. I am particularly concerned about the impact of these operations in residential neighborhoods in throughout the County. These need to be addressed NOW as new businesses are emerging in this newly legal industry. **Otherwise neighborhoods and the county will be faced with addressing time consuming and inefficient case by case conflicts over development.**

I appreciate your attention to this issue. I plan to attend the April 16th Board meeting when you will be addressing the Cannabis Ordinance decisions.

Mary Radu Rusty Gate Farm, Sebastopol CA Artisan Peaches and Albarino Wine Grapes Cell 707 688-4382

From:	Jane Riley
То:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:43:37 AM

From: Anna Ransome [mailto:ransome@sonic.net]
Sent: March 30, 2019 1:05 PM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance

Dear Ms. Riley,

Our neighborhood group, Friends of Graton (FOG) was pleased that the County recognized in 2018 that the West County Trail and Joe Rodota Trail are parks and subject to the 1000' setbacks for cannabis operations. We were anticipating having the opportunity to make comment during the Neighborhood Compatibility Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in early 2019, as was scheduled. We are troubled that instead, the County appears to be planning to analyze this issue through public comment during the process of approximately 138 applications. This is an unreasonable approach to land use decisions. FOG considers trails and parks as extensions of our neighborhoods, and we have concerns that the 1000' setbacks will be whittled away in this haphazard approach.

Few members of the public have the wherewithal to read, comprehend and then respond, in writing or in person, to over 100 applications. This decision will, in effect, stifle public participation in a process that has the potential to radically change the living conditions of thousands of people. You have placed the burden of determining impacts on the public and expect that will result in a fair determination of the potential negative effects of a new and un-tested ordinance.

We urge the supervisors to approve Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance, which will address neighborhood compatibility, an agenda item of the Permit Sonoma 2-Year Plan at the April 16 meeting, and to initiate a plan to address the issue outside of the individual hearing processes.

Anna Ransome for Friends of Graton (FOG)

From: Joe [mailto:jp95010@yahoo.com]
Sent: March 26, 2019 10:50 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance

Hi Supervisor Riley

Just writing to ask that you:

Please vote to include--Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance-- in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan.

(funding and staffing for a study of the impacts of cannabis on neighborhood compatibility and environmental protection)

Thank You Joe Pereira

From:	Jane Riley
To:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Please help to insure that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.
Date:	Thursday, April 11, 2019 8:45:34 AM

-----Original Message-----From: Meredith [mailto:meredith@designmg.com] Sent: March 20, 2019 4:00 PM To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org> Subject: Please help to insure that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

Dear Ms. Riley,

Please help to insure that Phase II (addressing the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy) will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

We live in Graton in Graton and are very concerned about any cannabais development adjacent to the West County Trail and in our neighborhood.

We believe that neighbors who may be impacted by potential cannabais developments should have a say in the policy moving forward.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter. It's only fair!

Very truly yours,

Meredith Gross Graton, CA

For the Comp Work Plan Cannafile

From: Jim Fitzpatrick [mailto:jfitzseq@yahoo.com]
Sent: March 27, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Sonoma County resident since 1960

Please be sure Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance be approved in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan. Don't let our neighborhoods become victims....

From: Jim Fitzpatrick [mailto:jfitzseq@yahoo.com]
Sent: March 27, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Sonoma County resident since 1960

Please be sure Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance be approved in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan. Don't let our neighborhoods become victims....

From:	Jane Riley
То:	Lucia Fincher
Subject:	FW: Yes to funding of phase 2
Date:	Monday, March 11, 2019 11:23:33 AM

Please retain and compile as part of public comments on the 16 April BOS meeting on the Comp Work Plan

-----Original Message-----From: Joi Losee [mailto:alpacaz@pacbell.net] Sent: March 11, 2019 11:22 AM To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; David Rabbitt <David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org> Subject: Yes to funding of phase 2

Cannabis Ordinances revisions are necessary.

Please give the funding for a comprehensive work plan for the Cannabis Ordinance Revisions /Phase 2. No action on the Phase 2 means that there are no Cannabis regulation problems or the political system does not care about the the regulated Cannabis program.

I feel these are critical times where planning and good regulations are most important.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Hello Supervisor Gore:

As a concerned resident, I'm sure you are aware that there are many outstanding issues surrounding public health and safety, as well as neighborhood compatibility with respect to the county's current Cannabis Ordinance.

It is extremely important that the next phase, ie Phase 2, be funded so that the necessary revisions can continue to be addressed and hopefully resolved.

When you meet in April, please authorize funding as well as staff time and resources for this important topic that will impact the quality of life for all of us in Sonoma County for years to come.

Thank you for your consideration, Cindy Schellenberg 8147 Davis Lane Penngrove, CA

Dear Ms. Riley,

This is let you know we have sent the following email to each County Supervisor, and their respective aides, requesting that they vote for inclusion of Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in the Planning Department's 2019-2020 work plan.

Sincerely, Gary and Karen Holm

Dear Supervisor,

This is to request that you vote to approve inclusion of Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in the Planning Department's 2019-2020 work plan. It is important that funding and staffing for a study of the impacts of cannabis with respect to neighborhood compatibility and environmental protection be included in the work plan so that future cannabis projects are evaluated not on a piece meal basis but rather according to a well thought out plan that takes into account the concerns of all stakeholders.

<u>Sincerely</u>, <u>Gary and Karen Holm</u> <u>Ross Road</u> <u>Sebastopol</u>

Dear Ms. Riley,

I truly hope that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan; the Neighborhood Compatibility component of the cannabis policy.

As homeowners in Graton we are very concerned about any potential development adjacent to the West County Trail and our neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Very truly yours,

David Gross Graton, CA

Dear Ms. Riley:

I sent the following email to all five supervisors. Unfortunately, when I copied you on these emails I typed an incorrect email address for you.

Dear Supervisor:

I am writing to request that Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

Sincerely, Barbara A. Dunham 411 Eleanor Avenue Sebastopol, CA 95472

Dear Ms. Riley,

I am writing to you and each of the County Supervisors asking that community concerns and input be **included in the 2019-2020 work plan for Phase II** of the cannabis ordinance be **initiated NOW** rather than later, to address the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy (neighborhood and environmental issues). There are significant issues that impact neighborhoods including odors/air quality, traffic, neighborhood security/crime risk, road/fire safety, water availability, cannabis tourism, concentration of projects, or setbacks to residences. I am particularly concerned about the impact of these operations in residential neighborhoods in throughout the County. These need to be addressed NOW as new businesses are emerging in this newly legal industry. **Otherwise neighborhoods and the county will be faced with addressing time consuming and inefficient case by case conflicts over development.**

I appreciate your attention to this issue. I plan to attend the April 16th Board meeting when you will be addressing the Cannabis Ordinance decisions.

Mary Radu Rusty Gate Farm, Sebastopol CA Artisan Peaches and Albarino Wine Grapes Cell 707 688-4382

Hi Supervisor Riley

Just writing to ask that you:

Please vote to include--Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance-- in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan.

(funding and staffing for a study of the impacts of cannabis on neighborhood compatibility and environmental protection)

Thank You Joe Pereira

Dear Ms. Riley,

Please help to insure that Phase II (addressing the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy) will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

We live in Graton in Graton and are very concerned about any cannabais development adjacent to the West County Trail and in our neighborhood.

We believe that neighbors who may be impacted by potential cannabais developments should have a say in the policy moving forward.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter. It's only fair!

Very truly yours,

Meredith Gross Graton, CA



Hi Kimberly, not yet! The Work Plan is scheduled for 16 April in front of the BOS. The Board office will post a link to the staff report about 5 days before the meeting. There is no discussion related to oak woodlands, only the option to have us work on a tree ordinance.

You're on the list to receive the staff report once it has been released by the BOS - we don't send it out before the Board is ready.

Thanks!

Jane Riley, AICP

Comprehensive Planning Manager www.PermitSonoma.org County of Sonoma Planning Division | Comprehensive Planning 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Direct: 707-565-7388 | Office: 707-565-1900 Fax: 707-565-1103



County of Sonoma records, including emails, are subject to the California Public Records Act. Unless exemptions apply, this email, any attachments and any replies are subject to disclosure on request, and neither the sender nor any recipients should have any expectation of privacy regarding the contents of such communications.

From: Kimberly Burr [mailto:kimlarry2@comcast.net] Sent: April 01, 2019 1:26 PM To: Jane Riley https://www.analytic.com/second/complex/like/second/complex

Hi Jane: I am circling back to see if the draft work plan is about ready....eager beaver.

Do you have an idea when this will be agendized for the Board?

If there is anything re: the tree protection ordinance that I may review, I would greatly appreciate it.

On behalf of all of us, thanks for your day to day work .



Kimberly 887-7433

> "Balance - When we are urged to weigh the environmental impacts against the interests of developers, consider this...."We've lost nearly two-thirds of the world's wildlife since the first Earth Day 48 years ago." —The Nature Conservancy

On Mar 15, 2019, at 5:15 PM, Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org> wrote:

Hi Kimberly, the preliminary draft Work Plan includes an update to the tree ordinance as an option for the Board to consider. As is usually, the case, we have more work to do that resources to spend and so the Board must prioritize what we do.

The Work Plan staff report should be ready at the beginning of April; I will add your name to the list to receive it when it is available.

Thank you for your interest in our work!

Jane Riley, AICP

Comprehensive Planning Manager www.PermitSonoma.org County of Sonoma Planning Division | Comprehensive Planning 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Direct: 707-565-7388 | Office: 707-565-1900 Fax: 707-565-1103 <image001.png><image002.png><image003.png><image004.png> <image005.jpg>

County of Sonoma records, including emails, are subject to the California Public Records Act. Unless exemptions apply, this email, any attachments and any replies are subject to disclosure on request, and neither the sender nor any recipients should have any expectation of privacy regarding the contents of such communications.

To: Jane Riley <<u>Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org</u>> Subject: Fwd: Two work plan

Hi Jane. I am looking for a bit of information on the upcoming Two Year comprehensive work plan related to the Tree Ordincance. Can you help me? I am interested in the item.

Thank you for all that you do.

Kimberly

From: Michelle Whitman <<u>Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org</u>> Subject: FW: Two work plan Date: March 12, 2019 at 9:01:38 AM PDT To: "'kimlarry2@comcast.net'' <kimlarry2@comcast.net> Cc: Jane Riley < Jane. Riley@sonoma-county.org>

Hello Kimberly. Your best source of information will be Jane Riley at Permit Sonoma. Jane is copied on this message. Her phone number is 565-7388.

Warm regards.

Michelle Whitman District Director to Supervisor Shirlee Zane 575 Administration Drive, Room 100A Santa Rosa, CA 95403 707-565-2241 Subscribe to the Third District Newsletter

From: Kimberly Burr [mailto:kimlarry2@comcast.net] Sent: Thursday, March 7, 2019 2:32 PM To: Michelle Whitman <<u>Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org</u>> Subject: Two work plan

Hi Michelle: Hope you are able to keep your head above water, so speak, at the county these days.

I am interested in the County's meeting that will address the Two Year Work plan for Permit Sonoma.

Do you have any information on this...draft documents, hearing dates,?

Maybe if you know who at PS is in charge of that process, I can check in with that person if that easier.

Thank you.Take good care,

Kimberly

"Balance - When we are urged to weigh the environmental impacts against the interests of developers, consider this...."We've lost nearly two-thirds of the world's wildlife since the first Earth Day 48 years ago."

-The Nature Conservancy

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM. Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

Joi Losee
Jane Riley; David Rabbitt
Yes to funding of phase 2
Monday, March 11, 2019 11:22:05 AM

Cannabis Ordinances revisions are necessary.

Please give the funding for a comprehensive work plan for the Cannabis Ordinance Revisions /Phase 2. No action on the Phase 2 means that there are no Cannabis regulation problems or the political system does not care about the the regulated Cannabis program.

I feel these are critical times where planning and good regulations are most important.