

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE20-0002) Claudette Diaz

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AS REQUESTED BY CHARLES WILLIAMSON, FOR PROPERTIES LOCATED AT 1535, 1525, 1450, 1582, 1531, 1385 , 1354, 1352, 1575, 1577, 1355, 1541, 1545, 1543 , 1579, 1590 North Castle Road and 18550, 18522, 18455, 18457, 18399, 18470, 18498 Half Moon St; APNs 127-111-005, 127-111-009, 127-111-012, 127-111-027, 127-111-033, 127-111-039, 127-111-044, 127-111-045, 127-111-050, 127-111-051, 127-111-062, 127-111-069, 127-111-070, 127-111-071, 127-111-075, 127-111-092, 127-111-093, 127-111-102, 127-111-103, 127-540-032, 127-540-034, and 127-540-036.

WHEREAS, the applicant, Charles Williamson, representing 22 property owners, filed an application with the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department to add the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the zoning of 56 acres located at 1535, 1525, 1450, 1582, 1531, 1385 , 1354, 1352, 1575, 1577, 1355, 1541, 1545, 1543 , 1579, 1590 North Castle Road and 18550, 18522, 18455, 18457, 18399, 18470, 18498 Half Moon St; APNs 127-111-005, 127-111-009, 127-111-012, 127-111-027, 127-111-033, 127-111-039, 127-111-044, 127-111-045, 127-111-050, 127-111-051, 127-111-062, 127-111-069, 127-111-070, 127-111-071, 127-111-075, 127-111-092, 127-111-093, 127-111-102, 127-111-103, 127-540-032, 127-540-034, and 127-540-036, Supervisorial District No. 1; and

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan.
2. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Zoning Code and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. The subject area has inadequate road access (about 0.60 miles of substandard private roads without pullouts) to support additional vacation rental uses.
 - b. The prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential

- c. character of neighborhoods;
The housing stock should be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
 - d. The subject area is in Moderate and High Fire Hazard Severity Zones and has moderate to steep topography.
 - e. The residential character is to be preserved or preferred.
3. The proposed rezone is exempt from CEQA pursuant to CEQA Guidelines, Section 15305, Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations, because the project does not result in any changes in land use or density.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve the requested Zone Change.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-19

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE ARMSTRONG WOODS
ROAD NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A
TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 11.2% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Armstrong Woods Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. The southern portion of this neighborhood is zoned Low Density Residential (R1), which prohibits Vacation Rentals, resulting in an increased demand to convert of single-family homes in the northern portion to Vacation Rentals
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation with the Armstrong Woods Road neighborhood and prevents an increased Vacation Rental concentration in the northern portion of the Armstrong Woods Road neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Armstrong Woods Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Nay
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-20

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE AUSTIN CREEK
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 14.3% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Austin Creek neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Austin Creek neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-16

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE CHIQUITA ROAD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 17.5% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Chiquita Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Chiquita Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner McCaffery, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Carr, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-21

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE DRAKE ROAD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 13.4% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Drake Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. The majority of parcels in this neighborhood are zoned Low Density Residential (R1), which prohibits Vacation Rentals, resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in remaining parcels where zoning allows Vacation Rentals
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation of Vacation Rentals within the Drake Road neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Drake Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-09

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE FALCON LANE / THEODOR
LANE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A
TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 41.2% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Falcon Lane / Theodor Lane neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is the highest concentration in Sonoma County. This extreme concentration of Vacation Rentals is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Parcels surrounding the Falcon Lane / Theodor Lane neighborhood are within a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone, which has resulted in resulting in rapid conversion of single-family homes within the Falcon Lane / Theodor Lane neighborhood into Vacation Rentals
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A will prevent further conversion of single-family homes within the Falcon Lane / Theodor Lane neighborhood into Vacation Rentals
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Falcon Lane / Theodor Lane neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Koenigshofer, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-17

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE FITCH MOUNTAIN
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. Replacement of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone with the Vacation Rental 10% Cap (X10) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning

Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 8.1% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Fitch Mountain neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average
 - c. A January 2023 community survey of Fitch Mountain property owners received 88 responses and indicates that 59% of residents prefer to replace the existing Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone with the Vacation Rental 10% Cap (X10) Combining Zone, while 29% preferred keeping the existing Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone in place, and 17% preferred replacing the existing Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone with the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone
 - d. Replacing the existing Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone with the Vacation Rental 10% Cap (X10) Combining Zone is consistent with the neighborhood support of maintaining a concentration of Vacation Rentals higher than the countywide average
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 10% Cap (X10) Combining Zone to the Fitch Mountain neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner McCaffery, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Koenigshofer, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-10

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE GATES ROAD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 13.5% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Gates Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Gates Road is a private road completely within a Very High Fire Severity Zone.
 - e. Terrain is steep and portions of Gates Road do not meet Sonoma County Fire Safe Standards
 - f. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Gates Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-11

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE GLEN ELLEN
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 37.5% of the parcels developed with single family homes in this neighborhood near the center of Glen Ellen are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Areas on all sides of this neighborhood are within a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in remaining parcels where zoning allows Vacation Rentals
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation of Vacation Rentals within the Glen Ellen neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Glen Ellen neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Nay
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-22

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE GUERNEWOOD PARK /
MONTE ROSA NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN
EXHIBIT A TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 14.2% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Guernewood Park / Monte Rosa neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
 - f. The majority of parcels in this neighborhood are zoned Low Density Residential (R1), which prohibits Vacation Rentals, resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in remaining parcels where zoning allows Vacation Rentals
 - g. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation of Vacation Rentals within the Guernewood Park / Monte Rosa neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Guernewood Park / Monte Rosa neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Nay
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-23

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE HACIENDA
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 8.3% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Hacienda neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Hacienda neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-12

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE HUGHES CHICKEN
COLONY NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT
A TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 16.7% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Hughes Chicken Colony neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Hughes Chicken Colony neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-13

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE KENWOOD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 17.5% of the parcels developed with single family homes in this neighborhood in the northwest area of Kenwood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. The area of Kenwood outside of the proposed rezoning area is within a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in parcels outside of the Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation of Vacation Rentals within the Kenwood neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Kenwood neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Nay
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-24

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE MONTE RIO
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 12.1% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Monte Rio neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Monte Rio neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-25

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE NEELEY ROAD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 19.2% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Neeley Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
 - f. The majority of parcels in this neighborhood are zoned Low Density Residential (R1), which prohibits Vacation Rentals, resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in remaining parcels where zoning allows Vacation Rentals
 - g. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A provides uniform regulation of Vacation Rentals within the Neeley Road neighborhood
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Neeley Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-26

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE NORTHWOOD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 15.3% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Northwood neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Northwood neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-18

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE NORTON ROAD
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 14.4% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Norton Road neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Norton Road neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner McCaffery, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Carr, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-14

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE PALMER AVENUE –
HARRINGTON DRIVE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS
LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 17.5% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Palmer Avenue – Harrington Drive neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. The area of Palmer Avenue – Harrington Drive is adjacent to the City of Sonoma, which prohibits Vacation Rentals, resulting in an increased demand to convert single-family home into Vacation Rentals in parcels that are close to the City boundary
 - e. Applying a Vacation Rental Exclusion Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the parcels shown in Exhibit A will protect residential character of the Palmer Avenue – Harrington Drive by preventing establishment of new Vacation Rentals
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Palmer Avenue – Harrington Drive neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Nay
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 4 Noes: 1 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-27

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE RIO DELL NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 4.4% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Rio Dell neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is similar to the countywide average and increases above this amount may be detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Rio Dell neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-28

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE SUMMERHOME PARK
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 7.6% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Summerhome Park neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is higher than the countywide average and may be detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Summerhome Park neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-29

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE TERRACES – VILLA
GRANDE NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT
A TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".
2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to "review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary".
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on

the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:

- a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels in unincorporated Sonoma County outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 13.0% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Terraces – Villa Grande neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is significantly higher than the countywide average and is detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Off street parking is limited and on-street parking may interfere with emergency response, creating a significant public safety risk
 - e. Much of the area is served by steep, narrow roads that are not adequate for two way traffic
 - f. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental 5% Cap (X5) Combining Zone to the Terraces – Villa Grande neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Koenigshofer, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and
SO ORDERED.

Resolution Number 23-15

County of Sonoma
Santa Rosa, California

March 16, 2023
(File No. ZCE23-0001) Gary Helfrich

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION, COUNTY OF
SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FINDING THE PROJECT
EXEMPT FROM CEQA AND RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF
THE ZONE CHANGE WITHIN THE WALDRUE HEIGHTS
NEIGHBORHOOD FOR PARCELS LISTED IN EXHIBIT A TO
THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors has directed Permit Sonoma to identify areas within unincorporated Sonoma County for exclusion of new Vacation Rentals where one or more of the following conditions exist:

1. Inadequate road access or off-street parking;
2. Prevalence of vacation rentals is detrimental to the residential character of neighborhoods;
3. Existing residential housing stock needs to be protected from conversion to visitor-serving uses;
4. Topography, access or vegetation creates a significant fire hazard and associated public safety risks;
5. Areas where residential character is to be preserved or preferred; and/or
6. Other areas where the Board of Supervisors determines that it is in the public interest to prohibit or limit the establishment and operation of vacation rentals.

WHEREAS, this project has been found to be categorically exempt from CEQA Guidelines; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of law, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on March 16, 2023, at which time all interested persons were given an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors find the project exempt from CEQA.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission makes the following findings:

1. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with the Sonoma County General Plan is consistent with Section 2.1 Goal 1 of the Housing Element, which identifies a need to regulate Vacation Rentals to ensure that existing housing stock is maintained and protected, and Policy HE-1k "Continue to regulate the use of existing residences on residential lands for Vacation Rentals".

2. The proposed rezone of parcels as shown in Exhibit A is consistent with Housing Element Program 6, which requires the County to “review and consider revisions to the vacation rental ordinance to limit conversion of permanent housing stock and make vacation rental uses more compatible, and to facilitate enforcement when necessary”.
3. The addition of the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone is consistent with the Sonoma County Zoning Code Section 26-79 and meets the applicable criteria based on the following facts. The particular circumstances in this case are:
 - a. Tax Assessment Use Codes show that 41,063 parcels outside of the coastal zone are developed with single family homes, with 1,847 of these operating as Vacation Rentals, resulting in a countywide Vacation Rental concentration of 4.5%
 - b. At this time, 11.8% of the parcels developed with single family homes in the Waldrue Heights neighborhood are operated as Vacation Rentals, which is higher than the countywide average and may be detrimental to maintaining the residential character of this neighborhood
 - c. Increased conversion of homes in this area to Vacation Rentals will result in a loss of housing stock
 - d. Roads within Waldrue Heights are privately maintained and do not meet Sonoma County Fire Safe Standards
 - e. Evacuation routes are limited, and the relatively high concentration of Vacation Rentals may interfere with evacuation during emergencies
4. The recommended rezoning is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act under Section 15301 (Existing Facilities) and Section 15061(b)(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, because the proposed changes would not increase the number of vacation rentals or the potential for negative environmental impacts. The project, if approved, would limit or maintain the current number of vacation rentals in a defined area and thus decrease the potential for impacts from vacation rentals related to noise, the loss of housing stock, transportation, and other environmental impacts.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors approve adding the Vacation Rental Exclusion (X) Combining Zone to the Waldrue Heights neighborhood as shown in Exhibit A.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission designates the Secretary of the Planning Commission as the custodian of the documents and other material which constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision herein is based. These documents may be found at the office of the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department, 2550 Ventura Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION was introduced by Commissioner Carr, who moved its adoption, seconded by Commissioner Gilardi, and adopted on roll call by the following vote:

Commissioner Carr	Aye
Commissioner Gilardi	Aye
Commissioner Ocana	Aye
Commissioner Koenigshofer	Aye
Commissioner McCaffery	Aye

Ayes: 5 Noes: 0 Absent: 0 Abstain: 0

WHEREUPON, the Chair declared the above and foregoing Resolution duly adopted; and

SO ORDERED.