

Attachment B**TDA Article 3 Project Application Form**

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Agency | Sonoma County Regional Parks | | |
| 2. Primary Contact | Elizabeth Tyree | | |
| 3. Mailing Address | 400 Aviation Boulevard, Suite 100, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 | | |
| 4. Email Address | Elizabeth.Tyree@sonoma-county.org | 5. Phone Number | (707) 565-2575 |
| 6. Secondary Contact (in the event primary is not available) | Ken Tam | | |
| 7. Mailing address (if different) N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 8. Email Address | Ken.Tam@sonoma-county.org | 9. Phone Number | (707) 565-3348 |
| 10. Send allocation instructions to (if different from above): | | | |
| 11. Project Title | Copeland Creek Trail-Petaluma Hill Road to Crane Creek Regional Park | | |
| 12. Amount requested | 400,000 | 13. Fiscal Year of Claim | 2025-26 |

14. Description of Overall Project:

Construct a 1.8-mile Class 1 trail from Petaluma Hill Road eastward into Crane Creek Regional Park. This project will extend the existing Copeland Creek Trail that crosses the City of Rohnert Park, thru Sonoma State University, to an existing County Park.

15. Project Scope Proposed for Funding: (Project level environmental, preliminary planning, and ROW are ineligible uses of TDA funds.)

The project will construct the trail and three bridges, providing an active transportation route to the local regional park, which is currently accessed by a narrow county road. The trail will be 8 feet wide plus shoulders and is designed to meet accessibility requirements.

16. Project Location: A map of the project location is attached or a link to a online map of the project location is provided below:

Attached

Project Relation to Regional Policies (for information only)

17. Is the project in an [Equity Priority Community](#)? Yes ☐ No ☒

18. Is this project in a [Priority Development Area](#) or a [Transit-Oriented Community](#)? Yes ☐ No ☒

19. Project Budget and Schedule

| Project Phase | TDA 3 | Other Funds | Total Cost | Estimated Completion (month/year) |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bike/Ped Plan | | | | |
| ENV | | | | |
| PA&ED | | | | |
| PS&E | | 210,000 | 210,000 | January 2025 |
| ROW | | | | |
| CON | 400,000 | 1,210,000 | 1,610,000 | May 2026 |
| Total Cost | 400,000 | 1,420,000 | 1,820,000 | |

Project Eligibility

- A.** Has the project been reviewed by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee? Yes ☒ No ☐
 If "YES," identify the date and provide a copy or link to the agenda.
 If "NO," provide an explanation). 2/19/2025 agenda attached
- B.** Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If "NO," provide expected date: 4/15/2025
- C.** Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? Yes ☐ No ☒
 (If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page)
- D.** For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to [Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual](#)? Yes ☒ No ☐
- E. 1.** Is the project categorically exempt from CEQA, pursuant to CCR Section 15301(c), Existing Facility? Yes ☐ No ☒
2. If "NO" above, is the project is exempt from CEQA for another reason? Yes ☐ No ☒
 Cite the basis for the exemption. N/A
 If the project is not exempt, please check "NO," and provide environmental documentation, as appropriate. NOD posted 2/26/2020, SCH No. 20200019029
- F.** Estimated Completion Date of project (month and year): May 2026
- G.** Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility, please identify below and provide the agreement. Yes ☒ No ☐
- H.** Is a Complete Streets Checklist required for this project ? Yes ☒ No ☐
 If the amount requested is over \$250,000 or if the total project phase or construction phase is over \$250,000, a Complete Streets checklist is likely required. Please attach the Complete Streets checklist or record of review, as applicable. More information and the form may be found here: <https://mtc.ca.gov/planning/transportation/complete-streets>

Complete Streets Checklist - Copeland Creek Trail

| | |
|---|--|
| Contact Name | Ken Tam |
| Email Address | ken.tam@sonoma-county.org |
| Contact Phone Number | 7075653348 |
| City/Jurisdiction/Agency (If your option is not listed, select "Other") | Other (If selected, please fill out below) |
| City/Jurisdiction/Agency (if Other is selected above, please provide name here) | Sonoma County Regional Parks Department |
| County | Sonoma |
| Is your project seeking regional discretionary funds or an endorsement? | Regional discretionary funding |
| Please include the name of the regional discretionary funding program that this project is seeking. | TDA Article 3 |
| Project Name/Title | Copeland Creek Trail - Petaluma Hill Road to Crane Creek Regional Park |
| Project Area/ Location | County of Sonoma |
| Project Area Map (Attach if applicable) Please save the file with the project name and the jurisdiction submitting checklist. Add the name of the file being uploaded below. Then Click Here to upload your file. | Copeland Creek Trail Location Map |
| Project Description (2000 character limit). You may also attach additional project documents, cross sections, plan views or other supporting materials. | Construct a 1.8 mile Class I trail from Petaluma Hill Road eastward into Crane Creek Regional Park. This project will extend the existing Copeland Creek Trail that crosses the City of Rohnert Park, through Sonoma State University, to an existing County Park. |

Please choose the project phase(s). CON

Do you think your project qualifies for a Statement of Exception? No

Topic: Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit Planning

Does the project implement relevant plans, or other locally adopted recommendations? Yes

Please provide details on plan recommendations affecting the project area, if any, with Plan adoption date. If the project is inconsistent with adopted plans, please provide explanation. The adopted 2010 County Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan identifies the Copeland Creek Trail project.

Does the project area contain segments of the regional Active Transportation (AT) Network? [See MTC's AT Network map here] Yes

If yes, describe the how project adheres to the National Association of City Transportation Official's (NATCO's) "Designing for All Ages & Abilities Contextual Guidance for High-Comfort Bicycle Facilities" and/or the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board's "Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way." The planned Copeland Creek Trail project is a Class I bike path. The Class I bike path will have a 2% cross slope and a compacted surface that is suitable for pedestrians and bicyclists of all ages and abilities.

Is the the project on a known High Injury Network (HIN) or has a No

local traffic safety analysis found a high incidence of bicyclist/pedestrian-involved crashes within the project area?

Please summarize the traffic safety conditions and describe the project's traffic safety measures. The Bay Area Vision Zero System may be a helpful resource.

The existing access roads (e.g. Roberts Road and Pressley Road) to Crane Creek Regional Park have no sidewalks and no bike lanes for pedestrian and bicycle travel. The Copeland Creek Trail project will provide safe access for pedestrians and bicyclists to Crane Creek Regional Park by constructing a Class I bike path, which is separated from the roadway.

Does the the project seek to improve conditions for people biking, walking and/or rolling? If the project includes a bikeway, was a Level of Traffic Stress (LTS), or similar user experience analysis conducted?

Yes

Describe how project seeks to provide low-stress transportation facilities or reduce a facility's LTS.

The project will provide a pedestrian and bicycle pathway that is fully separated from the roadway; thus, making it safe and creating a low-stress environment for bicycles and pedestrians traveling along Copeland Creek Trail to Crane Creek Regional.

A. Are there existing public transit facilities (stop or station) in the project area?

Yes

If yes, list transit facilities (stop, station, or route) and all affected agencies.

Bus Route 44 along Petaluma Hill Road. There are bus stops located north and south of Roberts Road on Petaluma Hill Road.

B. Have all potentially affected transit agencies had the opportunity to review this project? If yes, please save the email from transit operator(s) below.

Yes

Please save the file with the project name and the jurisdiction submitting checklist.

Copeland Creek Trail Sonoma County TDA Article 3 Approval Email

Add the name of the file being uploaded below. Then Click Here to upload your file.

C: Is there a MTC Mobility Hub (map) within the project area?

No

If applicable, please describe the pedestrian focused improvements and cite the design standards used (links to standards are not needed).

The construction of a Class I bike path allows for pedestrian use.

If applicable, please provide the class designation for bikeways included in the project and cite the design standards used.

Class I bike path.

Will the project improve active transportation in an Equity Priority Community (EPC)?

No

Please list census tracts that are designated as EPCs and affected by this project.

N/A

Has a local (city is preferred and county is an option) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (BPAC) reviewed this Checklist? The Checklist will begin MTC review once the BPAC meeting has occurred.

Yes

Please provide the meeting date(s). BPAC meeting date should occur before the grant funding request application or endorsement is submitted.

February 19, 2025

Please provide a summary of meeting comments. If meeting date hasn't occurred yet, please share BPAC meeting comments here.

The Sonoma County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee reviewed and approved the 2025/2026 Final Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Three-Year Funding Plan on February 19, 2025. The Funding Plan includes the Copeland Creek Trail project. Please refer to the attached email titled "Copeland Creek Trail Sonoma County Final TDA Article 3 Approval Email.pdf"

Compliance and Exemption

Please check below if Yes. If no, complete the Statement of Exception. If Yes, this Checklist is complete and the rest of the form can be skipped. If No, please fill out the Statement of Exception section.

Yes

Has a local (city or county) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Commission (BPAC) reviewed this Checklist? The CS Checklist will begin review once the BPAC meeting notes are included in this form.

Yes

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Agency | Permit Sonoma- Sonoma County | | |
| 2. Primary Contact | Wil Lyons | | |
| 3. Mailing Address | 2550 Ventura Ave, Santa Rosa, CA 95403 | | |
| 4. Email Address | Wil.lyons@sonoma-county.org | 5. Phone Number | 707-565-7388 |
| 6. Secondary Contact (in the event primary is not available) | Ross Markey | | |
| 7. Mailing address (if different) N/A <input type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| 8. Email Address | Ross.Markey@sonoma-county.org | 9. Phone Number | 707-565-2543 |
| 10. Send allocation instructions to (if different from above): | | | |
| 11. Project Title | Active Transportation Plan Adoption | | |
| 12. Amount requested | \$50,000.00 | 13. Fiscal Year of Claim | 25/26 |

14. Description of Overall Project:

Project includes finalization of the 2025 Active Transportation Plan (ATP) and necessary amendments to the Sonoma County General Plan 2020. Anticipated work to finalize the ATP includes additional stakeholder outreach, preparation of General Plan amendments, public hearings before the Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC), the Planning Commission, and the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors.

15. Project Scope Proposed for Funding: (Project level environmental, preliminary planning, and ROW are ineligible uses of TDA funds.)

Project Scope includes: Final Outreach, Editing the ATP to reflect that outreach, documentation and staff time for public hearings before the Bicycle Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC), the Planning Commission, and adoption by the Board of Supervisors. Additionally, the Sonoma County General Plan will be amended to include minor technical updates to allow for use of the ATP moving forward.

16. Project Location: A map of the project location is attached or a link to a online map of the project location is provided below:

The ATP affects the entire county, maps of proposed projects can be found here: https://hdp-us-prod-app-fp-spp-files.s3.us-west-2.amazonaws.com/8117/3170/9000/Public_Draft_ATP_Appendix_s.pdf

Project Relation to Regional Policies (for information only)

17. Is the project in an [Equity Priority Community](#)? Yes ☒ No ☐

18. Is this project in a [Priority Development Area](#) or a [Transit-Oriented Community](#)? Yes ☒ No ☐

19. Project Budget and Schedule

| Project Phase | TDA 3 | Other Funds | Total Cost | Estimated Completion (month/year) |
|---------------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bike/Ped Plan | \$50,000 | | 50,000 | |
| ENV | | | | |
| PA&ED | | | | |
| PS&E | | | | |
| ROW | | | | |
| CON | | | | |
| Total Cost | 50,000 | | 50,000 | 9/2025 |

Project Eligibility

A. Has the project been reviewed by the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee? Yes ☒ No ☐
 If "YES," identify the date and provide a copy or link to the agenda.
 If "NO," provide an explanation).

B. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? Yes ☐ No ☒
 If "NO," provide expected date: Funding to enable project hearings with final Adoptions with the Board of Supervisors expected in August/September.

C. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? Yes ☒ No ☐
 (If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page)

D. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to [Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual](#)? Yes ☒ No ☐

E. 1. Is the project categorically exempt from CEQA, pursuant to CCR Section 15301(c), Existing Facility? Yes ☐ No ☒

2. If "NO" above, is the project is exempt from CEQA for another reason? Yes ☒ No ☐

Cite the basis for the exemption. The adoption of the ATP qualifies for a statutory exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to SB 922 (Wiener, 2022), which amended Public Resources Code Sections 21080.20 and 21080.25 to exempt Bicycle Transportation Plans and projects that meet certain conditions. Additionally, the general exemption in CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) provides for projects where it can be seen with certainty that there is no potential for significant environmental effects. The physical improvements included in the ATP will be subject to future environmental review when specific projects are proposed.

N/A ☐

If the project is not exempt, please check “NO,” and provide environmental documentation, as appropriate.

- F.** Estimated Completion Date of project (month and year): 09/2025
- G.** Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility, please identify below and provide the agreement. Yes ☒ No ☐
- H.** Is a Complete Streets Checklist required for this project ? Yes ☐ No ☒
If the amount requested is over \$250,000 or if the total project phase or construction phase is over \$250,000, a Complete Streets checklist is likely required. Please attach the Complete Streets checklist or record of review, as applicable. More information and the form may be found here: <https://mtc.ca.gov/planning/transportation/complete-streets>