

From: [Jane Riley](#)
To: [Lucia Fincher](#)
Subject: FW: Yes to funding of phase 2
Date: Monday, March 11, 2019 11:23:33 AM

Please retain and compile as part of public comments on the 16 April BOS meeting on the Comp Work Plan

-----Original Message-----

From: Joi Losee [<mailto:alpacz@pacbell.net>]

Sent: March 11, 2019 11:22 AM

To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; David Rabbitt <David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org>

Subject: Yes to funding of phase 2

Cannabis Ordinances revisions are necessary.

Please give the funding for a comprehensive work plan for the Cannabis Ordinance Revisions /Phase 2.

No action on the Phase 2 means that there are no Cannabis regulation problems or the political system does not care about the the regulated Cannabis program.

I feel these are critical times where planning and good regulations are most important.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: [Jane Riley](#)
To: [Lucia Fincher](#)
Subject: FW: Sonoma County resident since 1960
Date: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 7:41:19 AM

For the Comp Work Plan Cannafile

From: Jim Fitzpatrick [mailto:jfitzseq@yahoo.com]
Sent: March 27, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Sonoma County resident since 1960

Please be sure Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance be approved in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan. Don't let our neighborhoods become victims....

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Bob Engel](#)
To: [Lynda Hopkins](#)
Cc: [Susan Upchurch](#); [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Cannabis Ordinance and Community Input
Date: Thursday, March 21, 2019 8:34:30 AM

Dear Supervisor Hopkins -

I am writing to express my support for the inclusion of robust community input regarding the county's Cannabis Ordinance. My understanding is that "Phase II" can include funding for staff time to gather and suggest implementation of issues such as neighborhood compatibility and and environmental concerns. I urge you to support and fund the inclusion of these constituent inputs.

Good wishes

Bob Engel

3156 Edison, Graton

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Nyla Fleig](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Cannabis Regulations - Phase 2
Date: Sunday, March 24, 2019 9:57:32 AM

We are writing because of our ongoing concerns regarding Cannabis operations in Sonoma county. These concerns include traffic and tourism on mainly rural roads, especially one-way and dead end roads next to residents. Also, odors from cannabis grows, air quality, water usage, safety, crime and setbacks to residents. Sonoma county urgently needs regulatory amendments to address these issues. The Board of Supervisors and county planners need to add neighborhood and environmental protections as regulations for commercial cannabis grows move forward.

We understand Phase II was unexpectedly postponed, which was to allow for public input. We **urge you to formally include Phase II in the 2019-2020 work plan at your April 16 meeting.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Nyla Fleig
Lisa Mathiesen

Graton Residents

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [nancy.rowinsky](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Cannabis regulations
Date: Friday, March 22, 2019 1:20:43 PM

Dear Supervisor,

I urge the board to fund and schedule staff time to add neighborhood and environmental protections to the Cannabis Ordinance as you work to develop the two year work plan for 2019 and 2020.

I have concerns about cannabis operations coming to our county and to our neighborhoods.

My concerns include odors/air quality, traffic, neighborhood security/crime risk, road/fire safety, water availability, cannabis tourism, concentration of projects, or setbacks to residences.

With respect,

Nancy Rowinsky

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Chris Stover](#)
To: [Lynda Hopkins](#)
Cc: [district4](#); [Susan Gorin](#); [David Rabbitt](#); [Shirlee Zane](#); [Jane Riley](#); [Jennifer Mendoza](#); [Susan Upchurch](#); [Pat Gilardi](#); [Michelle Whitman](#); [Andrea Krout](#)
Subject: Funding of 2019-2020 Cannabis Phase II work plan
Date: Sunday, March 24, 2019 1:07:58 PM

Dear Supervisor Hopkins,

Please support that there is funding and staffing resources dedicated to neighborhood compatibility and environmental protections in a 2019-2020 Phase II work plan for the Cannabis Ordinance. It is very important that these areas are adequately studied, investigated, and reviewed to ensure that neighborhoods and environmental resources are adequately protected.

Sincerely,

Chris Stover
Lorraine Bazan
1357 Ferguson Road
Sebastopol, CA 95472
707-829-8262

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: [Cindy Schellenberg](#)
To: [James Gore](#)
Cc: Stuart.Tiffin@sonoma-county.org; [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: IMPT Pending County Comprehensive Work Plan
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2019 7:38:55 PM
Importance: High

Hello Supervisor Gore:

As a concerned resident, I'm sure you are aware that there are many outstanding issues surrounding public health and safety, as well as neighborhood compatibility with respect to the county's current Cannabis Ordinance.

It is extremely important that the next phase, ie Phase 2, be funded so that the necessary revisions can continue to be addressed and hopefully resolved.

When you meet in April, please authorize funding as well as staff time and resources for this important topic that will impact the quality of life for all of us in Sonoma County for years to come.

**Thank you for your consideration,
Cindy Schellenberg
8147 Davis Lane
Penngrove, CA**

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Gary Holm](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Include Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in Planning Department's 2019-2020 Work Plan
Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 5:01:45 PM

Dear Ms. Riley,

This is let you know we have sent the following email to each County Supervisor, and their respective aides, requesting that they vote for inclusion of Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in the Planning Department's 2019-2020 work plan.

Sincerely,
Gary and Karen Holm

Dear Supervisor,

This is to request that you vote to approve inclusion of Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance in the Planning Department's 2019-2020 work plan. It is important that funding and staffing for a study of the impacts of cannabis with respect to neighborhood compatibility and environmental protection be included in the work plan so that future cannabis projects are evaluated not on a piece meal basis but rather according to a well thought out plan that takes into account the concerns of all stakeholders.

Sincerely,
Gary and Karen Holm
Ross Road
Sebastopol

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [David Gross](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Phase II 2019-2020
Date: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 1:38:47 PM

Dear Ms. Riley,

I truly hope that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan; the Neighborhood Compatibility component of the cannabis policy.

As homeowners in Graton we are very concerned about any potential development adjacent to the West County Trail and our neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Very truly yours,

David Gross
Graton, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: [BARBARA DUNHAM](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Phase II Cannabis Ordinance
Date: Friday, March 22, 2019 2:15:38 PM

Dear Ms. Riley:

I sent the following email to all five supervisors. Unfortunately, when I copied you on these emails I typed an incorrect email address for you.

Dear Supervisor:

I am writing to request that Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

Sincerely,
Barbara A. Dunham
411 Eleanor Avenue
Sebastopol, CA 95472

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Mary Rusty Gate](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Phase II of Cannabis Ordinance - input
Date: Friday, March 22, 2019 10:15:32 AM

Dear Ms. Riley,

I am writing to you and each of the County Supervisors asking that community concerns and input be **included in the 2019-2020 work plan for Phase II** of the cannabis ordinance be **initiated NOW** rather than later, to address the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy (neighborhood and environmental issues). There are significant issues that impact neighborhoods including odors/air quality, traffic, neighborhood security/crime risk, road/fire safety, water availability, cannabis tourism, concentration of projects, or setbacks to residences. I am particularly concerned about the impact of these operations in residential neighborhoods in throughout the County. These need to be addressed NOW as new businesses are emerging in this newly legal industry. **Otherwise neighborhoods and the county will be faced with addressing time consuming and inefficient case by case conflicts over development.**

I appreciate your attention to this issue. I plan to attend the April 16th Board meeting when you will be addressing the Cannabis Ordinance decisions.

Mary Radu

Rusty Gate Farm, Sebastopol CA

Artisan Peaches and Albarino Wine Grapes

Cell 707 688-4382

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Joe](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance
Date: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 10:49:38 AM

Hi Supervisor Riley

Just writing to ask that you:

Please vote to include--Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance-- in the planning department's 2019-2020 work plan.

(funding and staffing for a study of the impacts of cannabis on neighborhood compatibility and environmental protection)

Thank You
Joe Pereira

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Meredith](#)
To: [Jane Riley](#)
Subject: Please help to insure that Phase II will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.
Date: Wednesday, March 20, 2019 4:00:32 PM

Dear Ms. Riley,

Please help to insure that Phase II (addressing the Neighborhood Compatibility component of cannabis policy) will be included in the 2019-2020 work plan.

We live in Graton in Graton and are very concerned about any cannabis development adjacent to the West County Trail and in our neighborhood.

We believe that neighbors who may be impacted by potential cannabis developments should have a say in the policy moving forward.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter. It's only fair!

Very truly yours,

Meredith Gross
Graton, CA

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, do not click any web links, attachments, and never give out your user ID or password.

From: [Jane Riley](#)
To: [Lucia Fincher](#)
Subject: FW: Petition you requested
Date: Wednesday, April 10, 2019 8:09:51 AM
Attachments: [petition sampleset.pdf](#)

If it's not too late, public comment on the work plan 😊

From: Kimberly Burr [mailto:kimlarry2@comcast.net]
Sent: April 10, 2019 7:47 AM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; Michelle Whitman <Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org>
Cc: Susan Gorin <Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org>; Shirlee Zane <Shirlee.Zane@sonoma-county.org>; Supervisor James Gore <James.Gore@sonoma-county.org>; Lynda Hopkins <Lynda.Hopkins@sonoma-county.org>; David Rabbitt <David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Petition you requested

Hi Jane. Here is the petition that you requested for the Board meeting on 4/16/19.

We continue to gather signatures and are up to approximately 500 from all over the County. I am surprised that the Update of the Tree Ordinance was never worked despite being on the Work Plan about two years ago. The energy around the concept of a Green New Deal is exciting and modern small farmers, the local Democratic Central Committee, and many groups are educated on it and support it. The update of the Tree Ordinance as a stand alone document epitomizes much of what is embodied in this movement.

We sincerely hope that the Tree protection Update gets on the County's Work Plan this time and is completed. As you may know, the trees are still being bulldozed and there is, amazingly enough, nothing to stop them. Thank you for all you do every day on behalf of all of us.

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected, **do not** click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

Kimberly

"Balance - When we are urged to weigh the environmental impacts against the interests of developers, consider this...."We've lost nearly two-thirds of the world's wildlife since the first Earth Day 48 years ago."

—The Nature Conservancy

TREE PROTECTION PETITION

WHEREAS the loss of trees, woodlands, and forests occurs in increments and often times without public Notice or environmental review;

WHEREAS the County of Sonoma is responsible for land use decisions affecting trees, woodlands, and forests;

WE THE UNDERSIGNED urge the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to **update County policies** that currently allow tree removal a) in exchange for nominal fees, b) allows tree removal according to a developer's preferences despite the existing and emerging science on the importance of trees, c) allows exemptions for large agricultural developments like vineyards, and d) allows tree removal along roadways including Highway 101.

(Print your name and the City where you live).

1. Alicia Roman & Santa Rosa CA
2. John McCoy Robnet Park, CA
3. Rick LUTMANN Robnet Park 94928
4. TINA SOCOVIEFF Petaluma
5. Meredith Trent Petaluma
6. Steve Henry Petaluma 94952
7. Jon Wood Santa Rosa 95401
8. Jill Anderson Guerneville CA 94746
9. Brian Zastrow
10. Julie Thompson Forestville, Ca 95436

Return petition to Forest Unlimited, POB 506, Forestville, CA 95436

100% recycled

40

TREE PROTECTION PETITION

WHEREAS the loss of trees, woodlands, and forests occurs in increments and often times without public Notice or environmental review;

WHEREAS the County of Sonoma is responsible for land use decisions affecting trees, woodlands, and forests;

WE THE UNDERSIGNED urge the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to update County policies that currently allow tree removal a) in exchange for nominal fees, b) allows tree removal according to a developer's preferences despite the existing and emerging science on the importance of trees, c) allows exemptions for large agricultural developments like vineyards, and d) allows tree removal along roadways including Highway 101.

(Print your name and the City where you live).

1. ~~SILVANA BRINDISI~~ ~~MARIN~~ ^{Petaluma}
2. Swabhi Konkar Petaluma
3. JOSEPH POPSILLO GAITHER CA
4. Andy R (Aut Budely) Pichon Santa Rosa, Ca
5. Robert J. Belmer Santa Rosa
6. Jennie Schultz Santa Rosa
7. Hally DeCarion Santa Rosa
8. Angus Mac Lior Petaluma
9. JUDIE GERBER Petaluma
10. Scott Inlenfeld Santa Rosa CA

Return petition to Forest Unlimited, POB 506, Forestville, CA 95436

100% recycled

UPDATE COUNTY TREE PROTECTION POLICIES

WHEREAS the loss of trees, woodlands, and forests occurs in increments and often times without public Notice or environmental review;

WHEREAS the County of Sonoma is responsible for land use decisions affecting trees, woodlands, and forests;

WE THE UNDERSIGNED urge the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to update County policies that currently allow tree removal:

- a) in exchange for nominal fees,
- b) allows tree removal according to a developer's preferences despite the existing and emerging science on the importance of trees,
- c) allows exemptions for large agricultural developments like vineyards, and
- d) allows tree removal along roadways including Highway 101.

Print your name and the City where you live

1. JOHN CAMPBELL COTATI, CA 94931
2. Scott Gilroy Petaluma CA 94952
3. Robert W Rawson Sebastopol, CA 95472
4. Brian Schuler Forestville, CA ~~95436~~ 95436
5. Christopher SZECSY OCCIDENTAL CA 95465
6. BHARAT KARMAKAR 208 MAY CT. SEB. 95472 Karmakarbharat@gmail.com
7. Andrew J. Lewis Sebastopol
8. Tim Shea San Francisco CA
9. _____
10. _____

Return petition to Forest Unlimited, POB 506, Forestville, CA 95436

TREE PROTECTION PETITION

WHEREAS the loss of trees, woodlands, and forests occurs in increments and often times without public Notice or environmental review;

WHEREAS the County of Sonoma is responsible for land use decisions affecting trees, woodlands, and forests;

WE THE UNDERSIGNED urge the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to **update County policies** that currently allow tree removal a) in exchange for nominal fees, b) allows tree removal according to a developer's preferences despite the existing and emerging science on the importance of trees, c) allows exemptions for large agricultural developments like vineyards, and d) allows tree removal along roadways including Highway 101.

(Print your name and the City where you live).

1. Nancy Shor, Petaluma
2. TINA SLOVEFF Petaluma
3. Taylor Scribner Santa Rosa 95404
4. Michael Hale Santa Rosa 95404
5. MATT MAGUIRE PETALUMA CA 94952
6. Linda Stokely Petaluma CA 94952
7. Elsa Dine Rio Nido CA 95471
8. Erin Brown Geyserville, CA 95441
9. Susanna Pretzel Petaluma
10. Forest Hills Petaluma

Return petition to Forest Unlimited, POB 506, Forestville, CA 95436

100% recycled

UPDATE COUNTY TREE PROTECTION POLICIES

WHEREAS the loss of trees, woodlands, and forests occurs in increments and often times without public Notice or environmental review;

WHEREAS the County of Sonoma is responsible for land use decisions affecting trees, woodlands, and forests;

WE THE UNDERSIGNED urge the Sonoma County Board of Supervisors to **update County policies** that currently allow tree removal:

- a) in exchange for nominal fees,
- b) allows tree removal according to a developer's preferences despite the existing and emerging science on the importance of trees,
- c) allows exemptions for large agricultural developments like vineyards, and
- d) allows tree removal along roadways including Highway 101.

Print your name and the City where you live

1. Anne Exton Santa Rosa, CA
2. Lynda Williams Sebastopol, CA 95472
3. John McCoy Santa Rosa, CA 95401
4. ROSANNE SCHNEIDER SEBASTOPOL 95472
5. Jennifer McMullen Petaluma, CA 94952
6. Cole McMullen Petaluma, CA 94952
7. Phil Heershtkowitz Santa Rosa, CA 95403
8. JOHN CRUZ Sebastopol, CA 95472
9. ANNE JACOPETTI Santa Rosa CA 95403
10. Hally DeCarion Santa Rosa, CA 95405

Return petition to Forest Unlimited, POB 506, Forestville, CA 95436

100% recycled

From: [Jane Riley](#)
To: [Lucia Fincher](#)
Subject: FW: Phase II, Cannabis Ordinance
Date: Monday, April 15, 2019 7:25:21 AM

From: Joan Porter [mailto:sybil451@gmail.com]
Sent: April 14, 2019 7:22 PM
To: Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>
Subject: Phase II, Cannabis Ordinance

We urge the supervisors to approve Phase II of the Cannabis Ordinance, which will address neighborhood compatibility, an agenda item of the Permit Sonoma 2-Year Plan at the [April 16](#) meeting, and to initiate a plan to address the issue outside of the individual hearing processes.

Joan A. Porter
[Sebastopol, Ca 95472](#)

Sent from my iPhone

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.
Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.

From: [Jane Riley](#)
To: [Lucia Fincher](#)
Subject: FW: General Plan Update and CWP - April 16 - Detailed Comments - 5 Organizations
Date: Friday, April 12, 2019 4:12:03 PM
Attachments: [Sonoma CountyCWP General Plan Update 2040LTRFinal.pdf](#)
[GPG Change Summary.pdf](#)

From: Teri Shore [mailto:tshore@greenbelt.org]

Sent: April 12, 2019 12:46 PM

To: David Rabbitt <David.Rabbitt@sonoma-county.org>; Andrea Krout <Andrea.Krout@sonoma-county.org>; Susan Gorin <Susan.Gorin@sonoma-county.org>; Pat Gilardi <Pat.Gilardi@sonoma-county.org>; Lynda Hopkins <Lynda.Hopkins@sonoma-county.org>; Amie Windsor <Amie.Windsor@sonoma-county.org>; Susan Upchurch <Susan.Upchurch@sonoma-county.org>; district4 <district4@sonoma-county.org>; Jenny Chamberlain <Jenny.Chamberlain@sonoma-county.org>; Jennifer Mendoza <Jennifer.Mendoza@sonoma-county.org>; Shirlee Zane <Shirlee.Zane@sonoma-county.org>; Michelle Whitman <Michelle.Whitman@sonoma-county.org>

Cc: Tennis Wick <Tennis.Wick@sonoma-county.org>; Milan Nevajda <Milan.Nevajda@sonoma-county.org>; Jane Riley <Jane.Riley@sonoma-county.org>; Amy Lyle <Amy.Lyle@sonoma-county.org>; Suzanne Doyle <carsort@gmail.com>; Padi Selwyn <padi.selwyn10@gmail.com>; Judith Olney <milestonesmet@gmail.com>; Janus <bjmatthes@comcast.net>; Norman Gilroy <ngilroy@vom.com>

Subject: General Plan Update and CWP - April 16 - Detailed Comments - 5 Organizations

Dear Chair Rabbitt, Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached a detailed letter with recommendations for moving forward on the General Plan Update and the Comprehensive Work Plan for Permit Sonoma for the next two years from Greenbelt Alliance, Sierra Club, Preserve Rural Sonoma County, Wine and Water Watch and Mobilize Sonoma.

Additional allies and partners and community members will be sending in separate letters.

Thank you for your consideration and look forward to the review and discussion on Tuesday, April 16.

Sincerely yours,

Teri Shore

--

Teri Shore
Regional Director, North Bay

Greenbelt Alliance
555 Fifth Street, Suite 300 A | Santa Rosa, CA 95401
1 (707) 575-3661 office | 1 (707) 934-7081 cell | tshore@greenbelt.org
greenbelt.org | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)

Bay Area greenbelt lands are at risk of being lost to sprawl development. [Get the facts here.](#)

THIS EMAIL ORIGINATED OUTSIDE OF THE SONOMA COUNTY EMAIL SYSTEM.

Warning: If you don't know this email sender or the email is unexpected,
do not click any web links, attachments, and **never** give out your user ID or password.