

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|
| 1  | Henry Burbank     | go crystal!  |  |  |   |           |           |
| 2  | Henry Burbank     | crystal is a pro   |  |  |   |           |           |
| 3  | Preston Smith     | Most cannabis growers, manufacturers and distributors do not want to be located in the County due to the high tax structure.   | Is the County going to reduce the tax structure in order to attract the cannabis industry?                 | Hi Preston! We're so glad you're part of the visioning session today! Today we are focused solely on land use and tax structures will not be discussed. Please send any questions, ideas, or thoughts to our email at cannabis@sonoma-county.org. Thank you! | Not where only wells are used to provide water for the residents and where water is already being used heavily for vineyards. |           |           |
| 4  | Henry Burbank     | where do you get that 'statistic'? it doesn't represent the growers I know.  |  |  |   |           |           |
| 5  | gail cafferata    | Today's topic is siting not taxes.   |  |  |   |           |           |
| 6  | Henry Burbank     | the chapter 38 that was rejected after years of work was a great step in the right direction.  |  |  |   |           |           |
| 7  | gail cafferata    | How are you proposing to protect the watershed with our current drought?   | I have this SAME question!   |  |   |           |           |
| 8  | Marcy Greeley     | Can you share how many public participants are online at this session? I only see 8 people...  | Hi Marcy! We have 78 members of the public on at this time. A wonderful turnout. Thank you for joining us. | Thank you!   |   |           |           |
| 9  | Henry Burbank     | FEW YEARS.... the opposition already won. the stakeholders spent 3 years working on chapter 38 only to be thrown out. this seems like a start to a losing battle. I wonder how many cannabis stakeholders will actually involve themselves in this process after the waste of time and energy from the last effort? and then the opposition gets an uneven amount of representation? this is torture and will be 3 years of neighbors telling lies and harassing their neighbors. sad. | Absolutely   | Yep  |   |           |           |
| 10 | Craig Litwin      | An acre cap per parcel is too low.   | I agree  | agree  |   |           |           |
| 11 | Henry Burbank     | ministerial permits is the only way to reduce the combative neighborhood battles.  | Exactly  |  |   |           |           |
| 12 | gail cafferata    | If you believe in respect, how are you going to control the odor from drifting to properties with children and people with respiratory issues? Rabbi Hillel says, "What is hateful to you, do not do to others." It is hateful to let odor drift to neighboring properties.  | canabis odors have not been proven to irritate the respiratory tract.                                      |  |   |           |           |
| 13 | L Williams        | Thoughts on lowering acreage requirements for Cottage licenses, and would this be exempt from the EIR process?   |  |  |   |           |           |
| 14 | Henry Burbank     | look at chapter 38. the work that was already done and vetted was a great start.   |  |  |   |           |           |
| 15 | AnnaRae Grabstein | Industrial zoned cultivation should be able to occupy and utilize the entirety of existing buildings for cultivation consistent with other areas like City of Santa Rosa.  |  |  |   |           |           |
| 16 | Steven Sommer     | Have any of you read the Ag element of the General plan also ag marketing?   |  |  |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name     | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 17 | gail cafferata | If you want to protect land boundaries, how are you going to keep the water drain from marijuana grows from impacting neighboring properties?  | I worry about winery runoff. Cannabis does not use toxic sprays the way other ag does. So the water is clean. |           |           |           |           |
| 18 | gail cafferata | The water table is shared!!  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 19 | Henry Burbank  | how do we protect family farmers from harrassing neighbors with lies, menacing low-flying drones, intimidation, and threats? do we care about our young family farmers?  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | Craig Harrison | The couty would save everyone a lot of angst if you focused on allowing eclsruon zones and inclusion zones.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 21 | gail cafferata | If you want to protect all citizens and landowners, how do you propose to make all raods safe for emergency vehicles and evacuation during fires?  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 22 | gail cafferata | <p>Not good:</p> <p>1. Not in water zones 3, 4, impaired watersheds or riparian corridors or where water must be trucked in, or where any catchment ponds will hamper groundwater replenishment</p> <p>2. For outdoor grows the odor must stop at the property line. Setbacks from residential communities and hamlets or to adjacent Rural Residential or Agricultural Residential parcels in unincorporated areas must be increased to preserve the integrity of the community.</p> <p>3. For indoor and greenhouse, setbacks from residential communities and hamlets must not produce odor or visually impair the integrity of the community. Adequate power supply and wastewater disposal capacity for the operations must be demonstrated.</p> <p>4. Not on dead-end substandard roads over 1 mile long, or on roads less than 20 ft wide</p> <p>5. Not on roads with existing evacuation issues or that would cause evacuation issues for the existing residents</p> <p>6. Not in Rural and Residential Development zones</p> <p>7. Not in voter approved Community Separators</p> |   |           |           |           |           |
| 23 | Anna Ransome   | <p>PLACES NOT A GOOD FIT:</p> <p>Where the project would require removal of oak woodlands or in heavily forested areas.</p> <p>Water zones 3 &amp; 4 or any area where thirsty cannabis would make a hardship for residents who bought their properties before the new use was approved. This would eliminate trucking of water, catchment ponds under certain circumstances (as when they would de-water an entire area), new deep wells, etc.</p> <p>Voter approved community separators and greenbelts.</p> <p>Where there is a concentration of other cannabis operations</p>  |   |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 24 | Kelsey Nicholson | we do not want cannabis within or close to rural residential areas.   | this practically eliminates the small family farmer encouraging out of town corporate interests and not keeping money in local economies |   |           |           |           |
| 25 | L Williams       | Exclusion zones near high Residential areas could be identified. So cannabis near those areas would be off limits   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 26 | gail cafferata   | 8. Not on slopes over 15%<br>9. Not in forested areas or Oak-woodlands or anywhere where the operation will require removal of native trees<br>10. Not in high or very high fire zones<br>11. Not in areas with sheriff response time is inadequate<br>12. Not where visible from scenic roads, parks or public rights-of-way or any other identified scenic resource<br>13. Not in areas where overconcentration would be the result unless the area is designated as an inclusion zone<br>14. Not within community agreed Exclusion Zones   | I agree with all of the above.   |   |           |           |           |
| 27 | Henry Burbank    | DA, LEA, LIA should be ministerial to avoid the aggressive harrassing neighbors   | yes sir!   | Exactly   |           |           |           |
| 28 | Steven Sommer    | Cannibus Should Be only ag zones and industrial only !  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 29 | katherine yates  | Katherine. not close to residential neighborhoods   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 30 | Preston Smith    | Residential may be the only area where cannabis should be limited.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 31 | Grace Barresi    | Not in close proximity to sensitive receptors: residential homes and other living facilities (eg, assisted living), schools/children, parks/recreation, class I bike trails   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 32 | Henry Burbank    | protect family farmers from the aggresive opposition groups who resent cannabis operators for selfish reasons.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 33 | Craig Harrison   | In Bennett Valley we have literally hundreds of residents who signed a petition to make the geographical boundary of our area plan a commercial cannabis-free zone. Any grow that is ever proposed or implemented here will meet big resistance.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 34 | Vi Strain        | We request the Board prioritize neighborhood compatibility by limiting cannabis cultivation and processing to areas that do not create noise and odor nuisances for residents, are not in public view, are not in impaired watersheds or water scarce zones 3 & 4, are accessed by legal fire safe roads and do not impact public safety. No permitting in high fire risk zones or on remote roads with evacuation challenges. Permit cannabis processing only on designated commercial and industrial zoned land. A new ordinance must address neighborhood compatibility and be science-based to ensure cannabis operation permitting does not create individual or cumulative impacts. | Agreed   | A county that doesn't have water problems. Sonoma county does not qualify, Napa county figured that out. There are counties that could better handle the needs on cannabis. |           |           |           |
| 35 | Jean Hegland     | We don't want cannabis in areas where water is scarce, (zones 3 and 4), or in high fire risk areas with limited access, or areas with long response times for first responders, or where agriculture would damage existing wildlife   |  |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)                  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 36 | Craig Litwin      | Cannabis should be allowed anywhere hemp is located. Same plant, should have same standards.  | I agree                    | agreed    | agree     | Agreed    | Agree     |
| 37 | Kathy Pons        | I don't think that cannabis should be grown in residential areas , close to schools or where children play.   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 38 | Henry Burbank     | the opposition will try to make everything a residential zone.  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 39 | Richard Rudnansky | How can we provide our comments during this session?  | live answered              |           |           |           |           |
| 40 | Joanna Cedar      | The county should incentivize outdoor cultivation in all rural zones rather than push it into industrial zones because indoor cultivation is not environmentally sustainable.   | Indeed                     |           |           |           |           |
| 41 | katherine yates   | recent research from the USGS shows zone 2 is not as water stable as the county thinks. So no grows in zone 2 without and EIR   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 42 | Marcy Greeley     | NOT in Rural and Residential Development zones  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 43 | Mary Plimpton     | Moratorium on all permitting pending conclusion of comprehensive science-based EIR (to include but not limited to) reliable on-going water resources; protection of riparian habitat; protection of air quality   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 44 | Kristen Decker    | I think it shouldn't be just "residential" property, but away from residences regardless of the zoning. Adequate setbacks are super important to all homes.   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 45 | rachel zierdt     | all permitting should not be done in a ministerial process.   | all should be ministerial. |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Rebecca Bass      | Areas close to rural residential areas where cultivation causes negative visual, odor, and traffic impacts and endangers water resources are NOT a good fit. There must be adequate set backs and visual screening, as well as a limit on the number of permits in an area.   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | Craig Litwin      | Any resident can grow six plants. Hemp can be grown en masse. Therefore odor is here to stay.   | yep!                       |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | Eric Sklar        | Outdoor cultivation should only be in ag areas but "tasting rooms" should be allowed. These should be allowed in the LIA zone.  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | Mary Plimpton     | Site in Industrial Zones, eg Sonoma County Airport  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | L Williams        | Starting with California State laws first. Slope is controlled by California Water Board, and determining if creek setbacks are not enough. So more than 100 plus feet.   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | Kristen Decker    | Limit cannabis cultivation and processing to areas that do not create noise and odor nuisances for residents, are not in public view, are not in impaired watersheds and do not impact wildfire or public safety such as high fire risk zones or areas without legal fire safe roads. Permit cannabis processing only on designated commercial and industrial zoned land. A new ordinance must be science-based to ensure cannabis operation permitting does not create individual or cumulative impacts. |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | judith olney      | Cannabis is a controlled substance that requires fencing and security   |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | sue jackson       | Not where there are vernal pools and protected wetlands   | agree                      |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | Lori Pascarella   | Sonoma County should have a plan to restrict residential expansion onto agricultural zoned lands to help address residential concerns about agricultural operations and Ag zoned lands.   | I agree                    | Agreed    |           |           |           |
| 55 | Henry Burbank     | here we go/ 3 years of opposition scare tactics. who is going to go thru this all again? CRAZY  | yup                        |           |           |           |           |
| 56 | sica Roman        | zone 3 and 4 should be case by case. zones 4 has lots of water in plenty of areas   | Correct!                   |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 57 | rachel zierdt    | adopt a moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to environmental conditions. By allowing continued permitting the environmental baseline keeps moving.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 58 | Joanna Cedar     | Hemp and cannabis have the same odor...they are the same plant...and should be permitable in all the same areas.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 59 | Mary Plimpton    | NO SITING in Water Zones 3 or 4; along scenic bi-ways; remote from less-than 15 min response times for law enforcement, fire, medical)  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 60 | Craig Litwin     | Cannabis farming is safer for fire prevention than a home being planted in a rural area. It uses less water too. Perhaps rural homes are the issue versus farmers growing plants?   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 61 | Henry Burbank    | LEA, DA, LIA should be allowed to do ministerial processing for new indoor buildings.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 62 | L Williams       | waivers for cannabis grows that have neighborhood approval. But making sure the community is always heard.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 63 | Ann Storms       | The Commercial Cannabis Ordinance, which was put in place before the true force and consequences of Climate Change was upon us, should be extremely scaled back.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 64 | Craig Harrison   | Bennett Valley has limited water (all is area zone 3). Matanzas Creek has 5-6 state or federal sensitive species. Protect our watershed from a thirsty crip before it becomes impaired. We have had wildfires go through here in 2017, losing 15% of our homes. This is not an area for pot   | 100% lot coverage with Grapes is sooo much better!!            |   |           |           |           |
| 65 | Nancy Richardson | Do not grow cannabis in the five impaired water sheds or riparian corridors. Not in community separators. Not in high fire zones. Not where visible from scenic roads, parks or public right of ways. Not in water zones 3 and 4. Not on slopes over 15%. Not on substandard dead end roads where evacuation issues would be exacerbated. | 100% lot coverage with Grapes or Hemp must be the answer then. |   |           |           |           |
| 66 | Henry Burbank    | i wonder what the sonoma county wine industry would be like if this process was done?   | Good point!!   |   |           |           |           |
| 67 | Joanna Cedar     | Create a variance process to create a pathway for appropriate parcels in all rural zones.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 68 | katherine yates  | not in windy areas where odor can travel long distances   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 69 | Michael Ely      | Please do not allow cannabis in Franz Valley or along Franz Valley School Road. Please limit cannabis to existing industrial and commercial zones   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 70 | Steven Sommer    | Cannibus come under Cottage rules in ag zones   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 71 | Craig Harrison   | Expand comemrcial opportunites near airport, and direct grows there.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 72 | Henry Burbank    | i think these moderators are fun. thats actually the best part. good luck ladies.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 73 | Joanna Cedar     | Cannabis is agriculture   | The board of suerpiros rejected this in May,                   | That was the Planning Commission and it does not change the fact that cannabis and hemp are the same plant. Botany is real. |           |           |           |
| 74 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | I agree in industrial zones not resource zones  |  |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 75 | Kristen Decker      | the odor produced from 6 plants is significantly different than the concentration of 1 acre of plants and that distinction can't be ignored by the ordinance.  | I visit farms everyday and there is no lingering odor. | Smelling a skunk in the distance is NOT the same as a dozen skunks spraying around your house.<br><br>Madisen, I disagree. I had a grow 1/4 mile from my house and couldn't smell anything but the cannabis for long periods of time. Made it impossible for me to use my outdoor spaces at home. |           |           |           |
| 76 | Henry Burbank       | LEA, LIA, DA   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 77 | Vi Strain           | We recommend County adopt a moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions and an Ordinance to set standards for the industry . Under the current ordinance we will have cannabis 100 feet from our property lines and 300 feet from our residential homes. We request the County stop accepting new cannabis applications and stop renewing ministerial permits until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analysis the Count's wate resource capacity and calculates current water use by all know cannabis cultivation prcessing and distribution facilities permits. |  |   |           |           |           |
| 78 | Anna Ransome        | PLACES THAT WOULD BE A GOOD FIT:<br><br>Places with adequate water supply so operations do not deplete aquifers, surface waters, springs or artesian wells that others rely upon.<br><br>Places that are sized and configured to allow 1000' setbacks to all sensitive uses, including residences and important biotic resources such as wetlands and riparian areas. If 10 acre parcels do not allow these setbacks, this minimum acreage is too small.<br><br>Siting should be based on other criteria besides zoning which is too broad to use to determine impacts.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 79 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf | Agricultural zones   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 80 | rachel zierdt       | Family farmers are not who is currently growing. It appears most permits are being done with people who are renting land from others and are LLC's.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 81 | Steven Sommer       | Ag zones and industrial only   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 82 | Henry Burbank       | ministerial process to allow families to avoid the harrassing opposition groups.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 83 | Joanna Cedar        | Cannabis belongs in all rural zones. The smallest legacy cultivators have had no pathway to permits because many of them are located in AR and RR zonng classifications. With appropriate parcel size, these farmers should be able to permit a cottage sized farm through a variance process.   | totally agree  |   |           |           |           |
| 84 | judith olney        | Cluster indoor grows, manuf, and processing in industsrial zones. Retail and Events/ Tastign only in Commercial Zones.   |  |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 85 | Robert Guthrie   | Together with proper setbacks (which need to extend), cannabis cultivation must not be on parcels which abut RR, AR, and other parcels with residents, schools, parks.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 86 | Henry Burbank    | licensed farms should be able to do tastings and events with use permits like wine and other agriculture.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 87 | L Williams       | Cottage licenses in the outskirts of community growth boundaries.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 88 | gail cafferata   | I value respect for the dignity of all rural and agricultural homeowners and landowners, and for all people who would be hurt but currently permitted siting of marijuana grows. This means:<br>1. All 3 ag zones: LEA, LIA, DA, on parcels 10 acres or greater<br>2. Industrial and commercial zones with adequate power and wastewater disposal capacity<br>3. Industrial/Commercial zones only for indoor and greenhouse (mixed light) with adequate power and wastewater disposal capacity<br>4. For outdoor, on large parcels where setbacks are sufficient for odor, adjacency and community integrity issues<br>5. For all, with confirmed water availability in conjunction with all other present and projected users (residential, commercial and industrial needs county wide) for the next 20 years<br>6. Within Cannabis Inclusion Zones | I mean "hurt by"   |           |           |           |           |
| 89 | katherine yates  | if industrial zones can be made sustainable, this is the very best area   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 90 | Nancy Richardson | Do not grow cannabis anywhere where odor cannot be stopped at the property line. Residents have a right to enjoy their entire property. It is nonsense that vegetation absorbs odor. Use scientific analysis to measure odor.   | hemp has the same odor and can be grown up to the property line.   |           |           |           |           |
| 91 | Jean Hegland     | On designated commercial and industrial zoned lands   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 92 | Preston Smith    | All commercial, Industrial and Ag lands should be ideal areas for Cannabis. Rural residential land encompasses a large variety of land types and therefore should also be allowed for cannabis,   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 93 | Lori Pascarella  | Sonoma County should view cannabis the same as the wine industry, or any other agricultural industry, in terms of where cannabis fits in the county.  | agreed   | Agree     |           |           |           |
| 94 | Craig Litwin     | AR zones includes Ag! The county should study AR zones for cottage grows. People can grow a small vineyard in AR. And alcohol uses more water per value than cannabis.  | ABSOLUTELY!!   |           |           |           |           |
| 95 | ron ferraro      | Lea, lia, da, 10-20 acre minimum  | I agree  |           |           |           |           |
| 96 | Grace Barresi    | Cannabis cultivation would be a good fit on large acreage parcels (20+) with adequate setbacks from neighbors of at least 1000 feet to property line where odor and noise nuisances can be adequately mitigated.  | This is impractical and does not preserve the small family farmer culture that Sonoma county prides itself on. |           |           |           |           |
| 97 | katherine yates  | more remote areas, on large parcels, where the road use isn't through neighborhoods and the odor won't reach neighborhoods  | impractical. Does not support the small family farmer  |           |           |           |           |
| 98 | Anna Ransome     | Places that are accessible for emergency vehicles with adequate roads that allow for simultaneous emergency access and escape.<br><br>Industrial and commercial zones where such a use with its necessary security features would be compatible and expected.   |  |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)                    | Answer(s)                            |
|-----|------------------|--|--|--|-----------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 99  | MaryAnn Ciavonne | Ag and industrial  |  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 100 | Joel Hoyt        | Cannabis is agriculture and should be allowed everywhere grapes and other agriculture is performed   | Agreed   | agreed   | agreed    | The most logical conclusion. | Cannabis is not an agricultural crop |
| 101 | Dustin King      | Clearly distinguish differences between cultivation and processing.<br><br>All processing should be in commercial areas.<br><br>Not every dairy farm processes milk products "on-site".  | Wine processes onsite.                                     | Most concerned with noise in rural areas surrounding any events, tasting, etc.<br><br>Also processing and distribution |           |                              |                                      |
| 102 | sica Roman       | zones 3 and 4 because of unique terroirs w good water quality sonoma county is known for unique terroirs which is very important   | Agreed!  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 103 | Henry Burbank    | LEA, DA LIA should be able to build new buildings for indoor grows. most nieghbors say they would prefer it grown indoors.   | Indoor is energy heavy                                     |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 104 | Vi Strain        | Do not allow the use of existing permanent structures for indoor cultivation in proximity to rural residential uses.   |  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 105 | Anna Ransome     | In designated cannabis cultivation zones which would be identified by the EIR process.<br><br>Where power supply and wastewater disposal capacity is adequate and the new use won't burden the community with odors and truck traffic.<br><br>On ag land that is already prepped for ag so that the project does not require massive alteration of the landscape.  |  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 106 | Kathy Pons       | I think that cannabis should be grown and processed inside in the industrial zone for more control on the water use, electricity and security. Don't understand why it was considered unsustainable.   | energy use.  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 107 | Sonia Taylor     | The best thing the County could do would be to evaluate every parcel in the unincorporated County for suitability for cannabis cultivation, taking into account water availability, location very high/high fire danger zones, types of roads to the sites (no dead end narrow roads), in compliance with existing GP and zoning regulations (other than current prohibitions against growing cannabis at all), in locations with adequate power supply, places with adequate ability to dispose of wastewater, places away from sensitive uses (including residential), NEVER on voter approved Community Separators, and more. |  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 108 | Henry Burbank    | i cant stop odor from my neighbors horse crap  |  |  |           |                              |                                      |
| 109 | Nancy Richardson | Establish an Inclusion zone at the airport. it is an ideal location for clustered cultivation, processing, manufacturing. There could be cannabis specific wastewater disposal and treatment facilities.   | 100% agree with this idea about the SoCo Airport location! | Maximum size would be Basket Ball, 1/4 acre allowed per 10 acre of land no matter how many owners are involved.        |           |                              |                                      |
| 110 | Anna Ransome     | Imagine small scale, discreet operations set back at least 1000' from residences naturally screened from view with quiet operations, respectful to neighbors and minimal visible security features, including downward facing, warm spectrum, shielded motion detector lighting. Operations would be quiet and unobtrusive with minimal in and out traffic. Fencing would be the minimum needed for security to protect wildlife corridors.  |  |  |           |                              |                                      |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 111 | Joanna Cedar      | Cannabis setbacks should equal hemp setbacks  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 112 | sica Roman        | zone 4 has plenty of water. clean air very few people nobody cares  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 113 | Michael Ely       | Keep in Industrial and Commercial Zones   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 114 | Craig Harrison    | Commercial cannabis should not be located on any deadend road that is shared by other residents. This would be disrespectful to other residents.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 115 | L Williams        | Ag zoned properties should be able to do a minimum of 2500 Squarefoot cottage licenses. farmers should be able to profit in this industry   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 116 | Henry Burbank     | since gail can send long reports to be read in this forum why cant we send the chapter 38 that was worked on for years.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 117 | Rebecca Bass      | Qualities and characteristics that would be a good fit for cultivation: limited size areas on properties over 10 acres with adequate set backs and screening. For processing and distribution: commercial and industrial areas.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 118 | Kelsey Nicholson  | All processing and selling should happen within industrial and commercial areas only.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 119 | rachel zierdt     | it doesn't matter where we put or allow cannabis growing unless the county enforces what they mandate. "Staff discretion" doesn't work. A red light is a red light.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 120 | judith olney      | Parcels should be at least 20 acres for mitigation of noise and ordor nuisances   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 121 | Henry Burbank     | CHAPTER 38. SHORT AND SWEET   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 122 | Craig Harrison    | Selling should be in the cities   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | Preston Smith     | Cannabis grows can mitigate smell when properly managed and therefore should be allowed Rural Residential and Ag zones.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | katherine yates   | odor is a much bigger problem than has been discussed to date. So placements of grows need to have an EIR to look at wind patterns and intensity, and place them in places where the wind doesnt typically pass through rural neighborhoods   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | Steven Sommer     | should be grown in ag under the right to farm   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | Stephanie Danaher | Because of my experience so far with my neighbor, John Lobro, at 1700 Barlow Lane in Sebastopol, I am against the growing of cannabis in any neighborhood. He has threatened neighbors and made comments about dead bodies all over the place, when he went into a rage after the last Zoom Supervisor meeting. It is not appropriate to allow grows in neighborhoods.<br><br>Stephanie | I am sorry to hear this. However, most of us just would like an opportunity to farm without harassment. Our economy is suffering due to the loss of our small independent farmers. |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | sica Roman        | anywhere agriculture is allowed should be same as any other crop  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Nancy Richardson  | An Inclusion Zone at the airport would be perfect for any canna-tourism   | We already have 38+ retail marijuana stores in So Co, how many do we need??  |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | Henry Burbank     | DA, LEA, LIA should be 5 acre minimum NOT 10 acre minimum.  |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 130 | Kristen Decker   | Retail operations should be in commercial and industrial zones, in the city.  | As well as processing given the chemicals involved in the process. Should be away from farmland, which is used by wildlife, farm animals and people. |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | Vi Strain        | Processing plants should only be allowed in Commercial and Industrial zones, not close to residential areas   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | katherine yates  | retail operations should be in commercial zones   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | Preston Smith    | Cannabis is no more dangerous than vineyards and therefore should be allowed in fire zones.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | Michael Ely      | Yes—Keep it in the city where the largest group of buyers are.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 135 | Michael Capp     | Sonoma County is full of artisan farmers who want to grow the cottage industry. Why are indoor grows not sustainable. Cottage industry requires processing plants. Also, smell and noise needs to happen - whatever venture utilized  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | Sonia Taylor     | Sorry, wasn't done. Evaluation of all parcels would let everyone know where cannabis operations could take place, and where they shouldn't take place. Convert the Airport to a cannabis hub -- it's industrial already. Retail should only be within incorporated jurisdictions.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | Nancy Richardson | The airport is a good place for processing given the VMT issue and other impacts  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | Henry Burbank    | county and state enforcement is not a joke. any opposition who says it is not affective is out of touch and using the same old scare tactics  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | Dave Jefferson   | The proposed Chapter 38 had very sensible water district rules that should be adopted.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 140 | Robert Guthrie   | With regards to livestock odor, even Section 26-08-010 in Chapter 26 of the Zoning Code states: "In the event that the confined animal use is proposed within five hundred feet (500') of a nonagricultural land use category, it shall require prior approval of a use permit." So even animals on DA parcels have strict limitations in effort to reduce odor impact on neighboring RR parcels. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 141 | Lori Pascarella  | Keep cannabis in Agricultural and Industrial zones and show good faith towards the county's motto of "Agriculture, Recreation, Industry"  | agreed   |           |           |           |           |
| 142 | Craig Harrison   | Processing should be in commercial and industrial zones where the risks of some of the chemicals can be used can be better managed due to wildland fire concerns.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 143 | Gina Cloud       | Allow cannabis growth in industrial, non residential areas only. Sell it in regulated dispensaries.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | rachel zierdt    | An off topic question.....as part of the process you mentioned a county survey. How is that going to happen? What is the process of this?   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | Vi Strain        | Locate cannabis operations close to wineries so all recreational drug and alcohol drinks are together for the consuming public's convenience.   |  |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name      | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)          | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------|--|--|---|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 146 | Craig Harrison  | The county should have a strict cap on total acreage and the total number of grows. This is common in other counties.  | Hello Craig, Are you aware how few permitted/licenses have been issued in this county?           | I agree with Craig. Dr. Khalouf, this process is not about what exists now but the plan for the county going forward and the impact on our environment. | I also agree 100%. |           |           |
| 147 | Amber Morris    | Size measurements should align with State licensing and be measured by canopy square footage with no limit to immature plant area.   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 148 | Anna Ransome    | Limit on number and size of structures to preserve rural integrity & to prevent structures covering ag land - greenhouses and hoop houses should be limited. This should be determined in the EIR process.   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 149 | Kristen Decker  | Hoop houses and greenhouses can easily turn into plastic and environmental debris. These should be limited to county residents with a vested interest in the health of Sonoma environment or come with debris removal requirements.  |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 150 | judith olney    | Outoor: No larger than 1 acre on any one parcel, owned by one operator   | Absolutely agree!  |   |                    |           |           |
| 151 | ron ferraro     | percentage of land, 10-20 percent,   | agreed   |   |                    |           |           |
| 152 | Lori Pascarella | Canopy taxes are a waste of the county's manpower and resources to measure each year. Tax should like on other Ag crops and be based upon the annual yield/production totals which are easily obtained through the stat.   | I agree  |   |                    |           |           |
| 153 | Henry Burbank   | grows should be able to be 20% of the size of the parcel   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 154 | Vi Strain       | Agree with Judith  |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 155 | Eric Sklar      | The size should be calcualted by canopy and be consistant with State standards. For outdoor, in AG Zones, it should be related to lot zise with 1 acre allowed per 20 acres of land.   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 156 | katherine yates | Ideally, there would be multiple grows sharing 200 acre plus parcels, well removed from rural neighborhoods, and using roads that do not go through neighborhoods.   | Agreed   |   |                    |           |           |
| 157 | L Williams      | Cottage licenses of 25 plants or 2500 squarefeet. initial studies at +10,000 Square feet. 2 acres EIR. Canopy not plant count. Follow State Guidelines on Canopy. dont Reinvent the book.  |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 158 | Sonia Taylor    | The EIR is long overdue. Before hoop houses are approved throughout the county (cannabis cultivation uses hoop houses much more than other uses), an evaluation needs to be conducted by the EIR to determine whether the County has the landfill capacity to dispose of the plastic necessary for hoop houses -- sheet plastic needs to be disposed of regularly to prevent it from entering the environment. |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 159 | Steven Sommer   | Base on it on parcel acreage   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 160 | Preston Smith   | In the rual and ag areas the County could allow 10,000 sf green houses on parcels of 2 acre parcels and 10% of parcels size on larger parcels.   |  |   |                    |           |           |
| 161 | Craig Harrison  | Size should be limited by the odor it produces so that neighbors don't have to smell the terpenes.   | you do know that terpenes have therapuetic value if one could overcome cultural/personal biases? |   |                    |           |           |
| 162 | Henry Burbank   | ideally the boomers who wanted free love and small government would stop acting like bolshevik control freaks.   |  |   |                    |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 163 | Anna Ransome     | One acre per approved parcel.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 164 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | Agree with Judith   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 165 | rachel zierdt    | This small family farmer is a myth in this county based on who is getting permits.  | it is not a myth, I am a small family farmer with a permit. | Small family farmers are what the local Cannabis farming economy was founded on and needs to be prioritized. Legacy farmers and Social Equity ARE of the utmost importance going forward. With pressure to prioritize these operators from the State Level. |           |           |           |
| 166 | Joanna Cedar     | The size of a cannabis farms should be relative to what the parcel can sustain and aligned with state law. The cottage license (25 plants) was introduced into the original state legislation FOR Sonoma County because of the desire to provide a permitting and licensing pathway for Sonoma County farmers who were more likely to be on RR and AR parcels. Currently the minimum for hemp cultivation is 1/10 of an acre. |   |   |           |           |           |
| 167 | Vi Strain        | Hoop houses should not be visible in Scenic Corridors and scenic sonoma County county sides   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 168 | Gina Cloud       | Allow rooftop hoophouse growing operations in industrial areas. Allow processing in the buildings below.  | Interesting idea.   | wont work, need to read building codes  |           |           |           |
| 169 | Henry Burbank    | (see Ch. 38)  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 170 | Craig Litwin     | Allow 10% -25% of parcel size. Allow same view shed as hemp. Study hemp impacts in EIR since it is the same plant as cannabis. Study how standards should be the same as hemp since federal cannabis legalization is coming soon. Study estimate of how many acres of cannabis were in production pre legalization as a baseline of impacts, size, visual, odor, etc.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 171 | Michael Capp     | What about Sonoma County architectural design criteria, this is all out of context with what we face in design concepts.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 172 | Lori Pascarella  | County permitting and update to cannabis ordinance should align directly with state licensing.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 173 | Mary Plimpton    | Clusters of 10,000 sq ft plots should be regarded as single entity and permitted accordingly, eg ineligible for ministerial permitting, instead CUP with public notices, public hearings, REQUIRED EIR  | Agreed! Keep it simple!                                     |   |           |           |           |
| 174 | judith olney     | Indoor grows: Greenhouses at 1/10th acre: Canopy total sq feet given tiered grows   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 175 | Nancy Richardson | Inclusion areas should be defined where there is adequate water and wastewater disposal and no impact on nearby residents and hamlets (such as Bloomfield, Glen Ellen, Glen Ellen, etc.) Size and clustering would depend on the size of the designated Inclusion Zone.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 176 | Vi Strain        | Limit cannabis production to make it exclusive rather than flood the market.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 177 | Henry Burbank    | i like sarah new hairstyle. i enjoy her lighthearted joy in moderating this difficult topic.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 178 | Steven Sommer    | How are we dealing ? vegitable Hoop houses they are every where   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 179 | Nancy Richardson | agree   |   |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 180 | Yoel Chetrit     | 10% of 100 acres would pay nearly \$1,000,000 in local taxes to the county each year that could go toward community development and education   | Agreed  | Yes!      | I agree   |           |           |
| 181 | Rebecca Bass     | outdoor plant canopy: limit to 1 acre<br><br>bigger concern would be cultivation structures - these must be prohibited where they would cause negative visual impacts to other properties, and restricted to commercial and industrial areas  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 182 | Joel Hoyt        | Indoor grows are not environmentally sustainable, so people should be allowed to grow in their backyards with no limit on acreage.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 183 | katherine yates  | the appropriate size will depend on the grow's impact on the adjacent lands. If it is in a windy area, the grow needs to have a much higher percentage of land to grow, with the hoop house or outdoor grow placed at the edge furthest away from adjacent neighborhoods. If it is in a water questionable area |   |           |           |           |           |
| 184 | L Williams       | 10% rule if over 100 acres large. 20 Percent if under 100 acres.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 185 | Henry Burbank    | \$1M will help pay for the opposition's retirement. where is the new sonoma economy as wine industry goes down the drain.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 186 | judith olney     | Outdoor grows are not environmental sustainable given impacts on groundwater resources and wastewater discharge - which cannot go in septic systems per Water Quality Control Board   | What factual information is your conclusion based on?                                 |           |           |           |           |
| 187 | Steven Sommer    | Rememer then size should based econmic feasibilty   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 188 | Kristen Decker   | Here we are in a drought during fire season. These are real and life threatening factors that will only get worse with global warming. It seems critical to focus on water resources, reduced chemical storage in high fire zones and fire safe roads for evacuation.   | We are not storing chemicals and cananbis as well as hemp is considered a fire break. |           |           |           |           |
| 189 | Nancy Richardson | Grow in the three Ag zones, LEA, LIA and DA on parcels 10 acres or greater where odor cn be stopped at the property line of nearby residents.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 190 | judith olney     | Detrimental Concentration is a key concern of the General Plan Ag Resource element - thank you for noting this!   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 191 | Yoel Chetrit     | with the landuse requirements proposed there is not more than 3000 permissible acres in the county there is not need to limit acreage caps in the county beyond the land use requirements already proposed  | definitely agree  |           |           |           |           |
| 192 | Craig Harrison   | The larger growers such as Cannacraft and SPARC should focus on other counties (Santa Barbara, Riverside, Imperial) or other states. Large grows are inappropriate and are not environmentally sustainable in this county.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | Anna Ransome     | Avoid the complete alteration of the rural landscape that has taken place in San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara counties. Don't sacrifice what brought many of us to the county in the first place – our rural beauty and natural landscape.   | agreed  | Agreed    |           |           |           |
| 194 | Steven Sommer    | how man acres of grapes should be planted   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | Henry Burbank    | neighbors call on odor when they hear about a grow and before any cannabis is on the site. there is absolutely zero integrity and honesty to the baby boomers battle against cannabis. will nayoine ever challenge their lies and manipulation? or will we just focus on "how ugly" agricultural grows are?     | This is 100% accurate and occured to me before I even put a shovel in the ground.     |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | Sonia Taylor     | Retail should only be in incorporated jurisdictions. Or at the Airport.   |   |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)                        | Answer(s)                         | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 197 | L Williams        | Sonoma has 50,000 acres of Vines. A 1 percent rule would be resonable. 500 acres of cannabis   |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 198 | Lori Pascarella   | A successful distribution operation would be one that is both sourcing and branding Sonoma County grown cannabis!!!  | agreed                           |                                   |           |           |           |
| 199 | Anna Ransome      | Avoid the proliferation of non-permeable surfaces that increase flooding and lessen infiltration that replenishes water tables.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 200 | Preston Smith     | Micro Licenses that allow small growers to grow, manufacture and distribute their product is very important to keep the small growerers alive.   | Agreed                           |                                   |           |           |           |
| 201 | AnnaRae Grabstein | There should not be a cap on retail operations. The market will correct. Without easy access to retail the illicit market steps in.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 202 | judith olney      | Cluster in industrial zones - manuf and processing . In Ag lands are prime soils and there is little police and fire support.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 203 | katherine yates   | it is extremely important to recognize that cannabis is much more an industrial product than an agricultural product. No other ag product creates the level of traffic, smell, crime, visual damage, and water demand as cannabis. So operations need to be very very limited, ideally to commercial zones | It is a plant grown in the dirt. | the value invites violent crime.. |           |           |           |
| 204 | L Williams        | Keep Retail open. But micro licenses have a farm to retail that would be needed  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 205 | Eric Sklar        | For outdoor and greenhouse in AG, there shouldn't be a limit. There isn's on grapes, but setbacks between gardens is reasonable  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 206 | Joanna Cedar      | Cannabis is NOT a new land use application...it is a new permitted use. The county should not limit on the number of permits...the process of permitting and licensing at the state level will cull the numbers because of the costs of compliance.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 207 | Kristen Decker    | What are sales in our county? Doesn't seem like Sonoma County should pay the environmental and standard of living costs for residents outside the county. Each county or other state can grow their own.   |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 208 | Nancy Richardson  | Do an economic report and ascertain how much cannabis is being grown in the state and how much more can be grown in Sonoma which can legally be sold. Set the acreage limit so as not to supply the black market. Economic analysis should be peer reviewed.   | Good idea                        |                                   |           |           |           |
| 209 | Henry Burbank     | DA LEA LA land should be able to sell their products wholesale from the property   |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 210 | Ann Storms        | No! We need retail caps. Other counties have very stringent caps on marijuana stores.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 211 | Joel Hoyt         | The operations should be as close to each other as possible. Five miles max.   |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 212 | CECILE ISAACS     | Intangible costs of new commercial facilities need to be captured. Smell, crime, noise, excessive water use, night light: Few complaints to enforcement means lower county costs to process and respond.   |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 213 | Steven Sommer     | Should fit in with Wine tourism rules  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |
| 214 | Sam De La Paz     | Cultivation Concentration needs to be developed by the Cannabis farming community and based around appellations. With a focus on small farmers and environmentally friendly farming techniques.  |                                  |                                   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 215 | Vi Strain        | We are not part of the cannabis community. We are local residents who are concerned how cannabis is now handled and want the County to consider neighborhood compatibility as the County has promised to do since the beginning of cannabis permitting.   | Cannabis has been part of Sonoma County. We are local residents concerned about our livelihood.  |   |           |           |           |
| 216 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | All I can think of is my last visit to Denver. Total nightmare where every other store was selling cannabis. So depressing  | How about completely shut down storefronts and towns?? is that not more depressing??<br><br>please take a drive through Laytonville... the town once booming now almost dead because the impact of over regulation |   |           |           |           |
| 217 | judith olney     | Put a cap on Permits or acreage by watershed - water availability will be impacted by concentrated uses   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 218 | Michael Ely      | The premise that cannabis is acceptable is a false premise for a large number of us in agricultural zoned areas   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 219 | Kristen Decker   | Retail operations should be nowhere near schools, parks and homes.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 220 | Henry Burbank    | there should not be a limit. anyone can plant help right up to the property line. smell is the same.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 221 | L Williams       | Micro licenses could help tourism. Farmers should have tasting room abilities like vineyards  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 222 | Jeremy Freitas   | manage what you have now and what is in the pipeline. Then go from there  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 223 | Joanna Cedar     | Retail caps keep the illicit market going   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 224 | Henry Burbank    | every store in sebastopol sells tourist trinkets.   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 225 | Jonathan Saler   | Limit manufacturing and distribution to cities and industrial areas where taxes are collected and monitored   | Grow only indoors.   | Odors from chicken farms are food production related. Cannabis is not a food and not really a necessary crop. |           |           |           |
| 226 | Mary Plimpton    | Moratorium on all permitting pending conclusion of EIR  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 227 | katherine yates  | This question is difficult to answer in the abstract, as the where and the how many is so dependent on location. In general, dont put them where they adversely affect the legendary bucolic beauty of sonoma county  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 228 | judith olney     | To answer these questions we need to know the size, intensity and location of EXISTING PERMITS  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 229 | Sonia Taylor     | How much cannabis does Sonoma County need for consumption? How much cannabis is needed for state consumption? Clearly we should not be allowing more cannabis to be grown/processed in Sonoma County than is needed for the state need total (which require evaluating how much cannabis is currently already being grown/processed state-wide). This information would help determine numbers. |  |   |           |           |           |
| 230 | L Williams       | San Francisco has a distance between dispensary rule  |  |   |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 231 | CECILE ISAACS    | Healdsburg has developed methods to keep the entire commercial district becoming tasting rooms. Cannabis will be even more of a problem and needs to be evaluated in advance.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 232 | Joel Hoyt        | Retail should be allowed like any other stores, ie: car dealerships, clothes, wineries, grocery stores.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 233 | Michael Ely      | I personally do not want cannabis growing in Sonoma County. If not permitted on the coast, why not elsewhere   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 234 | Gina Cloud       | Distribution from grow sites, many of which are in or near residential areas, is not a good idea. Just because wine has tasting rooms everywhere, does not mean the cannabis should follow suit. It's hard to spit out a sample of cannabis.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 235 | Henry Burbank    | the parcels that abut agriculture zones were once broken off of the agriculture properties. and now the small houses on small land are trying to stop the large ag lands from doing what they want.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 236 | Craig Harrison   | We need an economic analysis of grows of various sizes to help estimate of the number of acres or projects that might be permitted (outdoor; indoor; mixed light).<br>Growers claim that some consumers prefer pot grown in Sonoma County. Under Bus. & Prof Code § 26063(c), an appellation of origin "requires the practice of planting in the ground in the canopy area and excludes the practices of using structures, including a greenhouse, hoop house, glasshouse, conservatory, hothouse, and any similar structure, and any artificial light in the canopy area." Can we force an estimate of the acreage of plants that currently qualify for an appellation of origin? |  |           |           |           |           |
| 237 | Nancy Richardson | The County should entertain a pause in applications of all sorts of commercial applications during this drought. Not just cannabis. How can the County do a baseline analysis if more permits continue to be issued?   | Nancy, The golf club in Sonoma is very green. I spoke to the manager about it and he defended it by saying they have their own well. Our precious water resource should be for food, medicine and animals not for golf clubs. Cannabis is medicine for many of us. |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 238 | Henry Burbank   | odor for agriculture crops is a rediculous thing to discuss. what about the smell from chicken farms, horse farms, etc? the smell is terrible and it makes a horesfly problem. or is it only cannabis smell that matters?   | With regards to livestock odor, even Section 26-08-010 in Chapter 26 of the Zoning Code states: "In the event that the confined animal use is proposed within five hundred feet (500') of a nonagricultural land use category, it shall require prior approval of a use permit." So even animals on DA parcels have strict limitations in effort to reduce odor impact on neighboring RR parcels. |           |           |           |           |
| 239 | Anna Ransome    | County should establish a complaint line with an inspection automatically generated when there are three complaints from different parties who live adjacent to the operation. The inspection should include Nasal Ranger readings on three different occasions to take weather conditions into consideration. Fines and clean-up orders should be used to assure compliance. |   |           |           |           |           |
| 240 | rachel zierdt   | Odor should stop at the property line   | Impractical.  |           |           |           |           |
| 241 | Yoel Chetrit    | Odor is a joke, in the middle of harvesting an acre (when its supposed to smell the worst) our landlord asked me when it's going to start smelling and chuckled when i told her this is bad as it gets  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 242 | Lori Pasarella  | Agriculture of any form has odor associated with at some point in the process. Cow poop, chicken maneur, winery pomace piles all smell worse! Trying to regulate smell simply stinks!   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 243 | Joel Hoyt       | Wineries don't worry about odor so why should cannabis. It smells beautiful.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 244 | CECILE ISAACS   | Outdoor plants for most ag is seasonal. Cannabis is not, so requires more rules; more enforcement. Enforcement of all cannabis rules needs to be handled by a dedicated unit, not relying on neighbors.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 245 | Preston Smith   | Oder can be mitigatigated by filtration in green houses. You may want to require green houses for larger grows.   | Agree - by law, we need to mitigate these impacts...not rationalize them away   |           |           |           |           |
| 246 | judith olney    | Canna is not an agricultural crop and is regulated separately   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 247 | Eric Sklar      | For outdoor and greenhouse operations, there should be setbacks from sensitive uses - like homes, schools, hospitality ect.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 248 | Steven Sommer   | Industrial and indoor can be mitigated  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 249 | katherine yates | Nina did not mention the number one source of odor: harvesting! Grow operators harvest four times a year, and the odor goes on 24/7 for a month. That means 4 solid months of odor. NO OTHER ANIMAL FARM PRODUCES THIS INTENSITY AND DURATION OF ODOR IN THIS COUNTY  | Not True. Most outdoor operators are harvesting once and twice max  |           |           |           |           |
| 250 | Lindsay Blyth   | There are setbacks.   |   |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 251 | Joanna Cedar      | Hemp and cannabis are the same plant. They smell the same. The setbacks should be the same. They are agriculture which is a vital sector in the local economy.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 252 | Anna Ransome      | Low odor terpene varieties should be planted.  | You do know that terpenses have therapeutic value?   | This is a non starter as the terpenes are a major component of the medicinal value |           |           |           |
| 253 | rachel zierdt     | There are strains that do not smell as bad. Why aren't they considered?  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 254 | Henry Burbank     | you cannot control odor. the more you allow it to be an issue that is taken seriously the more you activate spoiled boomer haters to harrass and waste thier lives opposing cannabis. maybe they should try to solve the homeless problem?   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 255 | Grace Barresi     | The county should increase setbacks to mitigate odor. We know, as was just stated by Nina, that odor dissipates with distance. Increase the distance to neighboring properties.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 256 | Nancy Richardson  | Odor should stop at the property line. There are even setbacks in the code for pig farms. Distance solves the problem.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 257 | L Williams        | Carbon filters on Small greenhouses operations that are on smaller properties. Limiting super Large outdoor grows.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 258 | Eric Sklar        | Oh, and 250-500 feet is good enough.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 259 | CECILE ISAACS     | Limit processing to indoor commercial districts/warehouses   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 260 | Anna Ransome      | Siting decisions should be based on prevailing wind direction.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 261 | Sam De La Paz     | Nothing, this is agriculture and Hemp smells exactly the same. Hemp is protected by the "right to farm". This topic is a moot point, based on this simple fact   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 262 | Joel Hoyt         | We should impose the same restrictions on cannabis order as we do on cattle, grape and wine operations.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 263 | judith olney      | There is a 500 foot setback between farm animals and adjacent residential Zones...   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 264 | Lindsay Blyth     | If we want to impose the same rules as agriculture, it should be an agricultural product.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 265 | Stephanie Danaher | We won't have to worry about the smell if it isn't allowed in neighborhoods. Stephanie   | folks say they smell cannabis when there is no cannabis aroud. they make up things to stop cannabis any way they can. its sad how mean spirited these lovely old ladies can be. you should see ms. dannaher's friendly smiles when she walks around the neigborhood. a real sweetie. |  |           |           |           |
| 266 | Henry Burbank     | NOT A JOKE: suggestion is that the county start a fund to buy houses from people who think they smell cannabis and fill their houses with the homeless. maybe a jobs program for the homeless on the local cannabis farnms. its better than filling the downtown hotels and bike paths, NO JOKE. |  |  |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 267 | Craig Harrison   | Terpenes are a nuisance odor. I dont live near winery odors, and don;t want to smell marijuana odor.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 268 | Lindsay Blyth    | There are odor studies completed for new farms in general.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 269 | Sam De La Paz    | Please let me know if you are seeing this inputs in the Q&A section.   | live answered  |           |           |           |           |
| 270 | Joanna Cedar     | Odor should not be a consideration at all in rural areas. It's agriculture.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 271 | CECILE ISAACS    | Mitigations of odor need to be specified in the ordinance. Think about the most vulnerable among us as well as those who love the odor of cannabis.  | the "most vulnerable" might benefit from the therapeutic value of terpenes. Please research, you might break through your cultural/political bias for the sake of actual science |           |           |           |           |
| 272 | Lori Pascarella  | Use of seasonal temporary hoop structures helps to reduce spread of odors and restrict view of plants. These should be required for outdoor cultivation. Indoors has ventilation and filtration to mitigate odors.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 273 | Rebecca Bass     | Ideally 1000 foot set backs that are enforced to minimize the impacts of odor on adjacent properties   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 274 | katherine yates  | Odor is a very real and serious issue. You have to understand how intense the odor is during harvesting, and while the plant is drying, how long the odor persists, around the clock. Harvesting 20 plants on our lane could be smelled all the way down the lane around the clock. Many people have an adverse reaction to the odor.<br>So having the odor stop at the property boundary line, based on an EIR report about that line | adverse reactions? you mean personal preferences?  |           |           |           |           |
| 275 | Nancy Richardson | You can use Ortech to determine the proper setback. The industry uses Ortech. It is not false science like the spurious idea that vegetation absorbs odors.  | Complete technical analyses to determine the adequate setbacks - through EIR   |           |           |           |           |
| 276 | L Williams       | planting other flowers and including it in your odor mitigation plan.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 277 | judith olney     | Winery use permits do have conditions to manage nuisances such as noise or odor  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 278 | Leo Avatar       | Isn't the odor issue clearly addressed by the fact that LEA, LIA, DA, and RRD are the only permissible zonings? And that that's the nature of living in or near "Ag land?"   | Great point  |           |           |           |           |
| 279 | Craig Harrison   | We have no idea how smelly this can be if there were lots of large grows in areas such as valleys where are thermal inversions during the summer. You can drive people from their homes.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 280 | Vi Strain        | Please do the research and provide this information through the EIR, so all involved understand the ramifications of odor and have mitigations.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 281 | Grace Barresi    | Use scientific studies and bring in experts on odor to assess the odor from cannabis cultivation sites to make a scientific and fact based determination on odor and setbacks  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 282 | L Williams       | following the California Air Resources Board guidelines.   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 283 | Nancy Richardson | the setback for pig farms is 600 feet   | Section 26-08-010 in Chapter 26 of the Zoning Code states: "In the event that the confined animal use is proposed within five hundred feet (500') of a nonagricultural land use category, it shall require prior approval of a use permit." So even animals on DA parcels have strict limitations in effort to reduce odor impact on neighboring RR parcels. |           |           |           |           |
| 284 | Craig Harrison   | You need air quality modeling to assess the effects on neighbors. Don't guess.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 285 | Ann Storms       | Our neighbor had a small backyard grow last summer.   | It was awful   |           |           |           |           |
| 286 | Nancy Richardson | ortech uses wind direction  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 287 | Joanna Cedar     | There is no setback for hemp cultivation  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 288 | Steven Sommer    | in ag zones should come under existing right to farm why reinvent the wheel   | TOTALLY RIGHT  |           |           |           |           |
| 289 | Henry Burbank    | i love the smell  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 290 | Henry Burbank    | \   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 291 | Craig Harrison   | For smelly grows, require each supervisor and his or her family to spend a weekend there smelling it.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 292 | Grace Barresi    | livestock odor, even Section 26-08-010 in Chapter 26 of the Zoning Code states: "In the event that the confined animal use is proposed within five hundred feet (500') of a nonagricultural land use category, it shall require prior approval of a use permit." So even animals on DA parcels have strict limitations in effort to reduce odor impact on neighboring RR parcels. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 293 | Vi Strain        | Chemical drift to residential uses and fog odor neutralizing aerosols that contain oxidizing agents have not been subject to long-term studies.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 294 | Nancy Richardson | Cannabis does not enjoy the status of Right to farm   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 295 | Sonia Taylor     | See what Yolo County is doing regarding outdoor grow odor. Indoor grows, the odor should not leave the building, which is the standard in cities.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 296 | katherine yates  | using national guidelines is not appropriate, as there are significantly windy areas in this county. Setbacks to control odor needs to be determined on a application to application basis, based on wind studies   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 297 | Henry Burbank    | the county is creating neighborhood battles and starting a real hatred btw folks who once got along great. ministerial is the only way to prevent this and protect farmers who pay taxes and create jobs.   |  |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 298 | judith olney     | Events are a major source of noise - and do not belong in rural areas: CA State Event permits require visitor serving uses in cities or at large facilities, such as fairgrounds                                |   |   |           |           |           |
| 299 | Anna Ransome     | Limits on day and nighttime decibels could be used, but new noise is always a significant impact according to CEQA so just using General Plan noise guidelines is not sufficient.                               |   |   |           |           |           |
| 300 | Henry Burbank    | didnt we have a vote the was won by a large margin? is cannabis legal? is this process limiting the industry to affectively shut down the legal industry?   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 301 | L Williams       | Most cannabis licenses have an hours of operations mandate. 8-6 PM. so farming does not wake up neighbors. Decible levels on generators and equipment   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 302 | Preston Smith    | Cannabis operations do not make many more and in many cases less noise than other ag and manufacturing businesses. Therefore they should not be any more restrictive than those operations.                     |   |   |           |           |           |
| 303 | Jean Hegland     | Noise from fans and ventilation systems, & etc. should not be allowed between the hours of 8pm and 6am  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 304 | judith olney     | Use Permits will address equipment noise - and usually equipment is required to be inside a structure   | Exactly!  |   |           |           |           |
| 305 | CECILE ISAACS    | Cannabis does not enjoy the status of Right to farm and never should. It's a year-round industrial operation. When any farming operation moves from seasonal to year-round, it should lose that "Right".        | I am a farmer not "indusrtrial operator"  | the botany of a cannabis/hemp plant grown in the ground with out structures does not support your assertion |           |           |           |
| 306 | Anna Ransome     | Employees make a lot of noise and neighbors of businesses often complain about it. Parking lot conversations (when arriving, leaving or on breaks), loud radios and vehicles could be discouraged by operators. |   |   |           |           |           |
| 307 | Joanna Cedar     | Cannabis and grapes and all other agriculture should have the same noise guidelines   | Agreed, trying to single out the cannabis industry above any other agriculture or industry does not make sense! |   |           |           |           |
| 308 | Lori Pascarella  | The cannabis industry should not be subject to anything within the General Plan Noise limits different than any other agriculture or industry is currently subject to.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 309 | katherine yates  | With any rural grow application, the county needs to require noise decible measuring, as it can vary enormously from site to site, often due to prevailing wind directions and land topography.                 |   |   |           |           |           |
| 310 | Ann Storms       | Limit the noise by stringently limiting all aspects of marijuana grows, retail, etc. in our beautiful county.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 311 | Nancy Richardson | Noise includes all the comings and goings of workers. Traffic noises. The sheriff does not enforce noise complaints and seems to think there is no noise ordinance.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 312 | Anna Ransome     | Fans should have quiet ratings. No broadcasted amplified music. Limit trips with good scheduling and possibly shuttles. Limit number of employees, hours, days.   |   |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)                               | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 313 | Vi Strain       | Move processing plants with 24/7 hour operation, seasonal employees traffic impacts, night lighting, and security fence commercial/Industrial zones not adjacent to residential area  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 314 | Leo Avatar      | noise ordinance should be the same as any other Ag industry, in same zonings. Why is this a question? Shouldn't this be a universal guideline across current Zonings and Ag Processes county wide? Why is everyone trying to overscrutinize cannabis and treat it exceptionally different? Especially in regards to something universal, like noise.. | agreed                                  | Agreed!   |           |           |           |
| 315 | Steven Sommer   | Every winery in the county creates more noise than any cannabis operation   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 316 | Craig Harrison  | The county should study the extent to which legal grows replaced illegal grows since 2016, which was the premise of the 2016 MND. All of the grows that started in Bennett Valley since 2017 were from people outside the county or state.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 317 | sica Roman      | can you hear plants growing?  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 318 | Preston Smith   | Cannabis will some day be as large or larger than the wine industry. Events should be allowed on size and number of events.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 319 | Henry Burbank   | i had a large indoor grow for 10 years and not one single neighbor EVER knew or had a problem. i had many large fans and HVAC units. not one neighbor ever cared. they loved me. now that the county is asking for input from these folks they will make up stories about sound and smell. its a joke. how do we take this seriously.                 |   |           |           |           |           |
| 320 | sica Roman      | grow quiet strains lol  | are plants are too loud, lol            | agreed    |           |           |           |
| 321 | Henry Burbank   | history will show sonoma county bending over backwards for the opposition who will NEVER be satisfied.  | no doubt!                               |           |           |           |           |
| 322 | sica Roman      | no loud strains lol   | I can hear you guys from penngrove, lol |           |           |           |           |
| 323 | katherine yates | Again, as with odor, the noise from HVAC and traffic only further supports the inappropriateness of grows near rural neighborhoods, and instead to be in commercial zones   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 324 | judith olney    | Only true Agriculture crops are protected by Right to Farm - the State and our Supervisors clearly stated that cannabis is not a crop, and is subject to nuisance law   | yes lets pretend its not a plant        |           |           |           |           |
| 325 | Michael Ely     | Poor assumption, we are not interested in cannabis growing going forward  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 326 | Henry Burbank   | limits for events MUST be the same as other industries  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 327 | Jeremy Freitas  | any commercial and agricultare area should be allowed when work is needed to be done. Cannabis is heavy taxed and the american people are benefiting from this industry   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 328 | Dustin King     | Most concerned with noise in rural areas surrounding any events, tasting, etc.<br><br>Also processing and distribution.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 329 | Steven Sommer   | coud define ruaral for me   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 330 | Craig Harrison  | Without enforcement of the noise limitis, the entire program fails to protect the residents and envirnment. No one will in enforcement do anything except M-F 8-5. And not on holidays.   |   |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 331 | L Williams       | Cannabis and even Hemp does not have a right to farm. Until Proven to be a non nuisance. So sound and smell in your ordinance shall be very important   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 332 | Robert Guthrie   | There are busy commercial cannabis sites with driveways, employee break areas, parking lots, and bathrooms right on the property line with its neighbors. That's mostly due to the fact that indoor cultivation buildings have no setbacks to a neighbor's property line. These commercial / industrial operations need to be setback so that a resident can enjoy their entire property. |   |   |           |           |           |
| 333 | Lori Pascarella  | Cannabis use permits already require a noise study as part of the process. Cannabis should not be subject to stricter noise ordinance standards than any other industry in the county.  | 👉   | Studies are not reality. Bought and paid for self-serving documents. How about limits to noise and genuine enforcement? |           |           |           |
| 334 | Henry Burbank    | noise limits MUST be the same as all other industries   | agreed  |   |           |           |           |
| 335 | katherine yates  | limit traffic to five days/week, 8 am to 5pm  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 336 | judith olney     | Wild animals are disrupted by Noise   | come and see the increased biodiversity my farm has supported. I even have once endangered species. |   |           |           |           |
| 337 | Nancy Richardson | No commercial events in agricultural zones  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 338 | Jonathan Saler   | No Leaf blowers!  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 339 | Preston Smith    | Sorry I forgot to mention, events could be as simple as small groups and annual events like Wine Roads.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 340 | Henry Burbank    | the opposition will NEVER be happy  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 341 | Henry Burbank    | i will use leaf blowers on my property ever day of the week   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 342 | rachel zierdt    | Enforcement is a huge issue....try getting anyone in the county out if you have a problem with sound etc.... Also, I have read lots of cannabis permits and they are not limited in hours...many are 24/7.  | infactual.  |   |           |           |           |
| 343 | Henry Burbank    | lots of groundskeeping. will the opposition be able to tell me when to mow my lawn? who is taking this seriously?!  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 344 | L Williams       | Put tax money aside to fund code enforcement and the planning department at a minimum of 25%.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 345 | Henry Burbank    | there have been many inspections that come unannounced and make the cannabis farmer get treated like a criminal.  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 346 | judith olney     | Enforcement absolutely should NOT be based on neighbors having to turn in their neighbors. On going Audits and monitoring by County is required - Napa audits its winery permits - time we did to   | the county and state visit my site regularly.   |   |           |           |           |
| 347 | Preston Smith    | Accountability would already be built into the licensing process and use permit system. If a cannabis operator does not comply they stand to lose their license and use permit.   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 348 | Anna Ransome     | Strict rules and adequate enforcement essential. County should have a hot line for complaints and a department for enforcement with actual fines and penalties, including loss of licenses - not like it is now.  |   |   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| 349 | Craig Harrison   | If anyone were serious about compliance neighbors would have the ability to enjoin offending operations at no cost to themselves.  | Agree - the cost of enforcement should not fall on the neighboring property owners |           |  |           |           |
| 350 | gail cafferata   | Cannabis grown commercially is not food. It is a regulated hazardous substance.  |  |           |  |           |           |
| 351 | rachel zierdt    | Maybe the industry should help monitoring instead of saying that neighbors are bothersome naysayers.   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 352 | L Williams       | Three strikes you're out. Some folks make honest mistakes.   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 353 | judith olney     | Each operator must know and comply with their Use Permit ... not all are complying   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 354 | Joel Hoyt        | We know many growers who have opted to leave California because there are too many regulations, fees and permits here. All the rules force people to grow illegally.   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 355 | Eric Sklar       | Enforcement funding needs to be sufficient. And, there should always be an effort to help operators comply before punishment.  | Agreed, this is legalized business!  |           |  |           |           |
| 356 | Henry Burbank    | why do my two small children need to be woken at 8am by an surprise inspection? i get straight A's on every inspection. these neighbors have no idea how many inspections we have endured. arent we citizens with privacy rights? why are we still being treated like this even when we get great grades?      |  |           |  |           |           |
| 357 | Lori Pascarella  | Cannabis growers are subject to waterboard, fish & wildlife, CDFA, DCC, and Sonoma County Ag Department and Sonoma County Code Enforcement inspections. It seems like there is already more than enough accountability for cannabis cultivators.   | BCC  | 100%      | California Department of Food and Agriculture & Department of Cannabis Control | TRUE!!    |           |
| 358 | gail cafferata   | You have to remove the fear of retribution (violence and other threats) to neighbors who see violation of regulations.   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 359 | Nancy Richardson | Fund Code Enforcement. They should be proactive and have drones to find illegal grows. Industry should be turning in the illegal growers. Possibly reward them? People are afraid of retribution if they make a complaint. County is very forgiving and reduces fines. A slap on the arm is all violators get. | You are uninformed.  |           |  |           |           |
| 360 | Vi Strain        | Enforce code violations within two weeks, maximum, put together teams as other Counties have done  |  |           |  |           |           |
| 361 | Henry Burbank    | make a call in line for all the opposition to blow off their hot air.  |  |           |  |           |           |
| 362 | Jonathan Saler   | Being accountable for quantity of growth is crucial. Wanting greater profit will tempt growers to increase their production, therefore, production must be closely monitored   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 363 | katherine yates  | people adversely affected by the failure of a grow operation to operate with compliance should have the right and a way to report the violation(s)   |  |           |  |           |           |
| 364 | Vi Strain        | Agree with Judith and Anna   |  |           |  |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 365 | rachel zierdt    | complying would be great. That is not the history for some grows and the county does not adequately enforce its ordinances.  | there are very few permitted/license grows in this county and the ones that have survived are abiding by the regulations. we deal with county and state often. |           |           |           |           |
| 366 | Yoel Cherit      | We have inspections from the county and different state agencies every year. usually about 5 a year with code enforcement there as well. our farm is more compliant than most residential lots   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 367 | Henry Burbank    | the black market is EATING our lunch. stop meddling in this process by giving an unfair advantage to the dishonest opposition.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 368 | L Williams       | minimum of three checks a year. Not one!<br><br>cdfa does at least one.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 369 | Lindsay Blyth    | Department of Cannabis Control   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 370 | Henry Burbank    | DCC!   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 371 | Lindsay Blyth    | Not BCC.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 372 | Craig Harrison   | The county does not have a program to destroy illegal plants. Rather, the grower is allowed to remove the illegal plants before the county reinspecta a few days later. As most of these plants are grown in pots or bags of soil, the grower can merely put them in a truck and transport them to another illegal grow site. Illegal plants must be destroyed. This practice by the county supports the continuance of the black market and, in reality, makes the county's efforts to eradicate illegal grows like a game of whack-a mole. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 373 | Henry Burbank    | its new.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 374 | gail cafferata   | Abutting neighbors would be free of threats if they report violations.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 375 | judith olney     | A portion of cannabis taxes should go to an Enforcement staff  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 376 | Joanna Cedar     | Licensing in CA is requires both a local permit and a state license. There are over a dozen separate state regulatory agencies that have some degree of authority over the industry. Without a robust permitting program, the county will not have the money to fund oversight.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 377 | Henry Burbank    | it would be good for the moderators to know about right to farm and the DCC. maybe gail can send a memo.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 378 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | Ongoing monitoring with sufficient funding and yearly renewal  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 379 | katherine yates  | we all know that enforcement requires money money money. So the grow operators, who will be making millions, need to pay an annual fee to fund regular inspections.  | we are regularly inspected, we should however, fund a reading group to get you and others up to date with laws and regulations                                 |           |           |           |           |
| 380 | Lindsay Blyth    | All plants have a tag from seed to sale. You can not destroy or grow plants without a state controlled RFID tag.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 381 | Steven Sommer    | The vision for cannabis in an element of the general plan please have scott or to speed bring to speed   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 382 | Joanna Cedar     | DCC: Department of cannabis control (merged BCC, Cal Cannabis and CDHP/MCSB)   | aaahh yes  |           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 383 | Lisa Lai         | The rules are incredibly strict already. Enforcement through education. Forcing neighbors to tell on each other is not helping relationships.  | agreed   |  |           |           |           |
| 384 | Craig Harrison   | Enforcement should be made without notice.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 385 | judith olney     | CDFA - CA Dept Food and Agriculture - responsible for CalCannabis regulations  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 386 | Lindsay Blyth    | Would you let someone onto your property without notice?   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 387 | Craig Harrison   | The county alerts growers days before they arrive. Doesn't anyone see this is a silly enforcement operation?   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 388 | Robert Guthrie   | Reduce the ambiguity in the ordinance.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 389 | Sonia Taylor     | The County must have employees who are dedicated to compliance of cannabis complaints, 7 days a week.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 390 | L Williams       | cal dept of food and Agriculture cdfa regulates cannabis   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 391 | Henry Burbank    | the threats come from the neighbors who make up stories like gun shots to get their neighbors swatted  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 392 | Lindsay Blyth    | Most neighbors don't know what violations even look like, and are apt to call without cause.   | Particularly those who oppose cannabis and are likely to call for any reason, versus a true violation. |  |           |           |           |
| 393 | Henry Burbank    | enforcement is paid from the taxes. duh  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 394 | katherine yates  | where is the staff for enforcement going to come from, and who is going to pay their salaries? How this is to be funded is a crucial priority. Get the money from the profits the grow operators make  | We are heavily regulated and visited often by enforcers from state and county                          |  |           |           |           |
| 395 | Dustin King      | Please only create enforceable standards.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 396 | Preston Smith    | Retail is quite and clean. Less activity than a convenience store. Just make them comple with existing zoning for convenience stores in the County.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 397 | Nancy Richardson | Code Enforcement must give violators a "heads up" before they inspect or the Ag commisioner might tip them off.  | This is misinformation. Inspections can be without notice.   | Nancy, you are referring to two seperate departments, PRMD and Dept. of Ag |           |           |           |
| 398 | Henry Burbank    | great sarag. do you know what this acronym is?: NIMBY  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 399 | Henry Burbank    | this is an areobics exercise. thanks   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 400 | judith olney     | All impact reduction must be measureable, observable and enforceable per CEQA law  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 401 | Leo Avatar       | There should absolutely be a constructive approach to supporting farmers to come into compliance, at least in acknowledgement that this is a new territory for both sides, and many new operators/farmers. Most people want to comply and are repetitively overburdened by penalization, fees, and pressure from code enforcement. There needs to be a balance between enforcement and support, both financially and administratively. Sonoma County could be supporting and collecting hefty tax revenue, yet continues to ostracize and continuously change policy on farmers. | Well said!   | agreed   | agreed    | Yes!      |           |
| 402 | Vi Strain        | Impose a local residency requirement where "opertors" are defined as owning at least 51% of the applying business.   | Agreed   |  |           |           |           |
| 403 | L Williams       | Cannabis will deplete county resources. Dont end up like other counties that dont have the staff to monitor the cannabis industry  |  |  |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name     | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------|--|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| 404 | Joel Hoyt      | Neighbors who regularly put in bogus complaints need to be charged for the county's visit  | agreed  | Agreed, this would help deter harassment of cannabis cultivators by prohibitionists! | I agree, this is an important item to recognize. |           |           |
| 405 | Joanna Cedar   | Neighbors should not have the power to shut a lawful cannabis farm just because they don't like cannabis. Cannabis farmers have been largely bullied out of the county.  | Agreed  |  |  |           |           |
| 406 | Craig Harrison | Require operators to live on the site.   |   |  |  |           |           |
| 407 | Vi Strain      | Change the initial permits period to one year, to match the State.   |   |  |  |           |           |
| 408 | Henry Burbank  | I have found through my work on different types of permits at prmd and your ag dept that the ministerial process yields less bitter neighborhood opposition and support groups clashing. When public notice and neighborhood compatibility clauses activate locals to oppose something they often become aggressively focussed on harming their neighbors in any way possible in order to achieve their goal to thwart a development from proceeding. In my life I've never seen neighbors turn from friends to enemies so fast. And the lies and scare tactics come fast and numerous. The neighborhood battles should not be a part of cannabis farming. There are people who will never do anything to help solve other issues in the county but they fully commit to every scare tactic and lie to harm their neighbor because it makes them feel like a politician, decision maker, and boss. The cannabis permitting process should minimize these forced conflicts by taking the neighbors out of the equation. These people generally are never going to | Could not agree more! Prohibitionists and the "not in my back yard" group will always use tactics of sensationalism and misinformation to push their agendas of shutting down not just cannabis, but any agriculture! They have fought vineyards in Sonoma County for decades!!!! |  |  |           |           |
| 409 | Anna Ransome   | All these comments point to serious concerns about impacts which reminds us of all the pending applications that are in the pipeline. A moratorium is essential so that the findings of the EIR, which will be based on science not opinion, will be applied to cannabis applications.   |   |  |  |           |           |
| 410 | Henry Burbank  | are never going to be satisfied and will pull and pull and pull on the rope until the cannabis industry is in the mud. Some harbor deep resentment and feel like their neighbor who grows cannabis should be made to suffer. It's deep rooted. I lost all my neighbors as friends and found out that there is absolutely no way to satisfy them. They are bullies in their way.  |   |  |  |           |           |
| 411 | Lisa Lai       | Farmers have very small margins. Let's not forget the Black Market does not follow these rules. Please support legal farmers.  | 100% Agreed!  |  |  |           |           |
| 412 | Sam De La Paz  | If we continue to treat Cannabis operators like criminals and NOT like other businesses - regulating them in parity with other Agriculture, we can only expect to see a thriving illicit market.   | agreed  | Exactly!!!   |  |           |           |
| 413 | L Williams     | Start your EIR now! It'll take a long time. But create a stop gap in the meantime  |   |  |  |           |           |
| 414 | Preston Smith  | Sonoma County should have zoning and land use regs that are not so restrictive that it pushes out the small growers. Otherwise you only get big corporate growers.   | Exactly. Big Corporations are already moving in.  |  |  |           |           |
| 415 | Kathy Pons     | Maybe the county should go through the available parcels to determine the appropriate acreage.   |   |  |  |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)                                  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 416 | judith olney     | The County needs to prepare a full analysis of water availability, traffic capacity - and then calculate existing permits and permits in the pipeline so we know the Current state   | Permitting requires this analysis already. | Individual permits do not address cumulative impacts             |           |           |           |
| 417 | katherine yates  | Many of the applicants are from corporate operators, not to the family farmer. Do not allow outside operators to grow in this county. Require proof of residence for several years prior to their application. M   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 418 | Henry Burbank    | Thank you for your hard work on the cannabis ordinance. We are still disappointed the the board did not approve the planning commission's approval of chapter 38. It was impressively well thought-out and written from my point of view. And the process to redline it line by line was a lot of work and I put from stakeholders. It's really frustrating that the process will now take another 3 years. It feels like a spiteful win for the opposition. And will cannabis stakeholder invest their time and energy into another process or will it be only the opposition who is organized and strategized? |  |  |           |           |           |
| 419 | Joanna Cedar     | The baseline for the land use section for the EIR should include the 5-7k small farmers who have been zoned out of the permitting process unable to make use of their land.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 420 | Leo Avatar       | I thought that the zoning issue was resolved and very clear by the designation of LEA, LIA, DA, and RRD ???<br><br>And that use permits and studies are done on a property by property basis... Why isn't this sufficient?   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 421 | Vi Strain        | The negative effects of unanalyzed and unmitigated impact can have and irreversible impact on the character of rural communities. We have scenic corridors, scenic vistas and hoop house in the view shed should be screened and not visible. Protect our scenic resources   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 422 | Mary Plimpton    | Develop a hierarchy of priorities: How will the County prioritize utilization of water resources? Clean water to drink; water for bathing/hygiene; water to raise food crops; water to raise non-food crops  | I fully agree.                             |  |           |           |           |
| 423 | Lisa Lai         | What I want is to see a craft cannabis industry in Sonoma County. If neighbors want operations to live on site, let's add back RR and AR zones. Let's keep small farming alive in Sonoma. Over regulations have pushed out so many small family farms.   | Yes!                                       | YES, allow cooperatives and incentivize appellations development |           |           |           |
| 424 | Henry Burbank    | MINISTERIAL will be the only way to stop this division and hatred. start a hotline for opposition with authoritarian complexes.  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 425 | CECILE ISAACS    | Does staff analyze cumulative impact on each permit application?   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 426 | katherine yates  | The data that says that water zone 2 is stable is out of date. Recent research indicates water in this zone is diminishing. So it is extremely important this information regarding water zone 2 is updated.   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 427 | L Williams       | Maybe have a fasttrack for operations that have no neighbors or have all contiguous neighbors approval   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 428 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | Katherine Yates said it  |  |  |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name       | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 429 | Jonathan Saler   | We notice scrolling through cannabis permits that multiple businesses are applying for permits on the same properties which implies these are large commercial growers, not the small farmer new to the business. It smacks of people taking advantage of Sonoma County. What will be done to protect Sonoma County from foreign entities and crime syndicates? | loosen regulations so small family farmers can afford to get through process on smaller parcels. |           |           |           |           |
| 430 | Steven Sommer    | please referto ag element before next meeting \\  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 431 | Henry Burbank    | these opposition folks are throwing stones from glass houses. they live in illegal residences. they build illegally. they menace nieghbors with drones and trespassing. why are these same 20 people in the county being given such a disproportionate voice?   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 432 | Dustin King      | Share concern for outside investor mentality vs helping local farmers. Wineries owned by outsiders, specifically in Napa, have lost touch with local values and land stewardship.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 433 | Nancy Richardson | Protect our existing farmers. Declare a moratorium until we see what kind of rainy season we will have.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 434 | L Williams       | Cottage license for under 10 acre parcels, could save cannabis farmers that had no path forward   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 435 | Vi Strain        | Ensure that residential wells do not run dry due to cannabis operations   | Farms are not approved to take water from existing uses.   |           |           |           |           |
| 436 | Robert Guthrie   | I'm curious to know what the county needs to do to reduce the duration of this EIR by a year. The 3 year schedule is shocking long.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 437 | Henry Burbank    | lower the tax rates with new teirs for artifical light used in small wattage (read CH. 38)  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 438 | Vi Strain        | Limit acreage in any 10 mile square zone to prevent over-concentration of any one area  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 439 | Sam De La Paz    | Without providing zoning and pathways for small family farmers, we can only expect to see larger commercial Cannabis operations. The neighborhood groups have pushed out the small farmers and now take issue with commercialized Cannabis. Where is the happy medium?  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 440 | MaryAnn Ciavonne | Jonathan Saler voices my concern  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 441 | Henry Burbank    | i propose CHAPTER 38  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 442 | Joel Hoyt        | What are the regulations for golf clubs? Their water use is not for food and medicine.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 443 | Joanna Cedar     | Incentivize the kinds of behaviors that we'd like to see through the cannabis permitting process. Ideas: health of local food sheds, bees, community microgrids, etc...   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 444 | L Williams       | 10 gallon minimum water requirements per acre of canopy   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 445 | Preston Smith    | Set backs should be from the actual green house to the neighboring property not from property line to propertyt line. A green house could be a long way from another property.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 446 | Henry Burbank    | what about all the pharacuedicals that the boomers are flushing into our water supply? no one cares.  | excellent but disturbing point   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 447 | Grace Barresi       | Rewrite the cannabis ordinance to address neighborhood compatibility including increasing setbacks which will help mitigate odor. This is a serious issue and why neighborhood groups have voiced concerns. Cannabis cultivation in the right location, away from residents, is critical to success of the ordinance.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 448 | Steven Sommer       | Remeber you have let agricluture evole when \came here it it was pears prunes apples no wineries ang grape now changing  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 449 | rachel zierdt       | Cannabis might be medicine for some....but let's be realistic. Most of the product is not consumed for that purpose.   | The science does not support this; the body does not know the difference. |           |           |           |           |
| 450 | Henry Burbank       | the opposition probably wants to force masks and vaxxes so maybe they can insist that the masks take cannabis smell away and the shot has some kind of chill-out affect.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 451 | CECILE ISAACS       | Make regulations about water use based on scientific research locally based.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 452 | katherine yates     | a root dilemma with this entire situation is how to regulate on the one hand, and on the other keep the operations in the hands of local farmers and out of the hands of corporate operators who dont live here or care about the county. Threading this needle is tough! perhaps putting the small operators on larger, shared parcels, or to extend loans against their future profits |   |           |           |           |           |
| 453 | Henry Burbank       | sarah you are AWESOME  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 454 | Henry Burbank       | crystal is the BEST for this job. an absolute PRO.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 455 | Henry Burbank       | thanks ladioies  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 456 | L Williams          | thank you  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 457 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf | thank you!   |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1  | Mary Radu           | This is input, not a question. 1. Adopt a Moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions and an Ordinance to set standards for the Industry. Under the current ordinance we will have cannabis 100 feet from our property lines and 300 feet from our residential homes. Stop accepting new cannabis applications until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analyzes the County's water resource capacity and calculates currant water use.<br>2. Prioritize neighborhood compatibility by limiting cannabis cultivation and processing to areas that do not create noise and odor nuisances for residents, are not in public view, are not in impaired watersheds or water scarce zones 3 and 4, are accessed by legal fire safe roads and do not impact public safety. No permitting in high fire risk zones or on remote roads with evacuation challenges. | I agree. Please don't approve applications for an ordinance that will be changed in the future. Just the approval of those will affect what gets agreed on for the future. | Agree. There should be a moratorium on any new or pending commercial cannabis projects until there is a full EIR and new, revised ordinance.   | agree  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 2  | veva edelson        | I am very concerned about the changes we are seeing as the restult of Climate Chaos. Please adopt a Moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions and an Ordinance to set standards for the Industry. Under the current ordinance we will have cannabis 100 feet from our property lines and 300 feet from our residential homes. Stop accepting new cannabis applications until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analyzes the County's water resource capacity and calculates currant water use.   | Agree  | agree as well  | Any size that forces residents to inhale the odor from growing cannabis 24 /7 should not be allowed. | 300 feet from residential home where families live is too close to a Cannabis grow. Agree stop accepting applications until exclusion zones are established. |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 3  | Herman G. Hernandez | Can the County Staff tell when community groups are copy and pasting talking points?   |  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 4  | deborah eppstein    | I agree. Stop accepting new cannabis applications until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analyzes the County's water resource capacity and calculates current water use by all known cannabis cultivation, processing and distribution facilities permits, as well as calculates current and anticipated water use for all other uses- agricultural, residential, commercial and industrial uses county-wide. County water maps are 40 years old and need redoing to make this analysis and the EIR valid.   | i agree  | Agree, how can you approve or even consider large scale commercial cannabis facilities when our county water maps are so outdated? And, during a historic drought.   | Disagree. People that have been in the pipeline deserve to be processed.                             |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 5  | Dani La Noire       | You are going to look at all those people as part of the supply chain, but what about the people and neighborhoods that will be impacted? How will you address our concerns?   | good question!   | Hi Dani! Thank you for joining us. We invite you to email us your questions to cannabis@sonoma-county.org.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 6  | Moira Jacobs        | This is a statement for public record: you must implement a moratorium on ALL commercial marijuana production operations immediately. No new licenses should be given until after this process is completed.<br><br>Sonoma County should only allow any commercial pot production in a few carefully sited indoor locations in industrial zone near airport.<br><br>The ordinance needs to respect neighborhood compatibility, public health and safety, and sound stewardship of our water resources long term!   | agree  | Is the county aware that THC, the primary active ingredient of pot which the industry and County is promoting and pushing on youth, was listed by State of CA as a cancer causing toxin per Prop 65? Are you also aware of the Journal of American Heart Assoc. study showing marijuana is harmful to the human heart. Why are you promoting such an unhealthy drug? I will send you dozens of scientific studies from leading doctors and scientists. Have you studied the impact of pot production on employees? |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 7  | Cathy Crowley       | I agree stringly with number 2. We are in drought and have to be very concerned in water scarce areas.   |  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 8  | Bob Storms          | We want a vision that truly includes the public interest, just not the marijuana interests.  | agreed   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 9  | deborah eppstein    | water availability needs to be analyzed in conjunction with all other present and projected [next 20 years?] residential, agriculture, commercial and industrial needs county wide. We are in a severe drought and this will likely be our future.   | agree  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 10 | deborah eppstein    | Not in water zones 3, 4, impaired watersheds where groundwater supplies are limited and uncertain or riparian corridors<br>For outdoor, not within 1000 ft of next parcel (for 1 acre max grow), or 1 mile from residential communities of 5 homes or more   | good!  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 11 | Valorie Dallas      | Neighborhoods need protection, especially in RR and LEA zones since. Many of these neighborhoods have been around for many years, so are in the "middle of nowhere." Where I live there is a real live example of what can happen. We have 400 residents and bordering, but on 3 sides of our town there is 80 acres (5 parcels, but 2 over 10 acres all purchased by an LLC from San Francisco). They are proposing a 2 acre cannabis grow on the 80 acres. The grow is upwind from the town, there is runoff that goes into the Estero Americano from the proposed grow, the roads in are as narrow as 9 feet with ditches on either side of them, there is no streetlights, there are public lands where kids play that border the proposed acre grow, 9 houses are within 200 feet of the property line of the proposed grow, everyone in town is on a well and have no idea if we have enough water for our own needs, without even adding all the water needed for a 2 acre grow, their proposed processing plant will be very close to the property |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 12 | Herman G. Hernandez | Keep away from schools.  |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 13 | Caroline Koss       | One of the problems when looking at zoning is when you have two different types of zoning butting up against each other, eg, DA next to RR. It's very different than areas that are just DA...   |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 14 | Lauren Marra        | Not a good fit is 300ft from the wall of our homes and within neighborhoods. Put commercial cannabis in Industrial areas, AND with a setback of 1,000ft from residential property lines.   | agreed  | Agreed!                                   | Agree. Commercial cannabis does not belong in neighborhoods (rural or otherwise). It should be grown in industrial areas   | The outdoor grow emits odor that collects in low spots. I can smell it more than a quarter mile away. | Noise: Mini back hoes and carts are used to move soil and containers which have high pitched back up beeps that often go all day long. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 15 | deborah eppstein    | Not on dead-end roads over 1 mile long, or on roads less than 20 ft wide, or that have evacuation challenges   |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 16 | deborah eppstein    | Not on private shared roads unless have written consent from all shared parcel owners  | hoop houses are an eye sore and this plastic breaks down quickly and pollutes our water and air. The land is often rocked which ruins the ag land. Sun grown only to minimize plastic/pollution and since it requires a generous amount of water we should keep the size of the plots to less than an acre.   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 17 | Ray Krauss          | Not in water scarce areas particularly not in the designated impaired watersheds.  |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 18 | deborah eppstein    | Not in RRD   | No pot operations 1,000 feet from a private residence, no where near a school, day care, etc.. No where in Bennett Valley, it's a view shed preserve area, and also a protected area, Matanzas Creek flora and fauna are protected, also the area includes a number of protected wildlife corridors.<br><br>Also, of course a very limited number of these operations should be allowed in industrial zone, with limited impact on water resources. | Not within any community exclusion zones. | A few carefully selected sites in industrial zone near airport, highly secure, indoor, no smells emitted. We should not be trying to grow marijuana for the United States. Only allow a few sites in industrial zone. This is THC drug production, these are not gardens, nor is it traditional Ag. THC drug production provides ZERO nutritional value, it only harms the human brain and other fatty organs. |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 19 | Valorie Dallas      | A good fit will be away from neighborhoods where ever these neighborhoods are located. Some of the old neighborhoods are ag zoning   | Exactly!  |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | Nancy Citro         | Remote water scarce areas, scenic hillsides  | Remote areas not a good idea, grows should be in industrial areas. You just said industrial areas have few homes, fewer problems with safety, water and smell   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 21 | deborah eppstein    | Not in high or very high fire zones.<br>Not in areas with sheriff response time over 20 minutes<br>Not within 5 miles of another grow [to address overconcentration]   | Makes sense.  |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 22 | Bob Fabian          | Any zone which includes residential, ie families and kids, should not have these activities. Security for the facilities has to be considered.   |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 23 | Herman G. Hernandez | Many farmers and ranchers would like to set up their operations further away from public view in unused space on their properties -- so keep as far away from public view.   |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 24 | Cathy Crowley       | In areas where there is agricultural properties with water concerns that are also close to residential property, growing is not a good fit   |   |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| 25 | Jake D              | These commercial facilities should be away from any nearby residences. I believe that they should be at least 1000ft away from any residence. This will ensure that they will not be next to homes. Otherwise, there will always be conflict between neighboring residents and the proprietors of these commercial operations.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 26 | Ray Krauss          | Not on long narrow private roads without adequate safe ingress and emergency egress for fire and emergency vehicles.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 27 | Jake D              | Also, all neighbors should be notified that a permit application has been submitted, regardless of it being a ministerial or use permit.   | Totally agree!!!   |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 28 | Arthur Deicke       | Wherever hemp can be grown, then cannabis should be allowed, unless water scarcity indicates otherwise.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 29 | Ray Krauss          | Not in high fire hazard areas.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 30 | veva edelson        | Whatever happens we must work together to prioritize neighborhood compatibility by limiting cannabis cultivation and processing to areas that do not create noise and odor nuisances for residents, are not in public view, are not in impaired watersheds or water scarce zones 3 and 4, are accessed by legal fire safe roads and do not impact public safety. No permitting in high fire risk zones or on remote roads with evacuation challenges. Permit cannabis processing only on designated commercial and industrial zoned land. A new ordinance must address neighborhood compatibility and be science-based to ensure cannabis operation permitting does not create individual or cumulative impacts. |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 31 | Dawnelle Ricciardi  | And there should be strict limits on how much can be grown.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 32 | Lauren Marra        | Cannabis processing, and transport/distribution should be limited to industrial areas only   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 33 | Jake D              | These facilities should be in industrial areas. The below points provide supporting evidence that this is the best solution for these facilities; this is a great solution to safely and successfully allow the cannabis industry to expand within Sonoma County.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This will prevent these commercial cannabis operations from displacing local farmers who provide us with food.</li> <li>• This will keep these operations away from neighborhoods, residences and other sensitive areas.</li> <li>• This will protect the environment as these cannabis operations would be located in already established industrial/warehousing areas.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This will allow commercial cannabis to connect to the reliable city water and sewer network, eliminating the need to truck in water, and deal with erosion, runoff, wastewater, and other environmental concerns.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This will set these cannabis businesses up for success, as they will be able to take advantage of local roads designed to handle commercial traffic. It will also allow them to operate 24/7 without impunity and disturbance to residential communities.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plants should be allowed to be grown in the sun, outdoors. Hoop houses and greenhouses are a great middle ground solution.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The commercial cannabis operations will be surrounded by other businesses and entrepreneurs which will foster cross-functional innovation and collaboration.</li> <li>• This will allow these cannabis operations to cluster, something they are already advocating for, and will enable them to share resources to achieve larger scale and efficiency, as well as foster collaboration and innovation within their industry.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This will essentially eliminate the risk of crop loss due to wildfires or drought.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thank you for sharing a solution Jake</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Greenhouses in commercial areas are fine.</li> </ul> |           |           |
| 34 | veva edelson        | It is not a good fit to grow commercial cannabis adjacent to RR enclaves and unincorporated towns. It is not a good fit to grow cannabis where the existing roads don't meet the fire-safe road standards. If there is no water or run off that goes into the watershed it is not a good fit. There needs to be enough water to not compromise wells in the area. Needs to be a good distance (5000 ft) from any public access place that people are free to walk and gather.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 35 | Celeste Stephenson  | No cultivation in the community separators   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 36 | Valorie Dallas      | Not near anyplace where kids play, such as public areas and homes, schools.  | agree  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 37 | veva edelson        | A place that would be good a fit is at least (1000ft or more) from residence, a place that has access to roads that meet the fire safe road standards. A couple of good examples of this are the operations on Western the one on Roblar and the one off Gericke.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 38 | deborah eppstein    | Not within community agreed Exclusion Zones  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 39 | veva edelson        | Processing building must be sited in Commercial/Industrial Zone Districts due to the significant impacts on residential uses by operating hours of 7 days a week, 24 hours a day, the influx of seasonal employees, deliveries on site from 8-5, commercial traffic on rural communities' substandard streets, storage of hazardous material, security fencing and/or sensor night lights, audible alarms and security guards  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 40 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Rural residential neighborhoods are not a good place to grow Cannabis due to air quality and odor nuisances, limited fire and safety personnel in our rural communities to manage the criminal element, water scarcity especially parcels on well, and chemical use which impacts neighbors.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 41 | sheila jenkins      | Because of the odor and the increased level of activity, and noise cannabis should not be sited in residential communities. Rural areas can also be negatively impacted for these same reasons.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 42 | Celeste Stephenson  | Prohibit cultivation in the impaired watersheds and in water availability zones 3 and 4  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 43 | Mary Radu           | There is a new international Climate Change report to indicates the ability of humans to take proactive steps to reverse the climate change impacts around the world. Sonoma County must do our part by reducing the negative current and potential future water needs on our water table and other limited water sources. Any zone that has water scarcity issues today and in the future should be excluded from cannabis permitted facilities. Watershed and fish habitats should be examined. Also, 1000 ft from residences and air flows must be taken in to account for any outdoor growing.   |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 44 | Valorie Dallas      | Yes. We should create Exclusion Zones to save time for all of us once we get our criteria figured out. Pre zone it!  |  |   |   |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 45 | deborah eppstein    | All 3 ag zones: LEA, LIA, DA, on parcels 10 acres or greater, subject to criteria including 1000 ft setbacks, no visibility of outdoor cultivation areas including hoop houses from public right of ways, in water zones 1 or 2 with adequate water resources in conjunction with county-wide water needs both present and future, adequate wastewater disposal and adequate renewable power. |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Becky Evenich       | The industrial area near the Sonoma County airport where no houses are allowed to be built  | great idea!  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | deborah eppstein    | Industrial and commercial zones for all cultivation types<br>Only in Industrial/Commercial zones for indoor and greenhouse (mixed light) over 10,000 sf   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | Celeste Stephenson  | Cultivation should not be visible from scenic roads, parks or public right of ways  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | Mary Radu           | Build indoor cannabis growing in industrial zoned areas.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | Arthur Deicke       | Where current AG is located with sufficient water sources.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | deborah eppstein    | Within Cannabis Inclusion Zones (may be certain industrial zones with CEQA analysis pre-done by the county to enable ministerial permitting)  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | Herman G. Hernandez | Cannabis should be grown within vineyards, farms, and all ag-land parcels where people are already farming grapes and livestock.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | Dani La Noire       | There are thousands of open acres in Sonoma County that are NOT near RA and AG neighborhoods that have homes, kids and schools. Those would be good areas.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Cannabis ideally would be grown in warehouses where safety can be ensured, the lighting that is used does not disturb neighboring properties, the odor can be filtered, and the product can be monitored for compliance with permits.   | agree  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 55 | Bob Fabian          | Grows should be in ag zones, and any post growing activities in existing industrial areas.  | Not entirely a solution as many homes and neighborhoods are in or bordering agricultural zoned land  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 56 | Ray Krauss          | The original premise that existing illegal operators should be made legal is not accurate or useful. Because they were illegal they were situated in remote, inaccessible areas and limited in size (cottage grows). These locations are not suitable for the types of operations now being developed.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 57 | Nancy Citro         | A good fit would be grows would be in industrial zones, fewer concerns around safety, smell and water.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 58 | deborah eppstein    | Indoor uses much less water!  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 59 | Caroline Koss       | Please keep it away from our homes and in TRUE AG (Big Setbacks from all people) or Industrial areas - ie, away from where people live! We are DA but there's a grow VERY close to our home.  | agree. Setback needs to be at least 1000ft from homes  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 60 | Lauren Marra        | Industrial areas where there are warehouses, enough space to grow and where cannabis businesses can congregate and collaborate as well as share resources, host events etc  | agreed   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 61 | Valorie Dallas      | Only permit a certain number of grows within an area. Such as if you have a one acre grow, maybe no others within 4 square miles of that grow.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 62 | veva edelson        | The ideal place would not be located on a scenic roadway.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 63 | Celeste Stephenson  | Odor should stop at the property line. There are setbacks to pig farms of 500 feet because of the smell.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 64 | deborah eppstein    | in low fire risk areas  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 65 | Ray Krauss          | Good place would be west of Santa Rosa where currently waste water is used for irrigation. Large parcels well removed from residences.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 66 | Cathy Crowley       | I disagree that it should be grown in vineyard areas where there are already water issues and close to residents.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 67 | Celeste Stephenson  | Inclusion and Exclusion zones should be designated.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 68 | Dennis Rosatti      | Small scale cultivation/craft cultivation can work without providing a nuisance in RR zones. 50-99 plants should be allowed in most zones.<br><br>Greenhouses are a great way to conserve water and deal with odor mitigation. And they can be made to look beautiful.  | But do they make them beautiful? I don't believe we have seen an example in the current plethora of smaller grow sites recently approved by the county. Hoop houses are not beautiful. | I grew up in a farming community. Hoop houses feel normal to me for an agricultural community. |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 69 | Valorie Dallas      | It depends on the definition of outdoor grow. Is a greenhouse indoor or outdoor grow?   | live answered  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 70 | deborah eppstein    | size for outdoor should be limited to 1 acre, and they should not be located in proximity to other grows as that can subject large areas to stinky odor. The amount of cannabis produced in the entire state should not be more than is used in California - it currently is.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 71 | Jake D              | Is a hoop house indoor or outdoor?  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 72 | deborah eppstein    | hoop houses with no light is outdoor. If it has mixed light it is mixed light = greenhouse  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 73 | Celeste Stephenson  | The area around the airport is zoned industrial and would be a perfect location for clustering of indoor cultivation, processing and dispensaries. There is water and wastewater disposal and no neighbors would be affected by noise, traffic or odor.   | agree   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 74 | Moira Jacobs        | This is THC drug production, it has ZERO nutritional value. This is not traditional Ag, it is DRUG production. Place in industrial zone. Someday soon THC will be produced as a synthetic. You are all wasting your time, and destroying our neighborhoods, environment and water resources in a futile attempt at new tax revenues.  | Alcohol, caffeine, vitamin supplements, sugar, pharmaceuticals are ALL drugs! |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 75 | Matt Ferraro        | Current state ordinances dictate how it looks. County ordinance should mirror state law.  |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 76 | Mary Plimpton       | Understand there are law suits in process in Oregon about tainting of wine grapes by cannabis. - Request that County seek access to arguments pro and con in these cases, follow these cases and provide public with this information.  |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 77 | Ray Krauss          | Operations were to be limited to 10,000 sq ft under current ordinance. Must stop issuing multiple permits to separate LLC's for the same parcel which circumvents the intent of the ordinance allowing much larger grows than was anticipated by the Board when they adopted the ordinance.   | agree   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 78 | Valorie Dallas      | Another question about amount to grow is who is growing? Is it a local farmer or a LLC from another county?   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 79 | deborah eppstein    | in rural setting, should not be able to see hoop houses form public right of way or neighbors property  |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 80 | Caroline Koss       | Too big = using up more water than Sonoma County can afford to use!   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 81 | Valorie Dallas      | An outdoor grow of an acre would be an acre of hoop houses which look like a greenhouse to me.  |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 82 | Lauren Marra        | Multiple tenants on one property each with their own permits should not be allowed. Too difficult to regulate and enforce.  | Agree. concerned this is happening out in Bennett Valley and Wellspring Rd.   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 83 | Arthur Deicke       | Cannabis measurements in the county should mirror State requirements and eventual federal requirements. There is no limit on hemp, grapes and other ag commodities except for slope, water availability and other specific restrictions. This ordinance should be prepared for 2023 when State opens up their large outdoor licenses.   | This makes a lot of sense.  |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 84 | Ray Krauss          | Not more than one acre on any parcel.   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 85 | veva edelson        | I would really love to see cannabis integrated into a poly culture farm with a diversity of species growing together. These concentrated groupings in hoop houses are an abomination. There is no way there should be any operation bigger than 1 acre in this county. We should promote small local growers. Big growers can grow in Kansas.   | agree!  | I agree. I support local farmers growing cannabis instead of an LLC of people who don't live in the county from elsewhere. |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 86 | Kimberley Carbonaro | The size of the outdoor grow should be relative to the proximity to rural residents, available water, adherence to the North Bay Air Quality Control guidelines for safe air quality. The odor produced on the current SPARC Cannabis farm is problematic for neighboring properties. This crop is grown outdoors and the odor is from June thru October.   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 87 | Jake D              | Each parcel should only have one tenant/proprietor.   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 88 | Nancy Citro         | How about no cannabis at all. Are you considering that option? If no, why not. Considering our our drought and fire issues, it should be on the table.  | Like Marin County and Napa County!  | Agreed. 100%   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 89 | deborah eppstein    | Sonoma County needs to understand water availability and uses county wide, for all residential agriculture, commercial and industrial- that will determine size limits  |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 90 | Dawnelle Ricciardi  | Size has to be based on sustainability — what can the local water limitations support.  | Very good point. Without science on sustainability, it is hard to say.        |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 91 | Kimberley Carbonaro | I completely agree with Ray Krauss. Separate LLCs for the same parcel should not be allowed.  | agreed  | me too   | Agreed   | agree!  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 92 | Jake D              | There should also be a restriction on the number of people working at these operations.   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 93 | Herman G. Hernandez | No minimum to parcel because you are excluding people (younger and less affluent) from ever getting into this industry. Very few people of color own parcels of 10 acres or more in Sonoma County.  | Agree.  | 10,000 sq ft should be allowed on most parcel types.   | there are other areas in CA which are more affordable where you can grow, if this area is too expensive for you.   | That's a very elitist comment above from Jake.  | whatever the color of a farmer's skin, their intent to steward the land over amassing capital need to be the priority in the midst of our climate chaos. | Supply and demand. not elitist just the reality of life in CA. |           |           |           |           |
| 94 | deborah eppstein    | indoor grows could be larger than outdoor   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 95 | Moira Jacobs        | Totally agree with Nancy! No POT is best choice for everyone! Best for human health and our environment, and our water!   |   |  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 96 | Celeste Stephenson  | Do an economic analysis before proceeding with the EIR to determine how much cannabis is currently being grown in California and how much could be grown in Sonoma and still be economically viable without going to the black market. Hire an outside consultant to peer review the economics. All we hear is how much revenue cannabis will bring in. What are the expenses? How much did Rincon Consulting cost the taxpayers for the failed SMND rejected in May? | great idea!   | good point   | And take into account the costs associated with increased crime, damage to our local watersheds, increased traffic to our already busy roads and the costs to monitor and handle issues. | Hi Celeste! Thank you for joining us. Tonight we're focusing on land use - we'd love your ideas. We invite you to email the County your question and concerns to cannabis@sonoma-county.org. Thanks for getting involved! |  |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                             | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 97  | deborah eppstein    | need to avoid overconcentration- suggest that up to 1 acre outdoor grows be separated from others by 5 miles. This affects traffic as well as ambient odor  | agree strongly with Deborah  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 98  | Herman G. Hernandez | Clustering of operations make sense in terms of business operations labor resources and minimizing overall traffic.   | this would work well in industrial areas   | agreed. perfect argument for clustering in industrial areas   | It depends on where that is |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 99  | Valorie Dallas      | Concentration - Assuming that all the criteria are met for locating a good site there should be some measurement established to limit the amount of growing in an area, such as no more than one 1 acre site in a four square mile radius. The impact of multiple grows in a small area would be limited by controlling the concentration of growing                |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 100 | Herman G. Hernandez | If you are limiting where cannabis can be grown then people who live in the nice homes in rural sonoma county should also be limited. Since sometimes it seems like homes keep popping up that limit the areas where you can grow.  | We've lived here for years, sometimes decades with multigenerational families. You don't really know what happens on our properties and in our homes. You speak of nice homes and imply "rich" homes, but that is just not the case. Why would you limit people coming into our neighborhoods to improve their quality of life and live in the country. They should not be penalized because you think cannabis is more important than families. | Well said Dani!   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 101 | deborah eppstein    | clustering could be in pre-determined inclusion zones especially for indoor   | yes. If we pre zone, that can be determined with data and science  | yes, pre zoned is best.   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 102 | Matt Ferraro        | A successful cannabis distributor would be located in commercial or industrial zone, but would focus on branding and selling Sonoma County sun grown cannabis   |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 103 | deborah eppstein    | yes on industrial!  |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 104 | Moira Jacobs        | They should only be indoor in industrial zone. One is too many!   |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 105 | deborah eppstein    | How much cannabis do we have now? Too many would be determined by location, water, and energy.  | Yes, the county needs to also evaluate fully the number of nonpermitted cannabis operations currently out there, before considering adding more to already limited resources   | And monitor and mandate that rules created are being enforced for all grows. If the county can't police the problems at this point, and there aren't yet that many approved projects, how could they possibly do it with the proposed 65,000 acres? |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 106 | Arthur Deicke       | We should not be looking at the cannabis industry in isolation. We should be limiting any new development or industry if our roads, fire prevention, water resources cannot support it. If a cannabis operation replaces or displaces another activity such dairy farming or grape growing, then it may be simply an evaluation of the balance on scarce resources. | yes!   | Totally agree!  | agree!                      | Agree. Should be looked at in isolation or treated uniquely for these issues. We can't solve drought or climate change by regulating cannabis alone- we need a multitude of solutions across sectors. | *should not be looked at in isolation |           |           |           |           |           |
| 107 | Caroline Koss       | Too many = when we read too many stories in the newspaper about cannabis crime, cannabis odor, etc.   |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 108 | Bob Storms          | No disrespect intended, but it seems like the public is being asked to do what the County of Sonoma is charged with doing, what they should have already done, and that is considering the good of the entire community. Not just one group.  | '+1 for the entire community!  | It is also difficult for us to attend all of these meetings   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 109 | veva edelson        | Sonoma is not the ideal location to establish and promote cannabis branding. We are in California. We don't have the water. Let's be realistic. Let's focus on sustainability and supporting our food shed  |  |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |
| 110 | Ray Krauss          | Not on archaeological sites. One non-permitted grow in the Mark West Watershed has and continues to destroy a significant archaeological site. Their permit application was denied 5-0 a year or more ago by the BZA but the County has not processed their appeal or shut them down allowing adverse impacts to continue.  | Interesting to hear. Can the county comment on enforcement? With no enforcement for currently operational projects the county should not approve any additional permits until there is a plan for enforcement and sufficient resources   |   |                             |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|---|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 111 | deborah eppstein    | We need to not continue to permit cannabis cultivation with inadequate setbacks, even prior to compelling the EIR. We know from other counties (eg, Yolo) that there are quantitative ways to measure odor, and that for a 1 acre outdoor grow a min of 1000 ft to property line is needed- it could be more dependent on winds and weather. Outdoor grows with hoop houses when used as mixed light could have 3+ harvests per year. Ban electrical form hoop houses! |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 112 | Valorie Dallas      | If cannabis is grown in a hoop house that is ventilated through an exhaust fan, that is probably comparable to an indoor grow. So maybe an outdoor grow in a hoop house should have the same rules for odor mitigation as an indoor grow.  |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 113 | Moira Jacobs        | I have DEA friend who said they can smell some illegal grows from miles away. Depends on size and wind.<br><br>These are THC drug production operations. They belong in industrial zone, indoors, controlled with ventilation systems.   |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 114 | Kimberley Carbonaro | I live across the street from SPARC in Glen Ellen. Their outdoor grow produces 3 harvests per year. The odor is from June to October. Strongest at harvest each 3 crops.   | Thank you so much. Unfortunately, there just isn't enough information on cannabis and now we are getting personal experience that should be considered. |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 115 | Arthur Deicke       | Outdoor agricultural odor is common in ag areas. The Right to Farm in those areas essentially states there is no nuisance for odor or other ag activity. Hemp has the same odors with no restrictions since it is an ag commodity. Cannabis should be an ag commodity.<br><br>Indoor cultivation can control the odor and should continue to do so.  | This is up to the state, the state does not classify cannabis as ag. Correct me if I am wrong.  |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 116 | Matt Ferraro        | Odor is a part of agriculture and industry. The setbacks are more than sufficient to mitigate for odor in outdoor cultivation, and indoors has filtration to mitigate. Dairy, poultry, and wine production in Sonoma County all produce significant smells during certain operations.  | Yes. Have big setbacks and neighbors will be happy!   | 300 ft from the wall of our homes is not sufficient setback for odor. With pesticide areas, dusty driveways, parking lots etc even closer than 300ft, it disrupts a family's quality of life and ability to live peacefully in our homes and on our land | There is a very big difference between the smell of cows and the smell of cannabis!!   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 117 | Jeff McConathy      | As someone who lives next to an outdoor grow, I can assure you the odor is very constant - it just gets far worse at harvest time. We are over 1,000 feet from it and the smell easily carries that far. I don't think any outdoor grows should exist within at least a mile of residences.  | agree with Jeff - at least a mile from residences.  | wow. So 1000 ft definitely not enough  | thank you for sharing this   | The predominant wind direction also makes a big difference not just distance. |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 118 | Jake D              | Increase setbacks to sensitive areas such as homes to at least 1000ft.   |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 119 | Caroline Koss       | control odor: shift grows indoors with adequate ventilation/filtration, or for outdoor grow make it FAR away from where people live (1/2 mile??)   | Greenhouses work for odor control also.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 120 | Matt Ferraro        | Hemp and cannabis smell the same, there should be no added restrictions above what is imposed on growing hemp.   | Agree   | We live in a Agricultural community. Smells are to be expected.  | Dennis, You know better than that. Pig farms are agriculture too but we don't allow them near residences. Pot is not just farming, and produces unique impacts that need to be considered. You just can't dismiss them as irrelevant because we are an Ag Community. Central Valley is an ag community. Sonoma County is much more diverse and has many more land use conflicts. |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 121 | deborah eppstein    | cannabis is not under right to farm  |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 122 | Nancy Citro         | Keep the smell on the parcel or no grow.   |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | Ray Krauss          | Only feasible way to avoid odor impacts is adequate distance from receptors.   |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | deborah eppstein    | a prior press democrat survey showed that most residents don't want to live within a mile of outdoor cannabis  |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | Celeste Stephenson  | County should declare a temporary moratorium or pause in all permitting (Not just cannabis) during this drought. These are unprecedented times. The Supervisors have already applied to reduce the required housing required by ABAG. Cut back on housing? Cut back on other water uses as well.   | Agree   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | veva edelson        | the plants should be grown outdoors with no cover and 5000 ft from RR  |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | deborah eppstein    | right to farm does not cover cannabis!   |   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Kimberley Carbonaro | The setbacks need to be increased near rural residents.  | The predominant wind direction for outdoor grows needs to be considered not just distance for odor control.   | agree, maybe we should study how far the odor can travel from a commercial operation before we put this in writing in an ordinance   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 129 | deborah eppstein    | only way to control odor is indoor or greenhouse, with filters   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 130 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Hemp produces the same odor. We need to regulate hemp as well.   | Hemp is regulated already by the Ag Department. Cannabis should be regulated there too with similar rules. Security obviously needs to be increased from non thc- hemp for thc-containing cannabis.                           |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | Arthur Deicke       | Cannabis is not covered by Right to Farm, but should be.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | Moira Jacobs        | It's not Ag. It's THC drug production. Zero nutritional value. No outdoor grows! If this is not fixed we'll fix it with a ballot initiative. Pot producers and county should know that over 70% of citizens polled by PD said they don't want these anywhere near them. Put in industrial zones! Only a few! With filters. |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | Mary Radu           | There need to be ADEQUATE enforcement resources to respond to issues that occur after odor and other limits are set.   | Agreed. The county has been unable to enforce even the simplest of infractions. How on earth do they think they would be able to enforce the amount of operations proposed?   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | veva edelson        | Filters are loud and should not be near peoples homes  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 135 | Valorie Dallas      | Please do a test that compares greenhouse and hoop houses for odor   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | Lauren Marra        | Odor would not be an issue if these operations are in industrial areas   | Agreed!   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | Max Simpson         | Current setbacks already address mitigating out door cannabis odors. Cannabis and hemp smell the same, so should be treated same in terms of ordinance. When is the county going to do something about all of the residential development on Agricultural zoned land?!   | Not actually true. A DA grow can be sited way too close to a neighboring RR parcel. Ask those RR neighbors downwind of that grow if the setbacks are adequate - you will get plenty of people to tell you it is NOT adequate! | I live in Bloomfield and it has been here for over a hundred years. There are 400 people who live here, some for 3 generations. I am not sure what the county should do about us. Any ideas? |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | Max Simpson         | Require temporary cannabis hoop structures for outdoor cultivation to help mitigate odors.   | This is a total failure of the original ordinance. They lean towards banning or strictly limiting hoop houses now which should be changed.  | Agree!   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | Kimberley Carbonaro | totally agree.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 140 | veva edelson        | We need to protect our biotic resources. Loud fans and lights and alarms will inhibit the wildlife from being at home in our open spaces. Noise should be kept in industrial zones please.   | All sites go through biotic resource studies as part of the permitting process. Cannabis provides green space and does not make noise.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 141 | deborah eppstein    | Also need longer setbacks from indoor and greenhouse to property line both due to noise, traffic as well as 24/7 activity.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 142 | Jeff McConathy      | Does gun fire count for noise? They shoot semi automatic rifles frequently at the grow near me.  | That is either a lie or an operator breaking very strict regulations against any guns on site. Report gunfire to the sheriff, not here. Misinformation and sensationalism do not help the process.                            |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 143 | Max Simpson         | Cannabis operators are already subject to noise studies for their use permit applications. Hours of operation already have sufficient restrictions. Setbacks address noise more than adequately!   | 300ft from the wall of neighboring homes is not sufficient. Odor, noise, dusty gravel driveways, runoff, light etc. Setback should be at least 1000ft from residential property lines.  | Not on Ag zoned properties. The moto of Sonoma County is Agriculture, Recreation, Industry.....  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | Moira Jacobs        | Yes, they are very noisy operations! And lots of vehicle traffic. They belong in industrial zone only!   | Agree. Keep it away from where people live and have to deal with the noise 24/7.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | Mary Radu           | Noise should be kept on the property and not be heard beyond property lines. Yes to industrial zones.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 146 | Nancy Citro         | Need 20 acre parcels for noise   | yes, 20 acre is much better than 10   | Maybe for very large grows. But small to medium sized can be mitigated on smaller parcels. Current 10 acre minimum is too big.   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 147 | Moira Jacobs        | A ballot initiative will rewrite the old ordinance. It doesn't work as is!   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)           | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|---|---------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 148 | Nancy Citro         | No events or processing on ag land!  | nor in RRD   |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 149 | Arthur Deicke       | The current noise ordinance is sufficient for all new development.   |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 150 | Moira Jacobs        | No events and no retail on THC drug operation sites.   | Agreed!!!  | Agreed! They're NOT dispensaries. In the dictionary, a dispensary is where "medicines are dispensed". Not THC drugs.  |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 151 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Cannabis needs to be kept out of rural residential areas because of negative impacts to residents and wildlife.  | Agree!   |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 152 | deborah eppstein    | events only in commercial zones  | yes.   | agree, similar to the breweries in petaluma along the highway. Great opportunity for businesses to congregate and even collaborate with one another and enough space for events, gatherings etc without disturbing residences and neighborhoods | Yes!                | We hope you can join us tomorrow at 11:30 or 5:30. We'll be talking about events! Find the information here: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 153 | Dennis Rosatti      | When will dispensaries be discussed/examined?  | live answered  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 154 | Ray Krauss          | Processing, manufacturing and dispensing along with any cannitourism should be in commercial and industrial zones in incorporated areas only.  | agree  | agree   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 155 | Dani La Noire       | Create actionable rules, guidelines and standards. Have staff both in the office and Sheriffs/police that is dedicated to this. There have been so many complaints/issues/reports filed against the grow houses off Pepper Rd and NOTHING has happened. Hoe can you asure the people of the county their safetly and well being with the level of activity you are offering when the current level can't even be enforced? | great idea!  | indeed!   | Enforcement is key. | If legal cannabis cultivators are operating within the regulations and Sonoma County Department of Agriculture Best Management Practices and are being subject to harassing complaints, the complainant should be fined for wasting county staff time.   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 156 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Dispensaries should not be in areas near neighborhoods, schools, and rural areas where sheriff and police resources are limited, like Glen Ellen.  |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 157 | veva edelson        | keep people accountable by having a zero tolerance for breaking the rules. Have regular inspections with no notice. If a rule is broken all the profit of the operation should go to the county and the grower should not be issued another permit ever.   |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 158 | Caroline Koss       | Accountability: actually listen to neighbor complaints and take action to research and enforce! Our next door grow has violated many of the current rules, and nothing has ever happened. If we violated those rules when building a home, we would never get a permit. Why is cannabis treated differently?!  |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 159 | deborah eppstein    | We are aware that black market cannabis has made its way into sonoma county dispensaries   |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 160 | Valorie Dallas      | I guess the first thing I would suggest is having clear guidelines and followthru.   |  |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 161 | Max Simpson         | The current operator is subject to the following regulatory entities and regularly inspected by them:  | California Department of Food & Agriculture CalCanabis State Waterboard Department of Fish & Wildlife Sonoma County Ag Department Sonoma County PRMD Code Enforcement Department of Cannabis Control and Bureau of Cannabis Control<br><br>That is more than sufficient oversight and regulatory bodies to ensure good cultivation operations. |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 162 | Cathy Crowley       | Sucessful accountability will involve transpency. We should be able to go to your website, see every permit request with their address, we need to know names from LLC, and list complaints and what is hsppening.   | Agreed   | ^+1   | yes                 | Agree  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 163 | deborah eppstein    | The county needs to destory illegal cannabis plants- it currently does not, lets the grower move them  | does the county have an idea of how many illegal operations are currently running in our county?   |   |                     |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 164 | deborah eppstein   | unannounced inspections!  | Medical facilities have unannounced inspections. This is a good idea.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 165 | Celeste Stephenson | This money that the Newsome is sending to the counties to help the industry ...some of it (a lot of it) should be allocated to Code Enforcement to eradicate all the illegal grows selling to the black market. That would help the legal industry more than anything else. Believe the Gov allocated \$100 million to all 58 counties and another million just to Sonoma to help growers hurt by the war on drugs. I am not making this up. Our taxpaying money!!!           |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 166 | Jeff McConathy     | I would like to see transparency on water usage with publicly available data on how the county is holding growers accountable for their water usage. Self reporting doesn't seem like a wise choice.  | Agree with this.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 167 | Nancy Citro        | How to make sure everyone is accountable? If the county is going to open up this industry, code compliance must be reviewed on a regular basis. Maybe 20% of permits get reviewed annually. You cannot make it a citizen complaint system like special events are now. Unless someone complains, no accountability. That is wrong to put the burden on the neighbors.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 168 | Dennis Rosatti     | We should vision/strive for a closed loop supply and distribution system in Sonoma County. Imagine if we can grow process distribute and sell all within our own boundaries. Low greenhouse gasses produced by sourcing local. True sustainability!   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 169 | Moira Jacobs       | County is way too biased in promoting THC drug operations. You have no voter support for this current ordinance or this next phase you are discussing. A ballot initiative and new Supes will fix this mess. Do you realize you have a massive homeless problem and THC drug use directly increases homelessness.   | This is not supported by fact. THC use doesn't increase homelessness. Where's your research?                       | I can send you reams of reports. Please....   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 170 | Valorie Dallas     | All complaints should be looked into at the beginning of something as big as this.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 171 | deborah eppstein   | yes on water usage accountability!  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 172 | veva edelson       | There are 26 LLC's listed for the property next to me that intends to grow cannabis. How is the county going to deal with shady businesses?   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 173 | Ray Krauss         | Please look at the Yolo County EIR.   | yes, excellent to review   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 174 | Moira Jacobs       | Most CA counties don't allow it!! Sonoma is one of few promoting this garbage.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 175 | Bob Fabian         | transparency  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 176 | Bob Fabian         | That's water use transparency   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 177 | Jake D             | if we have more cannabis businesses, will the ordinance require a proportional increase in code enforcement and peace officers?   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 178 | Arthur Deicke      | Entitlements are issued with Conditions of Approval. The COAs should be enforced or deleted. This applies for any new permittee, whether it is for cannabis, vacation rental, winery or other. Regulations should be enforceable and enforced or removed. For example, if an annual report is required, it should be monitored and ensure the report is prepared, received, reviewed and feedback given. If not meeting COAs, then their entitlement could/should be revoked. | So they should follow and enforce their own existing code.   | Especially at the beginning of such a big change. It is a privilege to be part of this change and should be treated as such.              |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 179 | Celeste Stephenson | Cannabis does not enjoy Right to Farm status. It is NOT considered an agricultural crop by the state and state law. Even crops with that status can be challenged as a nuisance in the first year or two of cultivation.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 180 | Mary Radu          | Sheriff and Police should only be involved in crime safety issues and tracked for use in permit reviews.. Enforcement on all other issues must be handled through a separate, single source that can be seen by all citizens. Their costs for enforcement for the approved regulations must be budgeted for what is passed. Understand costs in advance!!!!   | The extra cannabis-related enforcement costs should be funded by the anticipated additional cannabis-related taxes | There is enough money in cannabis to pay for enforcement. Some areas would not even get a sheriff to their area for an hour after calling |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 181 | veva edelson       | If neighbors complain then the complaints should be looked into and addressed within 24 hours. If there are multiple complaints no further permits should be issued   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 182 | Max Simpson        | The extremely high price of land and cost of doing business in Sonoma County will naturally limit the number of operators here! The county should be doing everything it can to encourage the industry not impede it.   | Agree.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 183 | deborah eppstein   | Require a bond upon approval to deal with removal of abandoned hoop houses, etc   | interesting idea   | great idea!   | agree     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 184 | Mary Radu          | Complaint response must be 24/7 as many of the issues are not in office hours.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 185 | Celeste Stephenson | Why doesn't the County require smart metering by the cloud? Are we seriously relying on the operators to read their meters?   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 186 | Nancy Citro        | Didn't Napa just say No to cannabis altogether?   | I think that's correct. same with marin  | Napa and Marin don't allow this insane environmentally damaging policy.   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 187 | Lauren Marra       | How big is the county's enforcement team currently?   | there needs to be a proportional increase in code enforcement budget   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name         | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|--|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 188 | Dani La Noire      | Maybe a direct 24/7 phone number that ensures swift response to concerns or issues with a deadline for responding to concerns.   | good idea  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 189 | veva edelson       | Drones should not be used to monitor   |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 190 | Ray Krauss         | Yes, all operations should be bonded for the cost of removal and cleanup should they violate conditions.   | This makes sense, depending on the scale of the project. But should not be limited to cannabis. Need to look at all industry.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 191 | veva edelson       | Drones negativley impact us all  |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 192 | Dawnelle Ricciardi | Light ordinance.   |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | deborah eppstein   | ban all outdoor cannabis except for limited number of those that meet appellation  | Great idea!  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | Moira Jacobs       | Moratorium today! Phase out the approved sites. Allow one site in industrial zone, indoor with strict ventilation.   |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | Jake D             | keep these commercial operations away so that they will not impact homes and the families which live there.  | Agreed   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | Lauren Marra       | Protect our families, children, homes and neighborhoods. Allow cannabis businesses and neighborhoods to thrive by putting commercial cannabis facilities in industrial areas   | agree  | Agreed   | Agreed!   | agree  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | deborah eppstein   | why are you posting right of farm since its nto applicable?  | live answered  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | John Galt          | only opposition will spend there time here. so sad.  | Is it opposition or just desire to compromise?   | Actually John, we represent vast majority of citizens. Over 70% polled by PD said they didn't want these THC drug operations anywhere near them. If this isn't fixed, there will be a ballot initiative. Don't invest here, it will go away. Besides synthetic THC will take over. |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | veva edelson       | no outside of the county growers please  | they are now the only ones that can afford it. Sadly the ordinance is killing the mom and pop, local farmers and opens the doors for outside money to invest in sonoma county. That is why this new ordinance revision timeline is going to be terrible for our local farmers. | Lower the taxes and open RR/AR and smaller sized parcels for small grows. Equity and opportunity is needed in this ordinance.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | John Galt          | i propose CHAPTER 38. what did we spend our time on?   |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | sheila jenkins     | Sustainable land use in proportion to the available water resources. Protections for our native oaks and wildlife corridors maintained. "Dark sky "standards for lighting utilized.                                      | Agree "Dark Sky" standards need to be part of the new ordinances.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | Dennis Rosatti     | Cannabis is a plant and should be allowed to be grown outside. We are in a climate emergency. We should not be pushing all growing to indoor spaces. Some is fine, but the sun does the job without need for energy use. | very true and how much cannabis do we really need in this county?  | And yet cannabis uses more water than most other plants...how is that looking after our planet?  | Cannabis does not use more water than most other crop plants. This is false. Stop growing almonds in the desert if you're concerned about water use of plants per output. | I don't happen to be growing almonds in the dessert and those also aren't next door to my house and using the water from our aquifers! And you know as well as everyone else that water is a big supply need for pot plants. |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | Arthur Deicke      | Successful land use = clear, unambiguous ordinance, easily complied with, easily enforced.   | '+1  | Yes  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | John Galt          | the cannabis laws are too restrictive  | Agree.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 205 | John Galt          | the state law allows and the county should keep cannabis ministerial as much as possible   | disagree, neighbors need to be notified of any pending permits, especially next to our homes   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | John Galt          | LEA DE LIA. 5 acres and up.  |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | John Galt          | arthur for president   |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | deborah eppstein   | a compromise is greenhouse- uses sun plus less water   | I agree greenhouses can be good mitigators while still using the Sun's natural energy.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Land Use - August 9, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 209 | Valorie Dallas     | Neighborhoods need protection, especially in RR and LEA zones since. Many of these neighborhoods have been around for many years, so are in the "middle of nowhere." Where I live there is a real live example of what can happen. We have 400 residents and bordering, but on 3 sides of our town there is 80 acres (5 parcels, but 2 over 10 acres all purchased by an LLC from San Francisco). They are proposing a 2 acre cannabis grow on the 80 acres. The grow is upwind from the town, there is runoff that goes into the Estero Americano from the proposed grow, the roads in are as narrow as 9 feet with ditches on either side of them, there is no streetlights, there are public lands where kids play that border the proposed acre grow, 9 houses are within 200 feet of the property line of the proposed grow, everyone in town is on a well and have no idea if we have enough water for our own needs, without even adding all the water needed for a 2 acre grow, their proposed processing plant will be very close. | And the crazy part is many of us in the town are afraid their permit will go through.   | Same on Pepper Rd!   | also on wellsprings road |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 210 | Celeste Stephenson | These workshops are a farce. We've been telling the county what we want for 6 years. We don't need to do their work. they know what our vision is and they know what the industry's vision is.  | I hope that is not true. If it is true. I will have to move.  |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 211 | Brenda McConathy   | It should be consistent with plans such as the Bennett Valley Area Plan that was put together to protect land use, views, etc. Cannabis grows are inconsistent with the plan and should not be permitted in this area. Other Area plans should also be followed when applicable.  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 212 | Max Simpson        | The land use program and laws should mirror state regulations. Cannabis will soon be legal federally and Sonoma County will fall way behind the market curve if it takes too long in updating its cannabis ordinance.   | Agree   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 213 | Valorie Dallas     | Streamline the process by creating pre zoned areas that a grower knows will be approved for growing. Allow existing communities to be exempt from being surrounded by cannabis grows by having a buffer zone around where people live.  | great idea!   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 214 | deborah eppstein   | just hoep you realay take thse comments seriously! In the past we ahve been totally ignored   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 215 | John Galt          | cannabis stakeholders feel abused from the last process that you ladies helped a lot with. we helped get Ch. 38 all the way through planning after a redline process and an intense amount of public input. why do we start at CHAPTER 38. these hateful opposers lie and harrass to intimidate local family farmers. let the cannabis operators breathe and stay out of it. START WITH THE ORIGINAL CHAPTER 38.  | Agree! There is too much sensationalism and misinformation from the prohibitionist who want to impede the process!  | Every one has an opinion and there is room for all. Capitalism is creating a metabolic rift in our environment. It is time to update our views on landuse. I am a stakeholder and I have an opinion. |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 216 | Valorie Dallas     | I hope a clear ordinance will help the growers to not waste money, time and energy.   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 217 | Mary Radu          | Light and odor issues should apply to small, neighborhood growers.as well Neighbors are already impacted by these issues. Come to our neighborhood to experience it!  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 218 | John Galt          | sarah and Bj are the best. you guys actually are really great at your job. good vibes.  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 219 | Valorie Dallas     | Thanks to all!  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 220 | John Galt          | 5 acres and not 10 acres and up   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 221 | Nancy Citro        | The county planning commission just approved a project out Palmer Creek on zone 4 water scarce land. Is sustainable practices part of this process?   | We'll be talking about water and sustainability on Wednesday! :) Hope you can join us (or email us if you can't): <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 222 | John Galt          | 20% of land if setbacks are right   | I am not a Lady.  |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 223 | Moira Jacobs       | What is your vision? Do you actually think it's a good idea to push unhealthy THC drugs to youth of America, which damages brains and human heart health? Why? And you push these industrial operations into our rural lands? Your vision is the worst public policy framework I've ever seen. I've given my ideas many times to Supes. They don't listen, they are proPOT.   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 224 | John Galt          | lea da lia  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 225 | John Galt          | new building allowed.   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 226 | John Galt          | CHAPTER 38  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 227 | John Galt          | crystal is the very best in the game.   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 228 | Brenda McConathy   | We have witnessed a number of trees cut down for more sun. This needs to be considered.   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 229 | John Galt          | CHAPTER 38  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 230 | John Galt          | thank you all.  |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 231 | John Galt          | dead trees cause fire   |   |  |                          |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| # | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|---|----------------------|---|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | rachel Zierdt        | If tax revenue for cannabis is high, there should be increased enforcement whether by the county or by the sheriff or both. There needs to be more staff in either case.  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 2 | Henry Edison-Burbank | there is SO much enforcement already. they come unannounced at 8am. but you wouldnt know that because it seems all you want to do is punish and intimidate.   | We only want security and the peaceful enjoyment of our properties  | The site I am familiar with where my relatives live next to one (Medford OR) they had dogs, mean ones at that, and my relatives could not go near their own fence without being harassed. |  |           |           |           |
| 3 | Vi Strain            | Address climate change and ways in which it will increase the risk and frequency of wildfire as well as the severity of wildfire events in the EIR  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 4 | Henry Edison-Burbank | dead trees need to be removed for fire safety so please allow for cannabis operators to make their properties safe.   | Must have a licensed arborist determine whether tree is dead or a safety hazard   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 5 | Kimberly Susukida    | Please define EIR   | Hi Kimberly! Thanks for joining us. "EIR" stands for Environmental Impact Report. You can learn more about what we're doing here: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |   |  |           |           |           |
| 6 | Vi Strain            | Consider exclusion zones to reduce development and not introduce industrial processes in remote rural areas, which in turn exacerbate wildfire risk   | There are exclusion zones in place.   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 7 | Vi Strain            | Evaluate the safety of rural residential communities with substandard roads that would be shared with cannabis operators. Wildfires and evacuation congestion on substandard roads do not meet fire safe road standards which require vehicle and emergency equipment to pass concurrently. Only permit cannabis operations on roads that meet state fire safe regulations. |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 8 | Mary Plimpton        | County should impose a MORATORIUM on all cannabis permitting pending completion of thorough EIR that should include items pertaining to community safety security.  | thats totally unreasonable. you dont get to make the law how you want it.   | I agree with mary. it is important to get controls in place during this state mandated drought  | Why are we calling on this for Cannabis when other agriculture has a much larger footprint of impact in our county and WAY LESS oversight and transparency. Would you say that you do not have biases toward Cannabis? |           |           |           |
| 9 | C. Stephenson        | Prepare an environmental report that fully addresses existing conditions related to public safety. Define the capacity of fire and police service to address additional commercial development in high fire severity zones and in remote areas accessed by legal fire safe roads.   |   |   |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)       | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|---|---|--|-----------------|---|-----------|-----------|
| 10 | Lori Pascarella      | Licensed cannabis cultivators are subject to inspections, violations and oversight from the following agencies: Department of Cannabis Control (New merged regulatory department combining CDFA, BCC and CDPH to single agency for cannabis licensing and enforcement.) California Department of Fish and Wildlife; Sonoma County Ag Department; Sonoma County PRMD Code enforcement and California State Waterboards. The regulations, inspections and enforcement by all of these agencies if more than adequate to ensure that cannabis remains one of the safest industries in California. Virtually no other industry has this much oversight.   | I agree   | I agree  | this is correct | That is exactly right, thank you for highlighting this. Why are other agricultural activities not subjected to this? Why is there this level of controversy with Cannabis as the MOST responsible and transparent practice to date?? It seems to me that if folks are willing to chastise Cannabis and no other crop, there are some blatantly evident underlying biases there... |           |           |
| 11 | Henry Edison-Burbank | we need to make things safe for the cannabis operators who are being harrassed and bullied by neighbor groups. i have a neighbor who is so angry that she makes up stories. and she walks around the neighborhood with an aggressive angry face glaring at my wife and two small children (2 and newborn). think about safety for the poor cannabis operators who have been intimidated and harrassed by these hypocritical neighbor groups.  |   |  |                 |   |           |           |
| 12 | Bob Langley          | can we allow our choice of armed vs unarmed security professionals that are state licensed vendors (BSIS). the city allows it and the rest of the state allows it within cannabis licensing guidelines, however the unincorporated does not at this time.   |   |  |                 |   |           |           |
| 13 | Joanna Cedar         | Cannabis is an excellent crop for fire break. Outdoor cannabis cultivators want to steward the land and contribute to the success of the farming sector. In very high fire risk zones, the county should prioritize outdoor cultivation. Best practices for land management, fire mitigation and OSHA standards are required by state MANY regulations, far more than are required for all other agriculture.   | I agree   | Agreed   | Agreed          |   |           |           |
| 14 | Vi Strain            | Do not allow commercial cannabis operations to locate adjacent to residential neighborhood and towns and use the residential communities substandard roads. The increased additional traffic from proposed cannabis operations is beyond residential standards and further degrades already substandard roads.  | They are not allowed in residential areas whatsoever. | This should be the same for vineyards that spray all night long with no regards for the neighborhood |                 |   |           |           |
| 15 | Joanna Cedar         | Cannabis operators should be protected from harassment and abuse from neighbors.  | I agree   | PLEASE!  |                 |   |           |           |
| 16 | Henry Edison-Burbank | I have found through my work on different types of permits at prmd and your ag dept that the ministerial process yields less bitter neighborhood opposition and support groups clashing. When public notice and neighborhood compatibility clauses activate locals to oppose something they often become aggressively focused on harming their neighbors in any way possible in order to achieve their goal to thwart a development from proceeding. In my life I've never seen neighbors turn from friends to enemies so fast. And the lies and scare tactics come fast and numerous. The neighborhood battles should not be a part of cannabis farming. There are people who will never do anything to help solve other issues in the county but they fully commit to every scare tactic and lie to harm their neighbor because it makes them feel like a politician, decision maker, and boss. The cannabis permitting process should minimize these forced conflicts by taking the neighbors out of the equation. These people are never satisfied. |   |  |                 |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 17 | L Williams           | Make sure taxes fund the police, and remember most people don't want to steal wet weed. So focus on protecting distro and retail  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 18 | rachel Zierdt        | Keep permitting away from narrow roads. County should be marking those roads that are not applicable. There should be no grows on lanes where there is no way to egress while the fire fighting equipment is going the other way.....County needs to stop trying to narrow what the state reasonable road requirements are.   | the roads are wide enough for other ag and residential uses then it is ok for cannabis. | Yes, if the road is wide enough for residential and other ag then cannabis use is OK. | I agree   |           |           |           |
| 19 | Rebecca Bass         | good point!   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | Vi Strain            | Commercial development in high fire risk areas will require construction of new infrastructure, such as roads and power and gas lines will also exacerbate fire risk. Much of RRD-zoned areas are known to be high fire hazard areas due to steep slopes, dense vegetation and insufficient public safety services due to a lack of safe emergency vehicle access.  | You are not allowed to cultivate above 15% slope.                                       |   |           |           |           |           |
| 21 | C. Stephenson        | Make project determinations based on the mandatory Findings of Significance which protect adjacent property owner's right to health and safety. The County is fully aware of the many complaints filed (and the other residents who are afraid to file complaints). It is unconscionable to adopt a land use policy which creates such a situation. The threat to personal and public safety is significant, the County knows it and the Sheriff's department's capacity to respond in a timely manner is inadequate at best. |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 22 | Vince scholten       | I think this industry should be treated like other agricultural uses. If you did this to the wine industry you would not be drinking anymore wine.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 23 | Mary Plimpton        | Request that Sonoma County reach out to other California counties & to other states for their experiences & data on changes after legalizing cannabis, in reports of trespass & other cannabis-related complaints to enforcing agencies.  | agree with Mary   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 24 | L Williams           | SRA 4290 roads might be applicable in some applications   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 25 | Henry Edison-Burbank | did anyone consider using the CHAPTER 38 to guide this process? why did we spend all that time and then it was not even looked at by BOS?   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 26 | Joanna Cedar         | A robust cannabis program is necessary for the funding of county services to ensure fire and community safety.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 27 | Mary Plimpton        | A "safe" grow op would have to be within a defined (?10 min max?) drive for all emergency first responders (medical, fire, law enforcement)   | Does the rest of agricultural have to follow these rules ,I think not                   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 28 | Lisa Lai             | cannabis farms should be exempt under BOF rules by the county considering it ag in the zoning code. farms create fire breaks. farms have water storage that is available for fire suppression. farms in rrd and water zones 3&4 help protect neighborhoods by creating fire breaks and land management  | I agree   | I agree   |           |           |           |           |
| 29 | Vi Strain            | Study the installation of electrical, plumbing and mechanical equipment to hoop houses as well as their indoor and mixed light hoop house cultivation that was proposed in the denied ordinance if these provisions are being considered again and determine the electricity use and fire hazard impact to rural communities and impacts countywide   | Again is this being done for the rest of agriculture                                    |   |           |           |           |           |
| 30 | C. Stephenson        | Crime and Code Enforcement go hand in hand. The public has experience with non-cannabis issues that are never resolved and conditions of approval on projects that are not enforced or monitored. It becomes a job for neighbors to call and report and follow through. Clearly enforcement of approved CUP permits is a very weak link in the County if not a myth.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 31 | Lisa Lai             | cannabis farmers use regenerative practices and sink carbon from the air into the soil  | Great point   |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)            | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|--|---|--|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 32 | Craig Harrison       | High and very high fire hazard zones are too dangerous for cannabis cultivation. Human activity inherently raises the risk of wildland fires. This is settled science-- the State Attorney General's Office has filed briefs with this information.  | So we shouldn't be cultivating anything at all?   | Agree with Craig   | I agree with Lindsey |           |           |           |
| 33 | Mary Plimpton        | Cannabis is raised in part for its OIL. This suggest it would be a highly flammable crop. Request County Cannabis Committee consult with professional firefighters with respect to flammability as well as safety of firefighting crews. This also suggests that cannabis grows should not be permitted in remote areas with roads that do not meet fire safety standards, are not wide enough to accommodate fleeing vehicles as well as inbound emergency responders.  | This is wildly inaccurate   |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 34 | Vi Strain            | Prohibit indoor and mixed light cultivation in high fire risk areas especially close to residentially dense areas where locally prevalent winds could cause a fire sweeping through plastic hoop houses to immolate a residential community located downwind of a cannabis site. A proposal to the West and adjacent to the community of Bloomfield Creates this concern.  | How is indoor cultivation going to cause a fire?  | This is not true   |                      |           |           |           |
| 35 | rachel Zierdt        | Especially in this drought, why are we continuing permitting of any new projects. There should be a moratorium.  | Then all ag should be halted, good luck finding food as well                                  |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 36 | Joanna Cedar         | Code enforcement is funded from permitting fees. Can't have one without the other.   | Agreed  |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 37 | L Williams           | SRA 4290 drive way standards should be extended on a case by case basis.   |   |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 38 | Mary Plimpton        | County must use tax revenues from cannabis businesses to increase budgets for law enforcement, firefighters & other emergency responder requirements.  |   |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 39 | Henry Edison-Burbank | can i suggest that the CHAPTER 38 be used to guide this process? why cant we do that? the opposition is continuing to push and push. this is LEGAL crop and giving this much power to aggressive angry dishonest neighbor groups is insanity. you are giving the advantage to these mean spirited folks by starting this process again from scratch. they are the only folks that have time to devote to 3 more years of this. this seems like a way to get the cannabis industry to throw in the towel. the opposition is laughing at the cannabis industry and the county staff as they manipulate and dominate this process with their LOUD and MINORITY viewpoint. | There are a lot of us that agree with this point!   | Chapter 38 did not comply with State law and has been set aside                          |                      |           |           |           |
| 40 | Anna Ransome         | Do not allow cannabis operations on sub-standard roads that do not meet fire-safe standards. Roads less than 20' in width and with limited or no turnouts cause conflicts between emergency vehicles and exiting residents.  | This should also apply to vineyards   |  |                      |           |           |           |
| 41 | Lori Pascarella      | Cannabis cultivators have very strict requirements regarding site location, slope, access roads, and operating practices. Additionally, they are all required to have an approved Fire Safety Plan on record with the county which includes creating defensible spaces and having an emergency response plan. Cultivators should be seen as partners in community safety, not a threat.  | Current safety requirements are well established and should be continued in future ordinance. | Yes in many areas as cultivators have stuck around and helped fight the spread of fires. |                      |           |           |           |
| 42 | Craig Harrison       | Development on very narrow roads are dangerous. Any road that is not sufficiently wide to allow concurrent access from fire equipment and fleeing residents should either be widened or not allow cannabis cultivation. Fire engines are 8-9 feet wide. SUVs are 6 feet wide. Do the math.   | In order to permit any cultivation site, it has to be up to fire codes.                       | If it is OK for residences and other ag then it should be ok for cannabis cultivation.   | I agree with Craig   |           |           |           |
| 43 | Henry Edison-Burbank | cannabis operators should be allowed to use water trucks. the water trucks are used to wet down houses and burn areas. i have helped my neighbors with my water trucks in the past.  | Absolutely!   | Agreed   |                      |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)        | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|--|--|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 44 | Judith Olney         | Human activities are the primary cause of wildfires – lightning is second. Permitting commercial operations in high fire risk zones exponentially increases fire risk. Winds push fires out of watersheds and into adjacent areas – these permits are a public safety risk for us all.   | So is building houses  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 45 | Vi Strain            | Parking and travel areas on a cannabis site should be hardened and dry grass and vegetation be properly maintained and mowed to prevent fire hazards. In addition there should be best practices for owners and employees to raise awareness of fire hazards such as smoking on site.  |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Henry Edison-Burbank | those roads have been used for decades and emergency vehicles have been OK. the opposition uses every single argument no matter how much of a double-standard.   | Agreed   |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | Mary Plimpton        | A safe grow operation would be within 10 min max of emergency responders, on safe (wide) roads. It would be required to have full-operation security cameras in use 24.7; required to retain footage for a defined period of time, and to turn over footage upon the request of any enforcing agencies (including Fish & Game) | Maybe you should read the ordinances   |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | L Williams           | State responsibility Area is SRA. Roads should be signed off by fire marshals. SRA 4290 roads is this conversation. Decided if you shall follow state law  |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | Lisa Lai             | cannabis farmers pay \$100k per acre. sounds like a lot of money to fix our crumbling roads  | Agreed   |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | Vi Strain            | We recommend a ban on electrical service to temporary plastic hoop houses in fire prone areas and near residences as this creates additional fire hazards, as well as night light pollution.   | This is already required, please read the ordinance  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | Henry Edison-Burbank | ive been asking neighbors to stop double parking cars for their meetings and dinners but they wont stop. they dont care about emergency vehicles. they only want to stop the cannabis industry.  |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | L Williams           | You can't make road standards less than state regs.  |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | Steven Sommer        | that is set out in ag element general plan   |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | Craig Harrison       | The county has allowed grows on Matanzas Creek Lane, which is 11 feet wide. Can the fire marshal explain this?   |  |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 55 | Craig Litwin         | Cannabis cultivators bring water storage onsite. This helps fight fires. Cannabis cultivators also have funding to maintain fire-safe areas on their property. The EIR should study the benefits for fire safety that cannabis cultivators bring.  | agree  | Agree            | Agreed    |           |           |           |
| 56 | Henry Edison-Burbank | NIMBYs want no hoops, no roads, no water, no traffic, no smell, no sight, etc... cant you see that there is no integrity to their argument.  | Agreed   |                  |           |           |           |           |
| 57 | Judith Olney         | Highly flammable items on site in wildfire risk areas: Buildings, plastics, on site fertilizers are all highly flammable – the plant itself is rich in flammable oils.   | That is untrue and these things are highly regulated. Please share links to the effect that the "oils" in Cannabis are highly flammable. I can share information and images of plants that barely burned in a fire. This unfounded information, I am very saddened to see this level of blatant bias here. | I agree with sam |           |           |           |           |
| 58 | Sam De La Paz        | Rural Cannabis cultivation sites can only lend to fire breaks and water catchment & storage should be incentivized by the state and county for these operations.   | AGREED!  | Agreed           |           |           |           |           |
| 59 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the roads are WIDE enough. WEAK argument. WAY TO RESTRICTIVE.  | Agreed   |                  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 60 | C. Stephenson        | The unpublished (and possibly) mythical cannabis revenue comes with very real additional public costs. Show us both the revenue and expense figures! Increase the Sheriff's staff and specifically train them to address public safety and nuisance complaints. The Sheriff and Code Enforcement should work more closely. Our code enforcement officers should not be placed at risk. Plants at illegal grows should be destroyed. Hire people to help with this job. No cannabis operation should be located further than 20 minutes from a sheriff response. |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 61 | Mary Plimpton        | Growers must comply with CEQA & all enviro rules for human, riparian & wildlife safety & health. They must comply with fire safety, have emergency and evac plans - which county must review and periodically check for actual compliance. Growers must comply with all statutory laws.   |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 62 | L Williams           | Have water tanks have appropriate hook ups for current fire hoses.  |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 63 | Vi Strain            | Prohibit cannabis processing facilities close to residential enclaves. The delivery and shipping traffic, employee traffic working 24 hours a day, 7 days a week is commercial in scale and not compatible with residential neighborhoods. In emergencies, fire equipment and resident traffic cannot pass on the same street and it endangers the health, safety and welfare of rural residents.   | Cannabis is not allowed near or in residential areas.  | That not true. One is proposed adjacent to many back yards in a very rural area. That is why we are here | Not true                    |           |           |           |
| 64 | Mary Plimpton        | Request County seek & make public data regarding impacts on health & safety of firefighters & other responders in the vicinity of a burning cannabis grow site.   |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 65 | C. Stephenson        | Cannabis is classified as very flammable, can spontaneously combust in certain conditions. The buds are full of oil that is highly flammable.   | Do you have a source for this information?   | All plants are flammable if not pruned periodically.   | This is definitely not true |           |           |           |
| 66 | Henry Edison-Burbank | what about these neighbors living in unpermitted residential units in ag land. they should not be there. they are putting fire crews at risk too. but these hypocrites don't follow their own rules. they only want to banish cannabis which was approved OVERWHELMINGLY  | Agreed   |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 67 | Craig Harrison       | A safe evacuation plan must be required for any commercial development in the SRA, including cannabis cultivations  |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 68 | Mary Plimpton        | Request County consult with State Fish & Game on impacts on wildlife that could forage in outdoor grows as well as impacts on normal movement of wildlife if territory is impeded by new restrictive fencing.   |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 69 | Lisa Lai             | we DO have to have water hook-ups now!  | obvious for those who do their homework  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 70 | Anna Ransome         | When discussing safe and secure cannabis operations the emphasis is on the safety and security of the operation. This does nothing to assure the safety and security of the neighbors. We have seen this in meetings where fire officials and sheriff dept are assuring us that the operation is secure.  | County doesn't care about the safety of the neighbors. We have been told to get over it or move. |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 71 | Judith Olney         | Human activities are the primary cause of wildfires – lightning is second. Permitting commercial operations in high fire risk zones exponentially increases fire risk. Winds push fires out of watersheds and into adjacent areas – these permits are a public safety risk for us all.  | You said this already.   | So is climate change   |                             |           |           |           |
| 72 | Henry Edison-Burbank | creative: keep the loud minority viewpoint against cannabis industry in check by not giving their small numbers so much attention. you are feeding their narcissism with your undue attention.  |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 73 | L Williams           | Use tax money to expand fire stations in Sonoma   |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 74 | C. Stephenson        | All cannabis applications must comply with the evacuation and public safety requirements on SRA roads as implemented by the Ca. Board of Forestry.  |  |  |                             |           |           |           |
| 75 | Judith Olney         | Should commercial developments be permitted in the SRA given we do not have adequate fire and police services funded at this time   |  |  |                             |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 76 | Lisa Lai             | I have been on burned down cannabis farms. house was gone, plants were still able to be harvested. they do not burn. that is not correct  | Were the sprinklers left on during the fire to protect the plant - this may impair fire fighting ability   | correct  | I know a grow that burned to the ground. House next door spared. Vineyards around the house stopped the fire in this case. |           |           |           |
| 77 | Vi Strain            | Human actions are the greatest cause of wildfires. Create fire safe standards for any Commercial Cultivation sited in remote areas and study the impacts of increased human activity, Utility use, and increased traffic, in light of wildfire risk and climate change.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 78 | Sam De La Paz        | The issue of flammability is UNTRUE, I can provide images upon request of lush Cannabis plants that have barely burned surrounded by High-Temp flames. Mendogreenwave@gmail.com Happy to share TRUTHS as necessary...   | True, cannabis creates a green space that due to moisture content of live plants is fire resistant relative to the dry grasses, shrubs and trees that may be outside of the cultivation site |  |  |           |           |           |
| 79 | Henry Edison-Burbank | empower cannabis haters with too much time on their hands to help in the county with the homeless problems. stop bullying your neighbors who grow a crop you dont like. BUTT OUT.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 80 | katherine yates      | Instead of having many small parcels for grow operations that will inevitably require big investments in enforcement and increase the likelihood of problems, have the county create a way the smaller farmers can share larger parcels that meet all the water, fire, odor, etc requirements.  | This would be great in theory, but would lend to a greater environmental impact. It is easier to farm regeneratively and sustainably on smaller parcels.                                     | Agree it makes more sense to cluster licensing where possible. |  |           |           |           |
| 81 | Lori Pascarella      | Current state licensing requirements for include submitting information on all proposed chemicals, fuels, fertilizers and nutrients for review and approval by state. Cultivators must have fire safety plan and water storage for fire fighting access. None of this should be changed, the county should mirror what the state is doing in its ordinance. | AGREED!  | Yes, exactly.  | Agreed   |           |           |           |
| 82 | Craig Harrison       | The SMND said some growps could employ 400 people. These can never be located on narrow rural roads.  |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 83 | Henry Edison-Burbank | there is so much water and firebreaks in cannabis sites. it helps the community.  | It most definitely does!!  | agreed   | AGREED!  | Agreed    |           |           |
| 84 | Mary Plimpton        | Clusters of grow site = clusters of risk  |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 85 | Anna Ransome         | No processing in rural areas.   | Processing and manufacturing belong in commercial and industrial zoned land with adequate public services - including fire and police  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 86 | Craig Litwin         | The EIR should study how the number of cultivators has reduced SIGNIFICANTLY from what they used to be. This reduced number of cultivators reduce impacts, and should be part of the study.   | agreed, the baseline from 2017 should be considered both in terms of environmental impacts and economically  | agree. great point. hard to track....                          | I agree and with the most regulation and oversight of any crop.... Why are we not doing an EIR on 65k acres of vineyards?  |           |           |           |
| 87 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the Bolshevik Revolution is very relevant. same tactic. more and more division. laugh now. cry later.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 88 | Craig Harrison       | GP Policy LU-7d (p. LU-44): Avoid new commercial, industrial, and residential land use designations in areas subject to "high" or "very high" fire hazards, as identified in the Public Safety Element, unless the combination of fuel load, access, water supply, and other project design measures will reduce the potential fire related impacts of new development to insignificant levels.   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 89 | rachel Zierdt        | all the assertions about fire breaks etc....where is the data about that?   | Agree. Where is the data?   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 90 | Vi Strain            | Do not allow the use of volatile organic compounds, ethanol and high-pressure CO2 extraction and distillation all of which increase wildfire risk. Public Safety is a primary responsibility of County government and in the County's 2015 "Cannabis Cultivation Within Resources and Rural Development RRD lands Discussion Paper" reveals that the County is aware of the Public Safety impacts from inadequate rural roads in RRD.                                 | It is not allowed.  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 91 | Lisa Lai             | cannabis sites don't have cash at them like black market grows do. another reason to support legal farming and banking for cannabis   | agree   | Product is stolen, not only cash.  | Agreed  |           |           |           |
| 92 | Henry Edison-Burbank | lawyers and neighborhood groups use a lot of CO2 with their hot air and propanganda scare tactics. they are damaging the environment.   | Agreed  |  |   |           |           |           |
| 93 | C. Stephenson        | Determine locations where illegal access from neighboring properties is likely. Increase setbacks near parks and schools and remote residential properties that could easily be used to by-pass the operation's security system.  | You must submit a security plan upon applying for a permit/license. | Rumer is correct   | Do your security plans cover illegal access over neighboring properties. I know a person who fence has been cut several times so burgulars could go in the "back" door. |           |           |           |
| 94 | Sarah Shrader        | What are winery requirements for Fire Safety?   | Great question  | Hi Sarah! Thank you for joining us. We are unable to answer questions in detail right now, however, if you email the County we can provide more information: cannabis@sonoma-county.org. |   |           |           |           |
| 95 | Craig Harrison       | Address law enforcement concerns by implementing General Plan Objective LU-4.1 (p. LU-35) which requires sufficient public safety:<br><br>Assure that development occurs only where physical public services and infrastructure, including school and park facilities, public safety, access and response times, water and wastewater management systems, drainage, and roads are planned to be available in time to serve the projected development.                 | Agree   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 96 | Henry Edison-Burbank | if the opposition was living on the correct land they would be leasing it to cannabis operators or growing themselves. they are upset they can do it. and they hate that their neighbors with the bigger properties are able to use their land as the county land use code allows. these resentful mean ladies and lawyer-type soft men are bullies and that is the only way to put it. PROTECT and ENFORCE laws against intimidations, slander, privacy, harrasment. |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 97 | Henry Edison-Burbank | simplisafe is GREAT. i have panic buttons everywhere.   |   |  |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 98  | Vi Strain            | As part of the EIR baseline, develop data about rural roadways that meet fire safe standards. To avoid increasing significant impact to public safety, the County must assess the adequacy of roads in high fire risk areas for safe evacuation and prohibit new commercial developments that do not have access via fire safe roads. | Again, you cannot permit a cultivation site without having access to fire safe roads and comply with all local and state regulations.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 99  | Henry Edison-Burbank | plus i know karate and ka-razy.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 100 | Bob Langley          | allow more freedom for addition of fencing/razor wire etc for facility security plan. i have been denied fencing and razor wire for prevention at 2 facilities.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 101 | Mary Plimpton        | There must be NO "crop defense" licensing to shoot, poison or otherwise sicken, maim or kill wildlife or domestic animals.  | There isn't?   | I am all about protecting animals and wildlife... Are other larger existing agricultural operations held to these standards? Are you also lobbying for this in those industries? If so, please let me know what solutions are being presented and how to support! |           |           |           |           |
| 102 | Judith Olney         | In addition to operators being responsible for providing on-site security, Public safety is the responsibility of City and County government.<br><br>If law enforcement protection cannot be provided within 15 minutes of a call, then the attractive nuisance for crime (cash - high value plant) should not be permitted.          |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 103 | Henry Edison-Burbank | crime ONLY takes place on ILLEGAL grows. there have been almost ZERO crimes reported on licensed grows. illegals are softer targets because the unlicensed will usually not report it. licensed grows are SAFE.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 104 | katherine yates      | It should be patently obvious how inappropriate it is to place in rural neighborhoods grow operations that create strong incentives for criminal activity. Most of the murders in this county have occurred on cannabis farms.  | Not true   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 105 | Anna Ransome         | The water use for cannabis poses a security threat for neighbors in the same watershed as it can cause overdrafting of aquifers and water shortages which leaves neighbors more vulnerable to fire.   | Cannabis won't be permitted where it can't be sustained by the local watershed/aquifer. Hydrogeologic studies are required if there is any water risk. The water talk is tomorrow. | The Sonoma County water analyses is 20-30 yrs out of date. It must be updated to current conditions to be accurate and useful   | Not true  |           |           |           |
| 106 | Henry Edison-Burbank | it would be fenced, have cameras, etc. its all already required   | Agreed   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 107 | Robert Krug          | Cannabis cultivation in commercial-agricultural areas only. Not in residential neighborhoods where people and children live.  | Cannabis cultivation in commercial-agricultural areas only. Not in residential neighborhoods where people and children live.   | This is already in place  |           |           |           |           |
| 108 | Henry Edison-Burbank | yes the security plan is currently really strong.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 109 | Anna Ransome         | Security plans are for the operations, not the neighbors!   | Not true   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 110 | CECILE ISAACS        | How can the damage done to dirt roads by heavy water trucks be paid for by the grower who does the damage? Will there be a bond? a deposit with the county? What if the grower fails to do their part? Who will monitor and arrange for the road repairs?   |  |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s)       | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 111 | Joanna Cedar         | Align the safety requirements with state law  |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 112 | Craig Harrison       | In Bennett Valley several rural fences have been cut to enter into legal grows on Grange Road and Matanzas Creek Lane. In one case it involved neighbors who weren't involved in growing.   |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 113 | Nancy Nancy          | Remember we are in a drought which makes firefighters job that much harder. Most of us have been through the Tubbs fire and others. No amount of water storages tanks etc. can fight those kinds of conditions. Sonoma County needs people who care about their land and do the clearing and brush abatement. Not done with this subject.   | Not true most Growers are great stewards of the land                        |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 114 | Vi Strain            | Remote areas do not have police protection and potential criminal activity endangering the safety of adjoining neighbors due to cultivation of a product that is desirable to criminals and transaction that are known to be cash only. Rural residents know from experience there is a lack of expedient public safety services such as fire and law enforcement for rural emergencies. A detailed study of these services to protect public safety must be completed to consider response time, adequate personnel and staffing needs, managing complaints and enforcement follow-through.  | Sounds like that is a risk that accompanies the rural lifestyle already.    |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 115 | Lori Pascarella      | Cannabis cultivation sites are required to have fencing, vegetative screening, site security plan, security cameras, recorded video, to ensure site safety and document operations. This is more than adequate and should be continued as is in new ordinance.  |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 116 | Bob Langley          | yes. a security plan is essential   | Absolutly - people do not try to steal my grapes or my neighbors vegetables |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 117 | Judith Olney         | Inadequate law enforcement response times: I live on Ag land within 3 miles of Hwy 101 – my experience with Sheriff response times is 45 min to 1 hour. Should I face a serious personal threat, I may as well just ask the dispatcher to also send the coroner.  | This is the typical time frame for where I live and agree with you          | Not true  |                 |           |           |           |
| 118 | Mike Rossi           | My family was affected by the 2017 fires and had to evacuate through the neighbors property which was a shared gate with the neighbors since 1930 with my grandparents and is now going to be a commercial grow operation and I'm concerned about the safety of my family to get out in case of a fire because of the security they're going to have for the growers crop. Also my wife was about to drive to town and a gentleman in his 20s was across the fence and jumped the neighbors fence and asking my wife for a ride into town when she was only with our one month old baby. We've never had anybody around here before because we are so secluded. |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 119 | Lisa Lai             | cannabis farmers in the future should be allowed to look and operate just like other farms. no additional measures; no fencing or security lighting required. being able to see seen from the public right of way.  | I agree, normalize and it will eliminate these issues                       | Agreed!   | Just like Hemp. | Agreed    |           |           |
| 120 | CECILE ISAACS        | If armed guards are needed for the grower, are they needed for the neighbor who becomes a mistaken target of crime?   |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 121 | Craig Harrison       | Any security plan should address security for neighbors. The current ones only protect growers.   |   |           |                 |           |           |           |
| 122 | Craig Litwin         | The EIR should study how licensed cannabis businesses now have access to normal banking, eliminating the need for cash on site. Hence, I suspect the EIR will find that it is safer than it used to be.   | Agree!  | Agreed    |                 |           |           |           |
| 123 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the growers often have been fixing and paying for the road for years while the neighbors smile and pay nothing. now that the county has activated these hateful folks they want to complain and force more hardship.  | Agreed  |           |                 |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 124 | katherine yates      | As long as cannabis is illegal at the federal level, there is no way to ensure safety on cannabis grow operations, for either adjacent neighborhoods or employees on the farms. Look at the data: most of the murders in this county in recent times have occurred on cannabis farms.   | Not true   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the county has no idea how aggressive these neighbor groups are. They provoke all the conflict and danger in the neighborhoods.   | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | Anna Ransome         | We've already had someone drive right onto our property asking if this is "where the cannabis is" because there was a proposed grow next door.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | rachel Zierdt        | Just to set the story correct, Cannabis was voted as legal to possess in California, not that it be grown anywhere. A survey a few years ago, most people did not want to live within one mile of a grow....safety being a big reason.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Jim Bareuther        | The operation would be required to have security cameras operating 24/7, retain footage for a defined period of time. Currently, ATF randomly checks distillers to ensure all alcohol production is accounted for. Since grapes and grain are fermented/distilled ...how will cannabis production be monitored /accounted ?       |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | Bob Langley          | Local law enforcement should conduct visits and tours to see how we operate to see the standards we are setting. Good or needs improvement. Give a non liable review and give suggestions to licenses that cannot afford someone in my position.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 130 | Craig Litwin         | Please use last names when you call out folks comments. Thanks!   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | Craig Harrison       | Cultivation sites should be clearly marked so potential thieves don't enter the wrong home.   | That's going to exacerbate the problem, which is your talking points you can't have it both ways |           |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | Joann Binder         | All of this is directed towards keeping the grower's product safe from criminals. What about the fact that the grows in residential neighborhoods are attracting these criminals to our homes and neighborhoods? They need to be away from homes and in an area with quick access to law enforcement response.                    |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | Judith Olney         | Security for your family encompasses the fear of trespass and harm – gun "play" at nearby properties is intended to fuel these fears.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | katherine yates      | The best solution to security is to have grow operations be placed in industrial zones that already have security in place. Use solar power to make the operations sustainable  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 135 | Sam De La Paz        | If we continue to prohibit and marginalize Cannabis, it will only increase the risk of crime. We don't see Cannabis stealing grapes.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf  | An exception for taller fencing.<br><br>Sites not made public.  | Good call  | Agreed    |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | Henry Edison-Burbank | SHERIFF: please hold the opposition accountable when these liars sent reports about guns and threats in order to get their neighbor swatted. Recently a neighbor group made an accusation that I fire a high powered weapon and threatened them. THIS WAS A TOTAL LIE. They have no boundaries of honesty to achieve their goals. | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | Henry Edison-Burbank | This is harassment and intimidation   | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | L Williams           | Follow state guidelines. Nobody in their right mind wants to put themselves in danger   |  |           |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 140 | Vi Strain            | Address the issue of potential criminal activity impacts posed by Commercial Cannabis Cultivation. The PD has covered local criminal activities including murder to do with cannabis transactions. It's clear the County acknowledges the issue by requiring security fencing, locking gates, night lightning, drones, and guard dogs. What is less clear is acknowledging the physical safety of residents when considering cannabis applications. The County is responsible for public safety and to address the health, safety and welfare of its residents. Do not allow cannabis operations where residents are negatively affected and that disrupt and create condition that threaten their physical safety. | Agree.  | How are plants going to threaten your physical safety? Sounds like you are saying all cultivators are criminals. | You are confusing unregulated and regulated activities. Please take the time to inform yourself as a citizen. This is a conversation on moving forward not instances before the ordinance was introduced. | I'm not confusing anything. There is a lack of understanding the ways in which residents would be more comfortable with cannabis if the industry would take community concerns seriously and address them effectively |   |  |
| 141 | Joanna Cedar         | The only cannabis farms that have cash are the unregulated ones. The safest program is one brings many, many more cannabis farmers into the regulated system  | Agreed!   | Agreed   |   |   |   |  |
| 142 | Henry Edison-Burbank | '++++++ the list of licensed farms should NOT be published online for criminals to use as their roadmap. WHY would you post these to the public and then complain about crime?  | Could not agree more! This is ridiculous that all licensed cultivation sites addresses are made public record increasing risk of crime. | Agreed agree   |   |   |   |  |
| 143 | Lori Pascarella      | The owners, operators and employees are hard working, tax paying members of this community and should be respectfully treated as such. The new ordinance should have no change to the current requirements for site security and fire safety, unless it is to lessen requirements and treat cannabis cultivators like other legal businesses in the county.   | I agree   |  |   |   |   |  |
| 144 | Sam De La Paz        | Cameras and security are required by the state for compliance. Security cameras, access to banking (as Craig mentioned) are all that should be needed to comply.  | This is in reference to cultivation only, to clarify. All of the above apply to cultivation.  |  |   |   |   |  |
| 145 | Henry Edison-Burbank | nobody wants judiths junk produce. grow up judith. judith is using scare tactics. the threats are coming from the opposition groups.  | Agreed  |  |   |   |   |  |
| 146 | Vi Strain            | The County must have an enforcement team dedicated to monitoring cannabis operations. Implement an enforcement team, similar to Humboldt County, consisting of Sheriffs, Fish and Wildlife and Water Resources staff to perform surprise inspection for compliance.   | These teams are extremely important   | YES! - Contact other growing areas and adopt best practices  | We get surprise inspections periodically by both Fish and Wildlife, Waterboard, CDFA, DCC, and more. We have more laws and regulations than most agricultural industries combined.                        | These teams already exist and these inspections already happen. Please educate yourself on the rules and regulations  | Please familiarize yourself with how much local residents have experience in these areas and pay attention to address them adequately instead of denying there is a problem | Is the rest of agricultural uses treated this way, I think not |
| 147 | L Williams           | Hire more sheriffs so current response times go down.   |   |  |   |   |   |  |
| 148 | katherine yates      | Do research on other counties regarding the effectiveness of security cameras and security guards.  |   |  |   |   |   |  |
| 149 | Henry Edison-Burbank | do you know how many enforcement inspections we had within the last year? dozens. all unannounced and surprise. HOW IS THIS REASONABLE? cannabis operators should not pay the huge fees and taxes and then be treated like criminals.   | Agreed  |  |   |   |   |  |
| 150 | Joanna Cedar         | All cannabis plants are tagged and tracked by state law   | Agreed  |  |   |   |   |  |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)         | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|---|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 151 | Sam De La Paz        | SOLUTION: Neighborhood support, such as neighborhood watch groups would be an ideal scenario. But first we must embrace these operators and not marginalize them.   | We cannot be isolating and chastising these cultivators and expecting comfortability for anyone in our neighborhoods. Again, prohibition is the only component that brings crime. Normalize this plant 🌱 | Agreed, if neighbors acted like, well, neighbors as opposed to harassing, bullying and ostrasizing farmers. | Keep indoor grows & processing in commercial & industrial areas where security fencing and lighting are a common use. Not in rural areas, near homes, schools, parks or any county maintained bikepaths where such ruins views and interferes with dark night sky and interferes with wildlife and increases the possibility of potential crime or harm to those living nearby. | Agree with Joanna |           |           |
| 152 | CECILE ISAACS        | Keep commerical operations on public roads with public services within reasonable response time. That will make cannabis growing profitable and safe.   |  |   |   |                   |           |           |
| 153 | Vi Strain            | Change the initial term of permits to match the State License term of one year. Compliance with water, odor control, plastic handling and disposal. All evidenced claims of potential violation should be investigatd immediately.  | Do not agree   |   |   |                   |           |           |
| 154 | C. Stephenson        | Do these vaunted and required security plans address fire safe roads? Firefighter egress with simultaneous evacuation of residents? Recall an article in the PD that Gore waved to figfighers on Mill Creek Road who couldn't access the final four miles. Gore drove by in his SUV as they were working.   |  |   |   |                   |           |           |
| 155 | Craig Harrison       | If cultivation operations were consolidated in a few areas, law enforcement issues would be simplified.   | Agree. Designate an Inclusion Zone at the airport.   | Do not a  | Do not agree  |                   |           |           |
| 156 | Sonia Taylor         | We are doing a diservice to cannabis operators by not considering possible future insurance concerns (some day cannabis operators will be able to get insurance, I hope). Cannabis operations should be located in areas where the fire and theft danger is insurable -- not in very high/high fire danger areas, not on narrow substandard roads, require a complete security plan, fire protection and public safety response times that are acceptable (45 minute response time seems to be far too long), requirements to report all attempted thefts, a full emergency evacuation plan, etc. | Agree. Obtaining home insurance in the WUI is difficult already.   | Agreed  |   |                   |           |           |
| 157 | Henry Edison-Burbank | hopefully crystal and others will dig into the HUGE amount of work done on CHAPTER 38.  |  |   |   |                   |           |           |
| 158 | Joann Binder         | Do we really want armed guards and razor wire topped fences on the streets where we live? Pot grows are fine with me.This is not about being anti pot. The grows need to be away from residential neighborhoods. The sherriffs dept. need to work with code enforcement.  |  |   |   |                   |           |           |
| 159 | Craig Litwin         | Cannabis taxes from compliant businesses should not be used to go after the alternative market cultivators. Keep cannabis taxes low. Find another funding source for enforcement on non-regulated cannabis cultivators lest we make the barrier to permitting too high.   | Threats agains neighbors have happened from operators of permitted grows as well...not just illegal growers.   |   |   |                   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| 160 | Nancy Nancy          | Security plan needs to be in place. Pot is a cash crop and cash breeds corruption. Refer you to Press Democrat Nov. 2016 homicide at an indoor/outdoor grow. Suspect from out of state came here to get in on growing pot. Seems like background checks etc. on workers is vital. Also on permit holders Who are the folks behind the commercial grows. Do they even live in Sonoma County? | We must submit security plans upon even applying for a permit/license. Background checks are done on all employees, just as such with any other industry. | So, five years ago is your example? Permitting requires livescan fingerprints and background checks for all operators. | I live in sonoma county and am a licensed cultivator. Have been raising my children here since 2005. | I agree with Natasha, this antiquated anti-cannabis rhetoric is only driving wedges and eliminating trust in our communities. Normalization is the only thing that will curb crime. Criminal activity on licensed operations is extremely low compared to unlicensed operations. |           |           |
| 161 | Vi Strain            | Renew permits with no violations or unresolved complaints for two years, with the caveat that if violations and complaints occur later, the county will revoke the permit effective immediately.  | So one violation rescinds a permit? It's not like that in any other industry, so why cannabis? Permits already are monitored and must be renewed.         |  |  |  |           |           |
| 162 | Henry Edison-Burbank | people who are licensed will call in the crimes. the black market is ripe for crime because those folks will not report and the goons from alabama know it.   |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 163 | Vi Strain            | Stop bad actors, any person performing an illegal or unpermitted activity should be required to stop all activities immediately, dismantle operations, pay a fine and be put under a two-year probation where the violating operator will not be issued any cannabis permits.   |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 164 | Henry Edison-Burbank | inside job. also neighbors break onto properties even though there are posted no-trespassing signs.   |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 165 | AnnaRae Grabstein    | Thank you Sheriff for acknowledging that legal cannabis has improved safety. We need to remember that incidents that arose in the illicit market are NOT THE SAME as LEGAL OPERATIONS.  | Agreed  |  |  |  |           |           |
| 166 | Henry Edison-Burbank | my operation keep the neighborhood safe. we are always alert.   |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 167 | C. Stephenson        | Why would the County want to endanger our firefighters and our residents for a cannabis grow? Grow it elsewhere and not on dead-end roads over 1 mile long and less than 20 feet wide.  |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 168 | Craig Litwin         | The EIR should study crimes against regulated versus unregulated cannabis businesses. If the bulk of the crimes are against unregulated businesses then you can document how regulating this industry is the safer alternative.   |   |  |  |  |           |           |
| 169 | Vi Strain            | Again, that's not true  | What's not true, Vi?  | Thank you Craig  |  |  |           |           |
| 170 | Lori Pascarella      | Updated regulations regarding banking to reduce use of cash in the cannabis industry is probably the biggest change that should be made to improve safety for the operators, their employees, and the surrounding community. The county may not be able to directly address this, but it should support any legislation that may come forward to make this possible.                        | Absolutely!   | i agree lori   | I agree. Legal banking and insurance would help.   | Agreed   |           |           |
| 171 | Henry Edison-Burbank | neighbors want to point all the criminals to cannabis operation. some of these sweetie boomer ladies would literally walk the criminals onto the cannabis operation to help them. empowering NIMBY's to this degree is a sick joke.   | Agreed  |  |  |  |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 172 | Sam De La Paz        | Please ask for local police records on crimes against licensed Cannabis farms in the past few years. They are few to none. Only illicit operations have prevalent associated crimes. Again, prohibition brings crime... simple as that.   | sam is correct   | Exactly! The EIR should study this and prove that regulations are the preferred and safer alternative.        | Are legal operators aware of where the illegal operations are? If so, do you report them? | Agreed    |           |           |
| 173 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf  | Please Mr. Jason Lucas can you clarify your statement where neighbors have been targetted instead of "legal licensed grow"? As you just mentioned   | These types of statements fuel hysteria.                                   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 174 | Bob Langley          | i view the crime tracker for the county. It does not give specifics to cannabis related crimes to help make risk assesments, adjustments, and bolos. Is there a way to get up to date information on crime trends specific to cannabis? from dumpster diving to worst case scenario. maybe quarterly meeting with sherriff?   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 175 | Craig Litwin         | Discourage public maps of licensed cultivators as seen on the SOS website.  | totally  | This was a egregiously unneighborly choice  | Agreed the sos group is the problem   |           |           |           |
| 176 | CECILE ISAACS        | Change banking regs to remove incentive to keep cash on hand.   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 177 | Henry Edison-Burbank | There is virtually ZERO crime on licensed cannabis operations. the security plans are already very detailed and intense.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 178 | Henry Edison-Burbank | Discourage public maps of licensed cultivators as seen on the SOS website.  | Agreed   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 179 | Henry Edison-Burbank | Discourage public maps of licensed cultivators as seen on the SOS website. THIS IS SO ELEMENTARY.   | Agreed   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 180 | CECILE ISAACS        | Sam De La Paz said:Please ask for local police records on crimes against licensed Cannabis farms in the past few years. They are few to none. Only illicit operations have prevalent associated crimes. Again, prohibition brings crime... simple as that.  | Is that true?  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 181 | Nancy Nancy          | Five years ago was a noteable starting point as much more crimes have occurred since. Where is the big money coming from to purchase the very expensive land in Sonoma County? Then to rip out vineyards, dig wells etc. This takes a lot of money. This is not the small farmer but smacks of big businesses, maybe even crime syndicates. Just don't want people to be naive. | What about leases?   | where do you get your information? I am curious. Cultivators are not allowed to pull out grapes for cannabis. | Not true  |           |           |           |
| 182 | Judith Olney         | I live on land zoned for agricultural crop production - not commercial operations of a product that is determined by the State and federal government as a controlled substance.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 183 | Henry Edison-Burbank | GREAT JOIB JASON!   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 184 | Joanna Cedar         | In my experience, in the vast majority of cases the neighbors have been unwilling to engage with the industry to serach for mutual solutions and choose to demonize and marginalize predominantly small farmers and blame them for what happens at unregulated sites.   | Agreed   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 185 | Anna Ransome         | How do you determine if someone is an impaired driver with absence of a test like with alcohol?   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 186 | Henry Edison-Burbank | SONOMA COUNTY SHERRIFS ARE GOOD FOLKS. ESSICKS GETS PROPS.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 187 | Anna Ransome         | Bartenders have to be trained to cut off inebriated customers. What is in place for cannabis to assure customers don't leave the site too stoned to drive safely?   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 188 | Sonia Taylor         | Do legal cannabis operators know where the illegal operations are? If so, do they report them, and if so, does the county have the personnel to shut them down?   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 189 | Bob Langley          | retail. put your product away and locked up every night and weekends. jewelry stores do not keep product out anymore.   |  |   |   |           |           |           |
| 190 | Vi Strain            | that's not correct. Farms, Dairies do not have crime problems. Criminals do not break into dairies and steal a gallon of milk.  | What about PETA? Or wineries are conviently left out of this conversation? | Yes they do   |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 191 | Judith Olney         | Retail in city centers - with city supplied services - with locked doors and on-site security personnel  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 192 | Sam De La Paz        | The antiquated anti-cannabis rhetoric is only driving wedges and eliminating trust in our communities. Normalization is the only thing that will curb crime. Criminal activity on licensed operations is extremely low compared to unlicensed operations.  | FACTS.   | Agreed    |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | Henry Edison-Burbank | anna, how do we find out how many pharmacuedical drugs these old boomers are taking all day?   | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | Sonia Taylor         | Retail should be limited to incorporated jurisdictions.  | I disagree. The county allows commercial activity, in Forestville, Graton, and many other places. Cannabis must be treated the same.   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | katherine yates      | Kudos to Jason Lucas for making it real. One real problem that we have in our neighborhood is an operator with deep pockets who does not reside in this county, They are starting a trucking company to transport their product, which will be driving on our rural neighborhood roads.<br>Solution: dont give permits to corporate operators, only to farmers who have lived in the county a minimum of several years.  | Problem is Katherine most cultivators became ineligible due to the exclusion of AR/RR  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | Lisa Lai             | retail sites are super safe as is. distro sites have been targeted. distro address should not be public  | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | Jim Bareuther        | With many agenda items, I am perplexed as to why the county is not using the regulations that surround the beverage alcohol industry (from farm to table) as guideposts for this discussion. No one is stealing grapes or corn to produce alcohol ... not the case with cannabis production. With respect to retail consumption (think tasting rooms) what are the proposed cannabis regulations. Currently there is no impairment test for cannabis and thus there should be no on site "tasting rooms" | bravo! agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | Mary Plimpton        | Safe retail would be locked at all times, with entry allowed only upon presentation of id confirming legal age. It would have 24/7 security cameras, wld retain footage for defined period of time & wld turn over footage upon request of enforcing agencies.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | Joanna Cedar         | Change the definition of retail to allow for farm stands and buds and breakfasts   | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | AnnaRae Grabstein    | State security requirements for retail are extremely robust and sufficient.  | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | Henry Edison-Burbank | these old boomers can drive around blitz on prozac, xanax, and pain killers and other drugs all day until they are blurry. and they pee it into the water supply. is that not a SAFETY ISSUE? they are poisoning out water with their pills.   | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | Henry Edison-Burbank | retail should be allowed at farms  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | Steven Sommer        | allow grower to have cottage industry like spelled out in agelment so they sell product directly   | "Cottage" is a euphemism and implies small and quaint when it is actually 10,000 square feet. Sort of like "net zero" water implies no water is being used but it is not going into replenishing the aquifer or keeping the downstream flow adequate.. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | CECILE ISAACS        | Agree with Judith Olney's comment  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 205 | rachel Zierdt        | I looked at an renewal. The original permit had many mitigations....allowing a hedge to be a barrier instead of the required setbacks....and when that mitigation didn't work, the renewal was allowed still with this faulty mitigation. How is that helpful?   | All the waivers they ask for are given.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | ron ferraro          | should be a cap on retail in county  | agreed. keep it where it is  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | Henry Edison-Burbank | events and farmstands should be legal just like the boomers favorite drug wine.  | Agreed!  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | Craig Litwin         | Lift the cap on total permitted retail dispensaries in the County. 9 are too few.  | Agree!   | 9 is already enough. some have been waiting 4-5 years to be processed. open them. | agreed    |           |           |           |
| 209 | Lori Pascarella      | I feel safer in a cannabis dispensary than most any other retail operation. Where else, other than the bank, do you see a guard on site and such robust security camera systems!   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 210 | Vi Strain            | Prohibit on-site consumption of cannabis, on rural sites. impaired drivers and substandard roads throughout the County are a dangerous mix.  | is that not the case for wine tasting?   | Yes, it is so it would be a double problem  |           |           |           |           |
| 211 | katherine yates      | store and transport permits should be issued only to residents of Sonoma county, not to outside corporate operators with no emotional connection to the county.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 212 | Judith Olney         | Concerned about distribution of this product - perhaps armed vehicles as used by banks   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 213 | Craig Litwin         | Allow onsite consumption. Study it.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 214 | C. Stephenson        | This is a great idea. Our sheriff has been forced out of the equation unfortunately. It's all up to Code Enforcement and they are required to give a 24 notice and need a court order to go on the property if the owner refuses entry.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 215 | Jeff Nash            | Are kids allowed close to retailer shops   | No. And all retail and cultivation sites have large setbacks from youth areas including schools, parks, national parks, etc. | You can put a liquor store closer to a school than a dispensary.                  |           |           |           |           |
| 216 | Joanna Cedar         | There should be no cap or restriction on location for retail   | Agreed and dispensaries should be allowed in higher-security areas...  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 217 | Sam De La Paz        | Again, access to banking and the ability to lessen cash on-hand is ideal. On-site security and most of the measures are required by default. Retail locations should be increasingly allowed in more trafficked and well established areas. Also, the need for consumptions lounges and the ability to donate Cannabis to those in need is necessary to curb any desperate measures that people may take to rob a retail location. |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 218 | Judith Olney         | A Use Permit is required to sell or sample on Ag lands   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 219 | C. Stephenson        | No on-site consumption. Result? impaired drivers.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 220 | katherine yates      | There should be a lot of info on this issue in other counties with retail stores and transportation operators  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 221 | Sam De La Paz        | Retail locations should be increasingly allowed in more trafficked and well established areas. Also, the need for consumptions lounges and the ability to donate Cannabis to those in need is necessary to curb any desperate measures that people may take to rob a retail location.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 222 | Nick K               | For those who may not know, dispensaries serve as a retail outlet for cannabis. Consumers do not use cannabis products on the premises of dispensaries, they only go to purchase said products.   | For those who may not know, dispensaries serve as a retail outlet for cannabis. Consumers do not use cannabis products on the premises of dispensaries, they only go to purchase said products. |  |  |           |           |           |
| 223 | rachel Zierdt        | I agree that retailers should be local.   |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 224 | Craig Litwin         | Allow delivery by distributors from Industrial areas as an add on permit. By the time the EIR is done we may already be federally legal and able to ship across state lines. Let all businesses have this retail perk.  | Yes agreed  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 225 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the total of 9 is just right. but you need to process the projects that have been "in cue" for 4 years. how can a local independent manage this expensive processing for 4-5 years? do you want locals or not?  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 226 | Mary Plimpton        | Since cannabis businesses are not federally approved, they are primarily cash businesses. Request that County seek info from other growing counties and stats to measure effect on financial crimes & regarding safety & security of any and all cannabis-related financial transactions. - HOW WILL COUNTY RECEIVE ASSESSED TAXES if conventional checking & bank transactions are not possible? | County happily takes cash....   | in pillow cases or suit cases?<br>What could possibly go wrong?  |  |           |           |           |
| 227 | Joanna Cedar         | Cannabis dispensaries are WAY safer than places where alcohol is served   | Agreed  | Agreed   | Yes - because they are not participating in inebriation tourism - no tasting on site   |           |           |           |
| 228 | Henry Edison-Burbank | consumption lounges should be allowed at dispensaries   |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 229 | Steven Sommer        | allow delivery from farms   | Agreed!   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 230 | katherine yates      | retail operations on the same site as a grow operation will bring an increase in traffic. Therefore, if retail is permitted on a grow site, the grow site needs to be away from residential roads   |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 231 | Nancy Nancy          | Good idea that retailers should be Sonoma County residents. Have an investment in the community they live in.   | I like that, that would be a great way to keep monies and small business empowered locally.   | and then will you protect us???  | Yes, COMM-UNITY... same team!! Come on... we got this.   |           |           |           |
| 232 | Joanna Cedar         | Consumption lounges, YES!! And should be an add on permit to any retail establishment like coffee shops, restaurants and yoga studios   | Agreed  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 233 | Henry Edison-Burbank | if the dispensary is on proper eligible LC land then the consumption lounges will fit perfect. dont wait to add this right for dispensary operators who have already waiting 4-5 years through the expensive processing.  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 234 | rachel Zierdt        | There is a way to measure when someone is impaired with liquor...until there is a way to assess the level of impairment created by consuming cannabis products, there should not be on sight consumption allowed.   | Walk a line.  | There will never be a way to test for impairment in the manner that a blood alcohol level is determined. The science doesn't work that way. Instead, train law enforcement to look for impairment...as Craig said, walk a line | So it should be allowed for wineries, tasting rooms and bars, but not for Cannabis? We can compare statistics on alcohol impaired accidents to Cannabis... |           |           |           |
| 235 | Henry Edison-Burbank | consumption lounges for dispensaries only.  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 236 | Joanna Cedar         | Change the definition of retail to allow for farm stands and buds and breakfasts  | Yes!!   |  |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)        | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                     | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|------------------|---|---|---|-----------|
| 237 | Sam De La Paz        | Currently section 8 housing does not allow any consumption of any kind on these properties. We need inclusive and accessible consumption lounges where consumers can enjoy Cannabis without risk of consequences.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 238 | Henry Edison-Burbank | dont knock the legs out from the dispensary operators who have been trusting and waiting years to be processed. give these folks the rights to add consumption to their projects if they have suitable building space and correct land use designation.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 239 | Steven Sommer        | cannibus will tasting by growers just like wine tasting rooms   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 240 | Sonia Taylor         | I'm not a fan of people drinking and driving, so am also not a fan of consuming cannabis and driving. Both are unsafe to everyone else on the road. Can cannabis operators get insurance to cover their possible liability if they allow onsite consumption?  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 241 | Henry Edison-Burbank | black market tourbus. not regulated enough. bad idea. they dont give liquor licenses to your busses.  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 242 | Judith Olney         | Until there is a test for inebriation and impaired driving – and commitment by law enforcement to enforce - no public onsite consumption.<br><br>How will participants prove they have a driver who has not participated? Only an established tour where there is a professional driver   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 243 | Henry Edison-Burbank | limited commercial land is the correct land for dispensaries and coffee shops and restaurants.  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 244 | Lori Pascarella      | Sonoma County is world renowned for it's wine appellation and next year when appellations program opens up for cannabis it will be recognized as a top cannabis appellation. To not allow for events and legal tasting venues is ridiculous restriction that negatively affects the county's restaurant, hotel and tourism industries. People to Sonoma County for the weed, wine, great food and amazing recreation! It is past time to open up canna-lounges, canna-tasting rooms and events! |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 245 | katherine yates      | They will cause a big increase in traffic. So they cannot be anywhere that put traffic on residential roads.  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 246 | Craig Harrison       | Consumption only in parcels zoned commercial or industrial.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 247 | Henry Edison-Burbank | LC land is the correct place for dispensaries. and ONLY dispensaries should have consumption lounges.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 248 | Lisa Lai             | yes please to all your examples. same regulations as grapes; tasting, direct sales and tours.   | Agreed.  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 249 | Henry Edison-Burbank | tasting should be allowed on farms and other licensed grow areas.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 250 | Judith Olney         | Consumption lounges in hotels where participants can walk to their room. Official tours only - with a professional drivers.   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 251 | Nancy Nancy          | New business potential! Driverless Cannabis Cars preprogrammed to take tourists to various Consumption sites!   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 252 | Henry Edison-Burbank | doublestandards btw wine and cannabis are WRONG   |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 253 | CECILE ISAACS        | Let's learn from wine/drunk driving on our roads. Don't allow parking lots near tasting locations. If the customer is not able to walk very far, they should not be driving.  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 254 | Craig Harrison       | Don't allow this in any of the areas where cultivation is not allowed.  |  |                  |   |   |   |           |
| 255 | Judith Olney         | Sonoma County has a much higher incidence of DUIs than the state average - and death rates in DUI related accidents are higher than speeding related traffic  | Do you have this information related to cannabis? Because this relates to alcohol. | Alcohol related. | This sounds fabricated, can you please cite the source of this information? | So we increase the cumulative public safety impacts by adding cannabis inebriation? | Sounds like you don't have any data or facts. |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                           | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| 256 | Sarah Shrader        | There are currently no places for medical cannabis patient living in federally subsidized housing to use their medicine. Consumption needs to be available.  | whole heartedly agree!!   | Yes, this is a major oversight in the regulations that impacts the lives of patients   |                                     |  |           |           |
| 257 | rachel Zierdt        | yes, consumption at grows will increase traffic....and of course our roads are to narrow.  |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 258 | Henry Edison-Burbank | young folks w money will travel here and want cannabis. they dont care about wine anymore. sonoma county should be thinking about allowing this energetic young industry remake sonoma county as a cannabis hotspot worldwide. we will all be left behind because of these NIMBYS and who will pay for out counties expenses? boomer control freaks. |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 259 | katherine yates      | Wineries with tasting rooms typically have large acreage and not in neighborhoods. So you cannot equate cannabis tasting rooms with wine tasting retail if and when the grow operation is on only 10 acres in or adjacent to neighborhoods.  |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 260 | Sam De La Paz        | May have been looking for THIS ONE: Sam De La Paz (You): Currently section 8 housing does not allow any consumption of any kind on these properties. We need inclusive and accessible consumption lounges where consumers can enjoy Cannabis without risk of consequences.   | yes we do!  |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 261 | Henry Edison-Burbank | n95 mask with built in charcoal filters. these cannabis haters prob want to where masks until the end of time too.   |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 262 | Joann Binder         | Cannabis businesses are not federally approved, they are primarily cash businesses. How will the county financially benefit through taxes if conventional checking & bank transactions are not possible? And all that cash can attract more crime.   |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 263 | Mary Plimpton        | No consumption at grow sites, in rural areas with narrow winding roads! "Blissed out drivers" on our rural roads - Seriously?  |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 264 | C. Stephenson        | Why don't we reward the legal growers if they turn in the illegals? they know who and where they are. Spend some of the largesse that Newsome is sending the counties way to help the legal industry. 100 million dollars for 58 counties. Nothing for homeless or affordable housing?   | You can absolutely NOT increase this type of criminal treatment without a clear pathway to licensing! That is asinine!! |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 265 | Henry Edison-Burbank | all opposition groups can come to cannabis farms and get free cannabis. maybe that will chill them out better than their crazy pills.  |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |
| 266 | Craig Litwin         | The EIR should look at how alcohol (addictive and deadly) is regulated for onsite consumption/landuse and allow cannabis (safer and not deadly) the same permissions.  | Most definitely!  | Provide technical analyses for the ways that impaired cannabis drivers are less deadly | Agreed Craig!                       | There is far less evidence of that, sorry for that Judith, there will be more to come for sure. Although, Cannabis has been around just as long... We still haven't had enough cases to even hear about Cannabis intoxication in the news. |           |           |
| 267 | Judith Olney         | Medical cannabis dispensaries do provide for on-site consumption   | Where?  | That is simply not true  | Which ones? None that I am aware of | Where are you sourcing this information?   |           |           |
| 268 | Sam De La Paz        | Consumption should be allowed in parody at very least with alcohol. Alcohol causes more deaths per year than many leading causes.  |   |  |                                     |  |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 269 | Vi Strain            | Bloomfield has an illegal junkyard that the County has been working on abating but has not been sucessful. Neighbors report fires at night and gunshots as well as illegal drug creation and use. The Sheriff will not come out unless and incident happens so the community has few actions for abatement. We have the same concerns with cannabis proposed adjacent to multiple back yards. We ask the County to seriously consider more proactive ways to quickly abate illegal land uses and illegal cannabis operations as part of their responsibility of public safety when preparing the EIR  | What does this have to do with cannabis exactly?   | This same group of bad actors were part of an illegal cannabis raid by the County but they are still active in the area. |           |           |           |           |
| 270 | Nancy Nancy          | Like the idea of legals turning in illegals. We should all be watching out for each other.  | We need to create more opportunities for small growers to enter the legal market.  | I agree wholeheartedly   |           |           |           |           |
| 271 | Henry Edison-Burbank | lets revisit the wine indutry beginnings in sonoma county. would anyone even know about sonoma county wine? would we have tourism? if these opposition groups were given such a voive back then there would be NOTHING. these opposition groups were doing free-love, communism, and LSD. most were smoking weed every day. the flower children have turned into full on authoritarians.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 272 | Joann Binder         | Onsite consumption shpuld be in commercial areas where there is easy acces to lft, uber, public transit etc. for potentially impaired drivers to have other options.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 273 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the inspections are intense and they dont play games. its very stressful and not easy. anyone who thinks otherwise is misinformed.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 274 | Henry Edison-Burbank | kudos to the sonoma county ag dept. one of the classiest operations in this county. we are lucky to have them.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 275 | Joanna Cedar         | Cannabis industry operators are held accountable by state regulations. Align with the state.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 276 | Kimberly Susukida    | Where I have a second home in Humboldt County, I have been impacted by growers who have moved in nearby. We frequently hear fire arms. Our neighbors have walked in the area and have been met with fire arms. I worry about safety along these lines.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 277 | Mike Rossi           | So your were all talking about all this security and safety for the grower, retailer and processing facility but what about the safety of my wife 2 year old and 3 months old if my wife has to evacuate like we did in 2017 by herself with the children trying to get through lock gates by yourself while I'm at work on the ambulance running 911 calls. I personally don't think that the making money should overlooking the safety of its citizens to allow a crop that shouldn't be in a location that will affect personal safety is the right thing to do. We have had two homes on the property before the fire but after we rebuild the second home we will have two families that will have to evacuate. | Im sorry to hear that you had to go through what sounds like a very traumatic event. I think the idea is to consider the safety of us all. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 278 | Henry Edison-Burbank | wow, snitch squads. can anyone say RED GUARD.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 279 | Judith Olney         | Accountability is the responsibility of the operator and the enforcement team - enforcement should not rely on neighbors having to turn in their neighbors or bear the costs of ensuring regulations are upheld   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 280 | Kimberly Susukida    | I worry about electrical issues and fires. I worry about the water table. I would like to know about the by-products of growth and how it they might affect the environment.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 281 | Mike Rossi           | You're looking at this as an agricultural product but my neighbor growing grapes does n't care if I evacuate through their property   |  |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                 | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 282 | Nick K               | Judith Olney wrote an article about being against any entertainment venues, citing drunk drivers. She essentially is arguing against any activities that could be related to an increase in tourism revenue as well as bringing in new business into our beautiful county. She is against progress.   | Judith Olney wrote an article about being against any entertainment venues, citing drunk drivers. She essentially is arguing against any activities that could be related to an increase in tourism revenue as well as bringing in new business into our beautiful county. She is against progress. | The County is well concerned about my concerns related to road safety  | Well aware of my concerns |           |           |           |
| 283 | Mike Rossi           | How is the County letting someone buy three different 10 acre parcel's and have over 30 different individual permits for growing cannabis all on the same property without having an environmental impact study?  | Due to the caps on cultivation, these numbers are wildly inaccurate.  | I called PRMD and there are there are 3 individual properties with each have 10 permit on each property being just under the limit not to get an environmental impact study. |                           |           |           |           |
| 284 | CECILE ISAACS        | Need to consider bonds and other advance measures in order to pay for corrections to projects that become nuisances.  | Those are currently required.   |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 285 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the grown up hippos who dont like cannabis now want snitch squads. BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION was the beginning of some very bad times for EVERYONE. bad idea. stop the division. and stop the goon squads. give operators in good standing respect and treat them like human beings.   |   |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 286 | Sam De La Paz        | You can absolutely NOT increase criminal treatment, such as neighbors calling neighbors, without a clear pathway to licensing! That is an asinine consideration!!   |   |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 287 | Steven Sommer        | isnt that the purpose of Metrc and local guidelines?  |   |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 288 | Joanna Cedar         | The county should not pit neighbor against neighbor. The costs associated with accountability and enforcement can only come from a robust, broad program that has MANY, many more operators   |   |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 289 | Lisa Lai             | neighbor driven complaints further bad relationships. accountability should happen through already established site visits  | Yes there have been many cases where neighbors falsify claims wasting valuable personell time and resources.  |  |                           |           |           |           |
| 290 | katherine yates      | The county will need a big increase in enforcement staffing. There is probably data on the ratio between the number of grow operations and the number of enforcement staff needed to be able to enforce accountability. the staff salaries needs to come from the grow operators, as they are the reason for the need for the staff. For every pound of product produced, a tax specifically for accountability enforcement should be part of the permit process. | Please educate yourself.  |  |                           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 291 | Kimberly Susukida    | Earlier in the presentation you stated that the Coastal region is exempt. How is that? Can you please explain.  | Hi Kimberly, thank you for joining us. The area listed here - the CC - is not included in this ordinance.<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Services/Zoning-and-Parcel-Report/Zoning-Codes-Coastal/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Services/Zoning-and-Parcel-Report/Zoning-Codes-Coastal/</a><br>YOu can also email us at cannabis@sonoma-county.org to learnmore. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 292 | Craig Harrison       | Enforcement is a joke; they don't hold law breakers accountable.<br><br>The Department of Agriculture conducted a compliance inspection on June 11, 2020 at a PRP grow on Grange Road.<br><br>The report for that inspection notes for this property states:<br><br>there "were no METRC tags on any of the plants and my estimate is that there will be approximately 6000 sq. ft. of canopy at maturity. Their license is for 5000 sq. ft. The plants were directly under the drip line of the oak trees which had been severely cut back. There are no porta-potties on site.<br><br>The lack of metric tags shows it is was a black market operation. The Ag Commissioner decided "no penalties." |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 293 | Bob Langley          | visit facilities, tour and give feedback on becoming better with compliant necessary items. build trust between regulators and operators. too much division.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 294 | Sam De La Paz        | There needs to be transparency from the county and leading authorities in parody with the industry operators. The stakeholders (Both Cannabis and Neighborhood) deserve to know all of the monies being spent and on what efforts.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 295 | Anna Ransome         | Enforcement is the key. The County and Ag Comm have not been actively enforcing violations making neighbors cynical about the whole process. Legit operations should not support those who get away with flaunting the laws. It's unfair business practices at the very least.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 296 | Lisa Lai             | no former cops should be allowed to be code enforcement agents for cannabis farms   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 297 | Sam De La Paz        | Maybe an upvote forum for Cannabis tax dollars allocation within the county?  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 298 | Lori Pascarella      | In addition to the many other state and county agencies, Sonoma County Department of Agriculture has an amazing team doing regular inspections of cultivation sites to ensure regulations and best managment practices are followed. Cannabis cultivators are either compliant, brought into compliance, or removed from the program if unable to come into compliance. This type of oversight and enforcement should continue in new ordinance.  | Agreed. It is already working.  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 299 | Henry Edison-Burbank | CRAIG HARRISON is WRONG and is either a PAID HACK or just a UNPAID HACK.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|
| 300 | Vi Strain            | Conditions of approval on projects must be monitored by the County and not be depend upon neighbors to notify the County and then have to continue to call to get action. The County should return to a problem site and make sure problems are addressed | Yes, that's what's in place already.   | Measures to avoid land use conflicts between adjacent properties is required. Monitoring is not adequate | This is incredibly vague. Land use is already zoned by the County and has been for years. | Cultivators are regularly inspected by county and state agencies as part of the licensing enforcement process and they must follow up directly on clearing violations within a limited timeframe. No other industry faces this level of scrutiny or enforcement..... |           |           |
| 301 | katherine yates      | One of the more common violations by indoor grow operators is inadequate odor control. So the enforcement staff will need the appropriate equipment and experts to test odor and chemical leaks.  |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 302 | Henry Edison-Burbank | neighbors call in and they are often lying or trying to get their neighbors swatted.  |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 303 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the agencies know that the neighbors keep calling and it is lies. but it takes lawyers.   |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 304 | Sam De La Paz        | Taxation and enforcement without representation is a failed paradigm... Currently a provisional applicant has no constitutional rights... But is fined, taxed and held to the fire by all sides for their operations.                                     |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 305 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf  | Neighbors should be fined for falsifying claims against cultivators and waisting personell time and resources.  | Agree with this completely!<br>Too many cries of wolf!!!   | YES!!!   |   |  |           |           |
| 306 | CECILE ISAACS        | Don't place inspection and enforcement in the hands of departments that have a record of non-enforcement. Keep up County operational standards.   |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 307 | Lisa Lai             | the county should be educating the public on what rules are already in place and defending legal farms against neighborhoods groups who are spreading untruths  | Agreed, the county knows that the vast majority of opposition to a broad cannabis program is contrived by few to the detriment of many | Its about time!! citizens need to be informed not just projecting personal biases.                       |   |  |           |           |
| 308 | Judith Olney         | Neighbors are giving up on reporting non-compliance or threats because there is no response or follow up by enforcement.  | Inaccurate.<br>Investigations are most definitely made   | They are not. I don't know where you get your information  |   |  |           |           |
| 309 | Steven Sommer        | NO CANNIBUS RURAL RESIDENTIAL   |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 310 | Henry Edison-Burbank | GUTZMAN has a horse farm that smells terrible all the time. they make up stories. BOYCOTT the christmas tree farm next to the luther burbank center. they are terrible neighbors who just moved here 2 years ago. BOYCOTT KRINGLES CORNER.                |  |  |   |  |           |           |
| 311 | Sam De La Paz        | SAFETY: We need to incentivize transition to the legal landscape by creating a functional Cannabis permitting program. 2Yrs is NOT going to help with this.   |  |  |   |  |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 312 | Vi Strain            | The County should switch gears and think about the promised neighborhood compatibility rather than thru this lens of cannabis. Why aren't these sessions asking how cannabis will responsibly come to Sonoma County and fit in with existing conditions and enhance the County.  | Because it is already here, and has been.                           | That is all we are trying to do here. Cannabis has been here... Now that we talk about legitimizing operations all the anti-Cannabis comes out of the woodwork to shut operations out. Especially small mom & pop cultivators... How is this inclusive in ushering in an inclusive and safe industry? | The cannabis industry had exclusive rights to meet with the Ad-Hoc committee for months. This is the only forum we have had. |           |           |           |
| 313 | Joann Binder         | Results of inspections and followup (violations and penalties) should be available to the public   | Agree   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 314 | Henry Edison-Burbank | the easements and roads are taken out of properties frontage to help traffic proceed to smaller broken off parcels. the land often is owned by the cannabis operator. NO DOUBLESTANDARDS   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 315 | Nancy Nancy          | Should have County inspectors for all aspects of Cannabis operations. The County should have specific Cannabis departments with multiple sub-departments for farmers, retailers, safety issues etc. More jobs! Think Alexander Hamilton.   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 316 | katherine yates      | The biggest conflict in this county with cannabis growers is with placing them in or near neighborhoods, due to all the issues it raises: traffic, odor, water, and safety. If this issue is eliminated by placing the operators in industrial or commercial locations, and/or in large areas (like an unused airport for ex), there could be a great and harmonious cannabis future in this county. |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 317 | Henry Edison-Burbank | don't give the public more private operator information. they torture their neighbors enough already.  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 318 | Mike Rossi           | I called PRMD and there are three individual properties with each have 10 permit on each property being just under the limit not to get an environmental impact study.   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 319 | Steven Sommer        | CANNIBUS IS A RICULTURE NOT COMMERCIAL   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 320 | Henry Edison-Burbank | PROTECT LANDOWNER RIGHTS. cannabis landowners HAVE RIGHTS to privacy and peace to enjoy their property.  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 321 | Bob Langley          | give free consultations to licensees on safety and security plan and give risk assessment to aid in further making a license a hard target.  | OSHA already provides much of this for all businesses in California |   |  |           |           |           |
| 322 | Sam De La Paz        | SECURITY: Recommend neighborhoods to come together and embrace Cannabis operators. This would only lend to additional comforts for both parties. This is about COMMUNITY.  | YES! We are one big Sonoma community!                               |   |  |           |           |           |
| 323 | Lisa Lai             | I just want to make sure you realize that a lot of farmers are not here to defend themselves because it is the end of harvest/turn over season   | truth, hard working farmers   | TRUE  | this will be 3 more years that harrison and the others will get to torture us. they get to lie and manipulate while we work. |           |           |           |
| 324 | Henry Edison-Burbank | maybe the county should give badges to judith, gutzman, harrison and all the other dishonest manipulators.   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 325 | Judith Olney         | How will unsafe properties and unsafe roads impact our lucrative tourism industry? Unlike Napa and Marin, Sonoma County has not looked into these economic consequences  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 326 | Vi Strain            | It's the same for residents. The notice was short and many have had to change plans to participate in this process   |   |   |  |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name           | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                 | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|----------------------|---|-------------|---|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 327 | Bob Langley          | OSHA does not have anything to do with security element. but yes, they can provide that for the safety side of things   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 328 | katherine yates      | provide leases to growers to lands well away from neighborhoods, larger parcels like wineries and dairies have. The farmer wont have to come up with huge amounts of money to purchase the land.  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 329 | Lori Pascarella      | Cannabis has been proven to increase tourism, and it generates jobs and significant tax revenues that can be used for infrastructure improvements. Amazon has more traffic impact on the region than cannabis!  | Exactly!    |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 330 | Henry Edison-Burbank | this will be 3 more years that harrison and the others will get to torture us. they get to lie and manipulate while we work.  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 331 | Vi Strain            | Do the work and research in the EIR and provide constructive and creative ideas that can be reviewed and commented on by the public   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 332 | Joanna Cedar         | Sometimes the best idea is to do nothing...the state has the vast majority of needed regulations already in force...align with the state  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 333 | Craig Litwin         | Consider a list of recommended actions that one can put in their application, such as a Good Neighbor Policy, that are not required but are suggested. Reaching out to neighbors before hearings and regularly could be another item on the list to increase accountability.  | I like this | Many cannabis operators already have this. We are part of the Sonoma community!   |                           |           |           |           |
| 334 | Sam De La Paz        | Normalizing and creating safe operations is all we are trying to do here. Cannabis has been here... Now that we talk about legitimizing operations all the anti-Cannabis comes out of the woodwork to shut operations out. Especially small mom & pop cultivators... How is this inclusive in ushering in an inclusive and safe industry? | Agreed!     | The irony is that the more people shut out the mom and pops the bigger the operations we will see. So the best way to reduce impacts from cannabis is to embrace the efforts by small farmers to get permitted. | Exactly Craig, thank you. |           |           |           |
| 335 | Henry Edison-Burbank | CHAPTER 38 ?????? !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 336 | Lori Pascarella      | Cannabis has been proven to increase tourism, generates local jobs and significant tax revenues that can be used for infrastructure improvements. Amazon has more traffic impact on the region than cannabis!   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 337 | Henry Edison-Burbank | is that banned information? we spent years on that! CHAPTER 38!!!!!!  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 338 | katherine yates      | Instead of the county being in the passive, receiving position regarding applications, which trigger a labored review process for each application, turn it around and have the county come up with cannabis grow zones, and provide realistic access for local farmers to the lands in these zones.                                      |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 339 | rachel Zierdt        | The state is overwhelmed with all the permitting. They rely on the county to do the due diligence.  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 340 | Sam De La Paz        | Thank you so much Crystal and all of the staff and support today! Your efforts to hear from all stakeholders are revered!   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 341 | Henry Edison-Burbank | kudos staff. great group from county. i trust this process but its really bad its going to take another 3 years. why not start with some of the great work from CHAPTER 38? can you explain why that is not?  |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 342 | rachel Zierdt        | The comments about small farmer etc. is not being borne out here. Many large corporations....   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |
| 343 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf  | Thank you All   |             |   |                           |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| # | Asker Name    | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                       | Answer(s)  |
|---|---------------|--|-------------|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | rachel zierdt | Its wonderful that there is a Spanish translator.  |             |   |  |  |                                 |  |
| 2 | Moira Jacobs  | County must conduct complete study on marijuana impacts on DUI, traffic accidents, fatalities from DUIs caused by marijuana. County must conduct study on marijuana impact on homelessness, crime and mental health. County must provide extensive education programs on harms of THC drugs to all grade school and high school students. So far little or nothing has been done on any above. Sonoma County has put the horse before the cart, they only promote, promote, promote Pot as if it's their religion.   | I agree.    | Please refrain from using derogatory terms.<br>Cannabis   | According to Sonoma County law enforcement there is still no consistent roadside DUI test for DUID for marijuana. This must be fixed before any new pot operations given licenses.<br><br>Look at Colorado reports, 4 years ahead of CA with data, legalized in 2012, results include:<br><br>- Traffic fatalities increased over 31% since 2013. The rise in statewide traffic fatalities has coincided with a rise in instances of traffic fatalities where the driver tested positive for marijuana (active THC in the blood) | Correct. Law Enforcement has a long way to go to understand the effects of consumption and impairment as it relates to driving.<br><br>Former Police Officer and Drug Recognition Expert | More research needs to be done. | I don't believe that licensing relates to consumption necessarily. |
| 3 | Ray Krauss    | <p>It needs to be acknowledged that cannabis production is not just farming or agriculture. The production of cannabis results in a high value, low volume, highly transportable product. A truck load of grapes is just a truck load of grapes. A truck load of cannabis is many thousands of dollars. As such, it will always remain a potential target for robbery and violence and thus a security risk to it neighbors.</p> <p>Cultivation sites should be used exclusively for cultivation. All trimming, processing, packaging, and manufacturing should be strictly limited to suitable commercially and industrially zoned sites. There should be no product retained or stored at cultivation sites in order to reduce the risk of robbery and violence.</p> <p>Sales and consumption should be strictly limited to the dispensaries sites in the manner that many wineries have set up off-site tasting venues.</p> | good points | This is pretty much the standard Ray. Most cultivation sites are drying offsite and most definitely manufacturing |  |  |                                 |  |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| # | Asker Name     | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|---|----------------|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 4 | rachel zierdt  | <p>It was disappointing in this morning's session, that the fire marshall gave inaccurate and/or misleading answers. There are permits being given on non fire-safe roads under his watch. It is indeed unfortunate for those residents living on these roads and puts them all in danger. My son is a fire fighter and is very concerned that there be development allowed in roads where people need to egress and his men and equipment need to dodge them to fight the fire.</p>   | <p>So many cannabis farmers are working towards supporting fire fighters and allowing their properties to be used as an added evacuation route. I am not sure who or where you are getting your information from but rest assured that cannabis applicants have been making sure they are addressing fire concerns. It's odd that people do not have a problem with semi trucks logging huge redwood trees or fire damaged trees up and down some of these more than adequate rural roads but when it comes to cannabis it becomes a huge issue and concern. Just saying.</p> | <p>Your statement is contradictory. One hand your saying permits have been issued on unsafe roads and on the other your saying your son the fire fighter is concerned about new development? Which is it?</p> | <p>"allowing their properties to be used as an added evac route" — if the property is located several miles down a dead end one lane road and there is no connection to any other road this is literally meaningless. There is absolutely no added safety from an evac route that goes an edge of a property and no further.</p> |           |           |           |
| 5 | Bob Storms     | <p>Can people on this screen identify themselves and tell us who they are and what they do.</p>  | <p>We will be doing that momentarily! Thanks for attending tonight Bob!</p>   | <p>Thank you</p>  | <p>Do you mean something like resident of sonoma county or grower or lobbyist or parent or teacher?</p>  |           |           |           |
| 6 | veva edelson   | <p>Given that we have not had time to really address the safety of Cannabis Operations adjacent to our rural towns Please Adopt a Moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions and an Ordinance to set standards for the Industry. Under the current ordinance we will have cannabis 100 feet from our property lines and 300 feet from our residential homes. Stop accepting new cannabis applications until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analyzes the County's water resource capacity and calculates currant water use.</p> |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 7 | Valorie Dallas | <p>The EIR should be completed before an ordinance is written. I so appreciate the county asking our input, but without the results of the EIR it is difficult to determine the best way forward. I ask to Adopt a Moratorium until Sonoma County prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions and an Ordinance to set standards for the Industry. Stop accepting new cannabis applications until an environmental setting document is prepared and circulated which analyzes water, fire, safety, etc.</p>   | <p>This approach will completely kill the small family farmers and will eliminate cannabis businesses being able to support other small businesses.</p> <p>Before you suggest something so radical please consider the local impact</p>   | <p>I do not consider this radical when we are in a drought, climate change exists, lots of fires, water issues. I am sorry the last few years have set growers back.</p>                                      | <p>Promoting unsustainable expansion of cannabis farming risks gutting whole neighborhoods — think about the impact on small businesses then — 30+ families leaving because a cannabis farm used up all the ground water is considerably worse for the whole community</p>   |           |           |           |

## Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name     | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 8  | Moira Jacobs   | <p>According to Sonoma County law enforcement there is still no consistent roadside DUI test for DUID for marijuana. This must be fixed before any new pot operations given licenses.</p> <p>Look at Colorado reports, 4 years ahead of CA with data, legalized in 2012, results include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Traffic fatalities increased over 31% since 2013. The rise in statewide traffic fatalities has coincided with a rise in instances of traffic fatalities where the driver tested positive for marijuana (active THC in the blood)</li> </ul> | I don't disagree, but hard to fix this issue when testing and research doesn't allow it. |   |           |           |           |           |
| 9  | gail cafferata | We need an immediate moratorium on commercial grow permits until an EIR process is implemented for all grows. As a pastor, I believe in the Golden Rule, and especially the ethic of Rabbi Hillel, "What is hateful to you do not do to others." What is going on now is hurtful to current landowners and homeowners who are experiencing health issues among their children and family members with respiratory illnesses. Permits need to cease while this process is underway.   | Agree!   | Many cultivators have families of their own to support. As a pastor how do you feel about completely undermining the ability of small farmers being able to support themselves and local economies? |           |           |           |           |
| 10 | Moira Jacobs   | <p>Drugs attract criminals and exacerbate homeless problems, examples:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) A 2019 study conducted in Denver found that the existence of both recreational and medical marijuana dispensaries in Denver neighborhoods are significantly and positively associated with increased crime (L. Hughes et al., 2019).</li> <li>2) Researchers found that Denver neighborhoods adjacent to marijuana businesses saw 84.8 more property crimes each year than those without a marijuana shop nearby (Freisthler et al., 2017).</li> </ol>        |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 11 | Moira Jacobs   | 3) The number of court filings charged with the Colorado Organized Crime Control Act that were linked to a marijuana charge increased 639% from 2013 to 2017 (Colorado Department of Public Safety).   |  |   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #  | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 12 | Moira Jacobs      | Marijuana negative impacts on homeless:<br>1) The U.S. Department of HUD reported a 13% increase in Colorado's homeless population from 2015 and 2016, while the national average decreased 3% (Burke & Acuna, 2017).<br>2) Business owners and officials in Durango, Colorado, have testified that the resort town "suddenly became a haven for recreational pot users, drawing in transients, panhandlers, and a large number of homeless drug addicts" (Kolb, 2017).                           | Moira anybody can go and look up statistics online. Thank you for copying and pasting info and flooding the space with what could be a constructive and informative conversation. There are so many factors ranging from the economy, to the current politics and national crises that there's really no way someone can directly correlate homelessness (a unique individual struggle), or "crime" in general, with a larger scale vague statewide consumption of cannabis report. However thanks for sharing |  |           |           |           |           |
| 13 | Moira Jacobs      | 3) A 2018 study, conducted by the Colorado Division of Criminal Justice, surveyed seven Colorado jail populations. It yielded results that further link homelessness and marijuana use (CDCJ, 2018). The study, though small, found that 50.8% of respondents reported using marijuana 30 days prior to their time in jail. Additionally, 54.9% of respondents who were homeless prior to their jail time reported marijuana use 30 days prior to it (compared with 36.1% reporting alcohol use). | It is my opinion that licensed cannabis businesses that have well designed and implemented security systems and SOPs can lead to a safer neighborhood.   | I personally don't feel more secure thinking about having a neighbor with a high security system, lights and drone surveillance. | Agree     |           |           |           |
| 14 | gail cafferata    | There are all kinds of incentives for criminal activity at commercial grow sites at harvest time because of the value of the crop compared with all other things grown. This will challenge law enforcement and endanger all homeowners and landowners living on roads near to grows.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 15 | Who is John Galt? | neighbor opposition has identified their neighbors who they want to harm. there is no integrity to their arguements   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 16 | Ray Krauss        | Cannabis production in rural areas increases the likelihood of of wildfirre ignitions as a result of increased human activity in high fire hazard areas, extensive electrical distribution systems for greenhouse and hoop house illumination and ventilation. There have been instances of ignition and wildfire from drying facilities. All of the activites should be located in urban.  | Most are. Outdoor cultivation poses little threat and may indeed actually halt fire being that cannabis may be a fire resistant plant.   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 17 | Who is John Galt? | there should be cannabis operators with water trucks and yound healthy men able to help.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #  | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                             | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|---|--|-----------|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| 18 | veva edelson      | Safe cultivation looks like only permitting cannabis sites in areas if there are any residence within 5000 ft which have roads that meet the firesafe road standards. Wildfire and evacuation congestion on substandard roads do not meet fire safe road standards, which require vehicles and emergency equipment to pass concurrently. Only permit Commercial cannabis operations on roads that meet state fire safe regulations. |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 19 | Who is John Galt? | cannabis is a fire break and is safer for neighborhoods.  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 20 | Deborah Eppstein  | Agree with Ray  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 21 | Who is John Galt? | NOT IN MY BACK YARD   |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 22 | gail cafferata    | The Hippocratic Oath is "First, do no harm." Harm is harm. Do not do harm.  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 23 | Ray Krauss        | Safe cultivation should be located in low fire hazard areas, not in high risk zones.  | That would be ideal Ray but unfortunately not the reality especially with climate change.          |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 24 | Who is John Galt? | these people who hate could never work as hard as a cannabis farmer. they had a charmed easy life as a boomers  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 25 | Lauren Marra      | Safe cultivation would be permitting commercial cannabis in places such as industrial areas where there is adequate water supply, where it's close to city fire departments and associated resources, and away from homes and farms/fields where the fire could then get out of control and easily spread   | agreed   | Agreed    | Extremely bad for the environment. Cannabis is a plant and should be grown in agricultural areas | Not true. In a controlled environment water can be recycled and reused | growing indoor is extremely efficient |           |
| 26 | Moira Jacobs      | These are not "farms" these are THC drug production operations.   | Please make the effort to meet a medical cannabis patient. Or are you just a proponent of opioids? |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 27 | Who is John Galt? | boomer hypocrisy  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 28 | gail cafferata    | Commercial marijuana grows harm the health of abutting neighbors in Sonoma County (Petaluma)<br><a href="http://www.sosneighborhoods.com/from-the-field-enforcement-where-are-you/">http://www.sosneighborhoods.com/from-the-field-enforcement-where-are-you/</a>   |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 29 | Forest Houtz      | away from All rural communities, on fire safe roads.  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 30 | Deborah Eppstein  | No cultivation in high or very high fire zones, and no cultivation on narrow and dead end roads. County must follow the state fire safe regulations- it does not now  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 31 | Who is John Galt? | BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION 2.0  |  |           |  |  |                                       |           |
| 32 | Valorie Dallas    | Without the ERI results it is difficult to determine what is safe. Maybe we should wait for that.   | good point   |           |  |  |                                       |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #  | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)      | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--------------------|---|---|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 33 | Brantly Richardson | Safety and crime cannot be separated from Code Enforcement and police response time. There are many examples on non-cannabis issues that are never resolved and violations that are not enforced, monitored or fined. The sheriff's response time is critical for such a high end product that requires heavy duty surveillance and security. The security of the adjacent neighbors must also be determined. Innocent bystanders on adjacent properties may be accidentally targeted. Illegal access to the cultivation is likely from parks, schools and remote residences. |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 34 | Deborah Eppstein   | Need to follow safe access. The Fire Marshall and Permit Sonoma need to follow the state fire safe regulations and stop approving road reports and recommending approval of cannabis operations on unsafe roads in high fire risk areas that blatantly violate the state fire safe regulations.   |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 35 | Jean Hegland       | Safe cultivation would require easily accessible roads that would allow first responders to arrive quickly and residents to evacuate easily. One lane roads are not appropriate. There must be more than one method escaping in case of a fire.   |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 36 | Valorie Dallas     | When there is a fire, saving humans are most important, then animals, then homes, then livelihoods. How can we ensure our evacuation routes prioritize these things. I understand that the safety and security plan for a proposed grow is private, but wonder who is liable if it is not adequate.   |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 37 | Valorie Dallas     | When I looked at the list of chemicals being proposed to be stored on site in my local cannabis permit application, I wondered how volatile these chemicals are. Science should determine if these are safe to store near residences in case of fire. Will the processing facility 30 feet from my neighbor's house blow up in a fire? And if it does, who rebuilds our town of 100 houses?   | We are not allowed to store chemicals.<br><br>Legally not even allowed to use pesticides. |  |                |           |           |           |
| 38 | Ray Krauss         | Adequate road access is essential.  |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 39 | Who is John Galt?  | wild fires are stopped by water trucks and hard working men. let the cannabis industry protect the spoiled boomernimby's  |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 40 | Deborah Eppstein   | No cannabis operations on shared private roads unless all other parcel owners agree in writing. This jeopardizes safety of residents and appropriates their property rights to the shared private road  |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 41 | Deborah Eppstein   | Cannabis operations should not be allowed on roads where evacuation is already problematic or where adding additional people or vehicle trips would make it problematic   |   |  |                |           |           |           |
| 42 | Bob Storms         | In Orange County, the 3rd most populous county in California expressingly PROHIBITS commercial cannabis cultivation in the unincorporated areas.  | This relates to safety directly concerning wildfires.                                     | It is also historically not a county that produces cannabis. | It sure isn't. |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---------------------|--|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 43 | gail cafferata      | Potential criminal activity in the cultivation of marijuana includes use of chemicals and pesticides that are illegal and risky to people and wildlife and may pollute soil and ground water.  | Not true. Please educate yourself and read the ordinance and regulations.<br><br>We are not allowed to store chemicals or even use pesticides and we are inspected often |            |           |           |           |           |
| 44 | Herman G. Hernandez | Applicants who are willing to provide emergency evacuation routes; applicants who provide water sources for fire fighting; applicants who communicate regularly with their local fire fighters; applicants who are willing to install fire cameras; applicants who host fire prevention town halls; applicants who are willing to put fire breaks around their property; all these would be good stewards for fire and emergency safety practices.           |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 45 | Moira Jacobs        | No outdoor THC drug production sites in rural lands, none down narrow roads that are unsafe in fire evacuations.   | It is called cannabis. Please learn new words  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Deborah Eppstein    | Safe evacuation plan must be required and demonstrated- this is a major issue in rural areas   |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | Valorie Dallas      | Where I live there is 2 parcels with 1 acre proposed grows with a processing facility. The access road to this grow is 9 feet wide with ditches on each side. The only way 2 cars can pass is by one pulling into a driveway on the road. The entrance to this proposed grow is at the end of a dead end road. There should be a fire safety plan and clear evacuation plan submitted for the local residents if there is going to be a processing facility. |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | Ray Krauss          | Must be adequate escape potential in cases of wildfire.  | Absolutely   |            |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | Deborah Eppstein    | Cannabis should not be in locations over 15 min from sheriff response  |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | veva edelson        | A cannabis processing facility needs to be far enough away from any residence that if there is a fire THC in the smokey air would not make it challenging for neighbors to safely gather their kids and animals and evacuate. People need to be sober enough to drive away.  |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | Chris Eggers        | Well thought out SOPs as well  |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | Arthur Deicke       | All new developments, no matter for residential, commercial, industrial or agricultural must meet all fire safe zones including access, flood zone considerations and seismic issues. Cannabis should be no different.   | Exactly  | Thank you. |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | Who is John Galt?   | in the future we wont give all the reigns of power and control to the most spoiled and entitled generation who has damaged our country beyond repair. let the friendly baby boomers pass on and not force us into their hell-world of masks and authoritarian red guard control.   |  |            |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | Deborah Eppstein    | if in rural areas, only in low fire risk areas, and on wide roads.   |  |            |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name         | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 55 | gail cafferata     | Cannabis cultivation and other commercial cannabis activities attract crime and associated violence; in this County and others cultivation and other commercial cannabis activities have been a magnet for thefts, robberies, illegal firearms, shootings, and homicides.  | Completely inaccurate  | Dr. Khalouff tell that to all victims murdered or shot at THC drug operations. |           |           |           |           |
| 56 | Brantly Richardson | The environmental report must fully address the existing conditions of police and fire services and their ability to handle additinal commercial cannabis development in high fire risk aras in the WUI (Wildfire Urban Interface)on both SRA (State Responsibility Areas) and LRA (Local Responsibility Areas).   | agree  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 57 | Moira Jacobs       | A THC drug production operation near us blew out a transformer at height of fire season. These operations are notoriously unsafe. They produce tons of plastic garbage too.  | Your reference to an illegal site does not relate to this conversation on the regulated market | No I'm referring to legal sites in all my comments. Don't speak for me.        |           |           |           |           |
| 58 | gail cafferata     | Both outdoor and indoor grows may contain armed guards and/or booby trap devices that threaten severe bodily harm or death to those who attempt to access them. Such devices may be a threat to any person that enters the area of the grow, but are often designed specifically to injure law enforcement personnel. Especially during harvest and processing season there is an immediate threat of violent crime depending on the size, location, gang/drug trafficker involvement, and monetary value of these cannabis grows. |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 59 | Deborah Eppstein   | Cannabis operations should not be allowed on any dead-end road which has residences between the cannabis operation and the cross-road, due to safety from increased traffic and evacuation hazards.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 60 | Julia Kozitsyna    | Narrow, unpaved, one lane, dead end roads are entirely unsuitable for commercial operations — there is enormous wear and tear from commercial traffic, there are no provisions for operations contributing to upkeep of these roads, there is no recourse if there congestion or dergration.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 61 | Deborah Eppstein   | The Fire Marshall and Permit Sonoma need to follow the state fire safe regulations and stop approving road reports and recommending approval of cannabis operations on unsafe roads in high fire risk areas that blatantly violate the state fire safe regulations.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 62 | Ray Krauss         | Remote locations are far from emergency services with excessive response times.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 63 | JOEL HOYT          | operations seem vulnerable. it would be great for them to be high priority for law enforcement.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 64 | veva edelson       | I think it is also important to locate cannabis opperations where there is ample fire support and law enforcement and code enforcement   | agree, this is very important  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 65 | veva edelson       | A cannabis processing facility needs to be far enough away from any residence that if there is a fire THC in the smokey air would not make it challenging for neighbors to safely gather their kids and animals and evacuate. People need to be sober enough to drive away.  | Good Point.  |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 66 | Bill Krawetz      | • Operations of this type are more appropriate in a commercial business area where support services are available (police, fire, water, staffing, parking) and people's lifestyles are not jeopardized.   | agree.  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 67 | Who is John Galt? | the opposition will hone in on anything they can to harm their neighbors. they already know who they want to shut down and they are being spoonfed the info and reasons to complain. the roads and smell is not the issue. it is the deep rooted anti-cannabis hatred. are you seriously missing this? which real cannabis operator can waste time in this boomer hatrefest. worst generation EVER. total hypocrites and the county is empowering them. |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 68 | veva edelson      | Do not allow the use of volatile organic compounds, ethanol, and high-pressure CO2 extraction and distillation, all of which increase wildfire risk. Id. at 37.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 69 | Leo Avatar        | wouldn't the tax revenue generated from cannabis be a great benefit to fire departments?  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 70 | Deborah Eppstein  | No cannabis operations on shared private roads unless all other parcel owners agree in writing. This jeopardizes safety of residents and appropriates their property rights to the shared private road  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 71 | gail cafferata    | Marijuana cultivation endangers health when it burns during forest fires!!! Don't allow it at all!!   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 72 | Forest Houtz      | There MUST be sufficient water to defend against fire emergencies. Considering the drought situation in Sonoma County, it is difficult to understand ANY cannabis cultivation where water is shared with at risk communities!   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 73 | Who is John Galt? | my crew would try to help the old boomers. but they are so hateful and manipulative that we will not.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 74 | Deborah Eppstein  | 8. Operations in the Penalty Relief Program were prior illegal operations where the appropriateness of the site was not analyzed. Sonoma County has allowed them to expand and operate for 4 years without analysis of the safety - such as traffic, fire hazard, odor issues, crime. Neighbors have alerted the county to these safety issues over the past 3 years to deaf ears; such operations must be terminated without further delay.            | This has contributed why there is no trust between the growers and residents. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 75 | Julia Kozitsyna   | We already have a Fire Safe Roads guidelines — we cannot ignore them and cut corners during the permitting process. We have these standards — why do we keep glossing over them in approvals?   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 76 | veva edelson      | The County must have an enforcement team dedicated to monitoring cannabis operations. Implement and enforcement team, similar to Humboldt County, consisting of Sheriffs, Fish and Wildlife and Water Resources staff to perform surprise inspections for compliance. (DL)  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 77 | gail cafferata    | No safe way to accommodate commercial marijuana grows during forest fires.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 78 | Who is John Galt? | great work BJ and sarag   |   |           |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #  | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 79 | veva edelson       | Require fire inspection reports on all hoop houses.   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 80 | Ray Krauss         | Limit cultivation sites to cultivation only, no trimming, processing or manufacturing or sales or dispensing.   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 81 | Julia Kozitsyna    | Should be required to contribute on a per plant grown basis to road maintenance   | good idea   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 82 | Brantly Richardson | What is the actual tax revenue derived from the industry and does it cover the very real public expenses involved to support it such as the additional Sheriff staff needed for policing a high value product, their training, litigation and court time?   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 83 | Who is John Galt?  | no crime in licensed ops. its clear. boomermbys are bullies and using any reason they can to harm their neighbor. who will protect local cannabis farmers from these lying and manipulative neighbor hate groups?   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 84 | john iervolino     | Why can cannabis facilities in Santa Rosa have armed security, but not in unincorporated Sonoma County and what must we do to allow armed security officers in unincorporated Sonoma County. Thanks, john.  |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 85 | Who is John Galt?  | chapter 38 anyone? why are we pretending that we didnt spend 2-3 years on that and get it to pass planning?   |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 86 | Brantly Richardson | Will there be damage to our tourism industry because of the fear of crime. Will tourists decide to go elsewhere?  | It will increase. People in and out of California want California frown cannabis. | Actually I've seen a picture of your grow. i don't think anyone will want to visit it. | It can be cultivated in appropriate areas- not wherever an investor wants!! |           |           |           |
| 87 | Chris Eggers       | Security Plan is also a blueprint that your business will lean on to deal with various security issues that could arise. Think through your daily operations as you draft your Security Plan. Hostile vegetation is a great way to form a barrier that is aesthetically pleasing, FYI.  |   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 88 | Julia Kozitsyna    | site security seems to focus on protecting the cannabis grow — what about protecting neighbors? If there are trespassors trying to get in to the property then they are very likely trespassing on neighbor properties to start with. having a grow in a neighborhood literally requires the rest of the neighborhood to invest in security on their own dime | exactly   |  |   |           |           |           |
| 89 | Who is John Galt?  | how many crimes in the last 2 years at licensed operations whether cultivation or retail? NONE  | Try 3 years.  |  |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 90 | Bill Krawetz      | <p>• What would a safe and secure cannabis growing operation look like in a successful cannabis program? It wouldn't be anywhere a residential neighborhood! Image overnight having a neighbor/business move next door that requires 24/7/365 security guards (regardless of what kind of product) and strangers camped out on the property during harvest time. Would you feel comfortable sending your kids out to play in your own yard, or even feel some hesitancy coming and going yourself under these circumstances? Do you think you, your spouse; your kids would ever feel the same comfort level again? No business that requires 24/7 security should be anyway near a residential neighborhood (regardless of the zoning). Completely incompatible with families</p> <p>• Operations of this type are more appropriate in a commercial business area where support services are available (police, fire, water, staffing, parking) and people's lifestyles are not jeopardized.</p> | I agree. Limit all cannabis to existing commercial and industrial zones. | It is a plant. We are an agriculturally rich and diverse area. Cultivators are farmers. |           |           |           |           |
| 91 | Dani La Noire     | Located in an industrial area where response time for PD is faster.   | yes, the infrastructure is there   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 92 | Who is John Galt? | BJ and sarah should use this same format to gain world peace.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 93 | Chris Eggers      | Safety and Security involves more than just the security system, but is also the SOPs. A proper security plan includes thoughts into neighboring properties and community members.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 94 | Julia Kozitsyna   | There was a shooting during a fire evacuation in Plumas county related to a cannabis grow — do we really want armed employees who have the potential to shoot police or firefighting personnel??  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 95 | Ray Krauss        | Successful safe secure operation would be limited to cultivation only with no accumulation or retention of product to attract robbery.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 96 | Who is John Galt? | it is a NATURAL and GOD GIVEN right to protect yourself no matter where it is   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 97 | gail cafferata    | San Joaquin county banned commercial grows because of criminal activity. They say in their regulations: Cannabis cultivation and other commercial cannabis activities attract crime and associated violence; in this County and others cultivation and other commercial cannabis activities have been a magnet for thefts, robberies, illegal firearms, shootings, and homicides. Outdoor cultivation is very visible and may be easily accessible to the public, including children and youths.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 98 | veva edelson      | There does seem to be a lot of tax dollars going into making it possible for a few people be able to then use our shared resource of water to line their personal pockets with cannabis profits. During our climate crisis a safe and secure growing operation would be no more than 5000 sq ft integrated into a polyculture farm growing a variety of species of plants not just cannabis.  | Agree  |   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 99  | Valorie Dallas      | Transparancy in the security plan would be helpful to build my trust. No guns or drones where my kids play.   | No guns are allowed  | Then why do they shoot them off at night? You don't live at your grow.   |  |           |           |           |
| 100 | Herman G. Hernandez | Like the cannabis farms and businesses that are already permitted and operating in sonoma county.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 101 | Jean Hegland        | First responders need to be able to arrive within 5-10 minutes. If armed security officers are necessary to protect the grow, what will protect rural neighbors?  |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 102 | Brantly Richardson  | Allow cannabis processing only on designated commercial and industrial zoned parcels.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 103 | Lauren Marra        | Safe would be in a commercial area, where the facility can be in a secure building, have a security team/plan, and where cannabis growers can even share resources such as security teams, cameras etc  | That is a good idea! Put all the security away from where kids play.   | Totally agree  |  |           |           |           |
| 104 | Valorie Dallas      | Along with allowing legal cannabis we should add an enforcement department that is funded by those growing the cannabis. Design it with a phone number that someone can call other than the police or sheriff that will help in disputes, problems, etc. Have people who can enforce the rules and protect local residents. If it proves unnecessary, it can be removed.  |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 105 | Deborah Eppstein    | industrial areas, with good security fences, lights, on site guard 254/7  |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 106 | Bill Krawetz        | '•In the hearing on the Purvine application, Sheriff Essick was impressed with their security plan, but noted it would take 20 minutes for the Sheriff department to respond to any calls and they recommended the neighbors arm themselves if concerned. How could an individual ever feel the same around their home again? How would you feel? The point being this is unacceptable and completely avoidable with the right Ordinance. |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 107 | Bob Storms          | With all due respect, the facilitators continue to push us for our "positive" ideas and what a "successful" marijuana grow situation is, and we are here to give you OUR input. Many of us in Sonoma County really want nothing to do with cannabis.  | Sonoma county has been producing cannabis for a very long time. Cannabis is medicine for many people. People in this county deserve to have locally cultivated cannabis in such an agriculturally significant region | "Dr" Natasha, many of us are saying go ahead and grow it, but do it in a commercial or industrial area where it doesn't affect the lives, safety and environment of our community. | Agree  |           |           |           |
| 108 | Who is John Galt?   | fantasy: remove totalitarian boomerimby bolshevik influence. their days are OVER. they ruined this world and should be on the sidelines right now. let the new blood and young entrepreneurs and families have their freedom from these manipulative liars.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |
| 109 | Moira Jacobs        | If you need armed security, you clearly have a security problem! This is not traditional Ag, these are THC drug production sites, belong in industrial. They are not safe in our rural residential areas. This ordinance has created nothing but conflict, conflict, conflict.  | Agreed   | Cannabis has proven medicinal value. This is a fact not an opinion   | With many problems with commercial cultivation!! |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 110 | gail cafferata      | There is no way to assure the safe grow of commercial marijuana. The crop requires armed guards to protect their product because of its value. It is frightening to think of a grow near families with children. They belong in commercially zoned areas like the Airport.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 111 | veva edelson        | Renew permits with no violations or unresolved complaints for two years, with the caveat that if violations and complaints occur late, the County will revoke the permit, effective immediately.  | agree  | Exactly   |  |           |           |           |
| 112 | Herman G. Hernandez | Educate people who continue to spread fear about crime caused by legally permitted cannabis businesses. Provide farm and dispensary tours to community members who are misleading this discussion.  | Unfortunately, not all permitted operators behave well.  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 113 | Briget Beytagh      | Why are we discussing the safety of the business, and not the safety of the neighborhood?   | yes- safety of neighbors is most improtant!  | very good point                                       | agree  |           |           |           |
| 114 | Deborah Eppstein    | Waht si not working- grows in rural areas!  | Cannabis is allowed in agricultural zones NOT rural, those were excluded.  | Oh please. Some AG. are up against residential areas. |  |           |           |           |
| 115 | Jake D              | There needs to be an increase in police and fire funding proportional to the number of cannabis permits given out. This needs to be put in writing in the ordinance to make sure that there are equate resources available and this will not impact other areas.  | great point  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 116 | Bill Krawetz        | '•Not near public parks, schools, residences [minimum 1000 ft setbacks from cannabis outdoor operation boundary to closest non-cannabis parcel lines;   |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 117 | Who is John Galt?   | CHAPTER 38 and ministerial so nieghbors arent given a blank check from the county to harrass and intimidate their hard working neighbors.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 118 | Arthur Deicke       | Visual issue and security issue intersect when fences must be constructed to hide cannabis plants and to hide the fact it is a cannabis operation. However, these fenced enclosures easily identify the operation and can be considered an eyesore.<br><br>We need to know if legal/permited cannabis activity is experiencing crime the same way black market/illegal activity has crime. We now have actual data that may allay community fears | i agree.   | Yes let's differentiate.                              | Yes, several neighbors have written and spoken out about their problem permittees. Some also are too scared to report. FACT. |           |           |           |
| 119 | Who is John Galt?   | the drones are being flown by the hateful neighbors.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 120 | Caroline Koss       | Safe = our families feel safe in our *homes* - we shouldn't have to live in fear. ie, move the grows away from homes and into industrial areas.   | Grows are owned by families too. We would like to be able to feel safe in our futures in being able support our families.<br><br>We are required to have security plans which don't include fire arms. | No, but they can have in the house 50 ft away!!       |  |           |           |           |
| 121 | Jeff McConathy      | If not already required, could the security personal be required to be certified by the Sheriff's office?   |  |   |  |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 122 | Chris Eggers      | Chris Eggers (You): Safety and Security involves more than just the security system, but is also the SOPs. A proper security plan includes thoughts into neighboring properties and community members.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | Michele Parr      | Concerned about rural neighbors/neighborhoods' safety, being subject to crime due to their location next to cultivation sites. In the more rural reaches of the County, where sheriff offices and substations are 20 plus minutes who will quickly respond to protect residents? Cannabis operations should not be allowed on rural right-of-way and easement roads where there is potential for dangerous encounters due to targeted theft and crime. Grow operations should be located in more adequately policed areas where law enforcement has quick response times. | Good point Michele.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | Dani La Noire     | It's so simple. DONT put them in neighborhoods with residential houses and families.  | exactly  | So simple.   |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | gail cafferata    | You are not listening to citizens like me who feel endangered by the existence of cultivation in Bennett Valley which is inhabited by families with children.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | Deborah Eppstein  | our neighborhood was robbed as collateral damage when a cannabis operation was located at the end of our dead-end road. Thus no cannabis operations on dead end roads where residences are near by  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | Who is John Galt? | constructive: dont give the minority such a huge voice to harm their neighbors.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Julia Kozitsyna   | they must be required to carry insurance that covers neighbors — their personnel should be REQUIRED to help neighbors if there are security incidents   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | Bill Krawetz      | '•Property values are negatively affected permanently. Imagine trying to sell your house in a neighborhood where your neighbors activity requires 24/7 security. There's no question there will be a negative impact to the property value of your home. Now put yourself into the shoes of a potential buyer of that home. Would you rather buy a home with a potential risk next door or not? Pose those questions to your spouse and kids.   | Another good point   | agree!   | Agreed    |           |           |           |
| 130 | Caroline Koss     | Get the Feds to allow cannabis growers to put their money in Banks, and out of their grow operations.   | That will not stop robberies at growing sites - it's often the weed they want. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | Julia Kozitsyna   | keep them out of residential neighborhoods  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | Chris Eggers      | Hostile vegetation and CPTED can address concerns around hard fencing standing out.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | JOEL HOYT         | Outdoor grows in industrial areas should look a lot like tennis court facility mashed up with cement plant  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | Jake D            | Why are we at all not considering not growing cannabis in Sonoma? If you want us to think about ideas, why not consider this idea?  | Because it has supported local economies in Northern California for decades.   | So no need in neighborhoods. Plenty of other places. |           |           |           |           |
| 135 | Who is John Galt? | the county is stoking neighborhood hate groups. ministerial is the ONLY way the protect the hard working cannabis farmer.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name       | Question   | Answer(s)         | Answer(s)    | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 136 | Valorie Dallas   | Every cannabis grower I know has been robbed a time or two or three. That is one of the reasons the cost of cannabis is so high. Movement of product is a time when security is low. Would any of us feel safe if 6 million dollars is being driven down our country roads without us understanding the security plan around it?   | very good point!! | Agree        |  |           |           |           |
| 137 | David Milner     | Close the 10K sq Ft. loophole. All grows regardless of size should be subject to EIR and community input. Allowing a two-tiered system where 10K sq ft or less grows are under ministerial Ag Dept jurisdiction leads to large growers exploiting it as a loop hole. We are experiencing this now at the 0 and 8400 Franz Valley School Road location. The LLCs associated with the eleven permits filled on these three contiguous sites were incorporated on the same day at the same address. How is this not evidence enough to show a coordinated effort? |                   |              |  |           |           |           |
| 138 | Chris Eggers     | Properly drafted Security Plans and SOPs can address Michelle's concern.   |                   |              |  |           |           |           |
| 139 | Bridget Beytagh  | Why does the County still assume that only illegal grows attract crime?  |                   |              |  |           |           |           |
| 140 | Moira Jacobs     | Best solution for this mess Sonoma County has created for its 500,000 citizens, 77% who've stated they don't want THC drug operations anywhere near them:<br><br>1) allow one large indoor coop facility in secure industrial location for production for both THC drug products and CBD based products, only verifiable local folks given licenses - those on good standing in the county program, no out of area or anonymous LLC operators.<br>2) at same site a R&D site by 3+ different private sector labs   | Right             | Impractical. |  |           |           |           |
| 141 | gail cafferata   | Odors need to be restricted to property borders  |                   |              |  |           |           |           |
| 142 | Deborah Eppstein | locating in industrial zones solves the problems   | agree             | agree        | We are talking about a plant here. Don't you ladies care about the environment ? |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                             | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 143 | veva edelson      | If a grower chooses to take the risks involved ( like the risk of being robbed) in growing cannabis they should be able to do it only in an area that it does not negatively impact their neighbors who are not choosing to take those risks. Since growing cannabis is federally illegal at this time, ones ability to get a mortgage or to carry insurance can be negatively impacted if the underwriter knows that any illegal activity is going on in the area. Also those of us that live rurally and are used to agricultural smells and sounds are not used to high security, lights and the threat of surveillance drones and armed private security next door to where we live. This is significantly different than any of our other agricultural neighbors. Loosing insurance or not being able to refinance poses a significant tangible loss to property value at the expense of those choosing to grow, process or sell cannabis. | Agree   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | Who is John Galt? | by now everyone here is already activated against specific grows they want to shut down. every complaint and suggestion is specifically honed in on personal matters. THIS IS NOT FAIR.   |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | Moira Jacobs      | At same site a R&D site by 3 different private sector labs should be focused on full testing of THC drug products, as well as CBD. Sonoma could be USA center for PROVING out safety and usefulness of THC drug products as well as CBD based products. Both are VERY different and must be tested and studied for actual safety and usefulness.<br>This operation above could be very safe, secure, use least water resources, and provide the desperately needed testing of this totally NEW legal drug experiment.   |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 146 | Deborah Eppstein  | odor is a safety issue- it hurts health   | Not based on facts.   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 147 | Douglas Fisher    | Can there be more deputies patrolling the county; currently very few  |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 148 | Bridget Beytagh   | Anyone can look up the address of a permitted operation.  | Should not be allowed   | Oh yes it should - for the neighbors. |           |           |           |           |
| 149 | Who is John Galt? | no growers will be here during this season so all the minority opposition group can have a hay day talking lies and crap. i know because my lawyers have had to help me with slander from neighbors.  | I don't understand what you are saying. What are you talking about? |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 150 | David Milner      | <a href="https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ojzP_DSSzzWLwqr-MtYviGT79m-1yNM/edit?usp=sharing&amp;ouid=116309835173565874050&amp;rtpof=true&amp;sd=true">https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ojzP_DSSzzWLwqr-MtYviGT79m-1yNM/edit?usp=sharing&amp;ouid=116309835173565874050&amp;rtpof=true&amp;sd=true</a>   |   |                                       |           |           |           |           |
| 151 | David Milner      | My name is David Milner I am a Santa Rosa Resident and Winemaker/Vineyard Manager for Donelan Family Wines. I have been directly affected by cannabusiness. Attached below is a link to a letter detailing an incident that I had with an employee of a proposed cannabis farm in Franz Valley. I was verbally threatened and intimidated by one of the cannabis farm's workers.  | That is terrible! Thank you for sharing                             |                                       |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 152 | Chris Eggers       | Great for Sonoma County to ask for input from community members! Then we can draft Security Plans that address these issues brought up. Big step forward.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 153 | Becky Evenich      | video surveillance, licensed and bonded security and barriers and lighting - although not lighting in residential areas this is why industrial areas are better   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 154 | Who is John Galt?  | the odor of deborah hot air bothers me and my FREE american family!   | what are you talking about?<br>your not making sense.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 155 | Ray Krauss         | Growers and their employees should be required to pass criminal background checks.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 156 | Brantly Richardson | The mandatory Findings of Significance protect adjacent property owner's right to health and safety and are part of the CEQA process. Make project determinations based on these findings. The EIR must analyze the many complaints filed by residents to Code Enforcement and plan accordingly so as to avoid continuing a land use that continues to put neighbors health and safety at risk. It must also be recognized that many people are afraid to file a compaint even though they can do so anonymously.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 157 | Valorie Dallas     | Rural Areas protected by sheriff - The town I live in is in zone 5, which is 187 sq mile radius protected by the sheriff. The response time is 20 minutes to 2 hours, depending on what else is going on. My daughter lives in zone 1, which is 446 sq miles. If we add more issues to this system we need to require more funding.   | Agree!   | agree. another alternative is to place these operations in areas such as commercial zones where fire, police, and other resources are readily available and where there is funding to respond to an increase in calls etc | agree     |           |           |           |
| 158 | gail cafferata     | Marijuana dispensaries are "attractive nuisances," attracting burglaries, robberies of customers and drivers, and property crimes in the neighborhood. Crime takes a motivated offender, a suitable target, and an absence of capable guardians who may serve to deter violent or criminal behaviors. In our Bennett Valley shopping center, we already have targets of crime with Bennett Valley Jewelers, a bank and a liquor store. Outsiders from the Bay Area have felt free to come into Santa Rosa and our neighborhood for criminal activity including armed robbery. We do not need an additional attractive target. Alternatives East's other location was robbed at gunpoint and later burglarized. Another Santa Rosa dispensary was also robbed. Users of the proposed dispensary may be motivated to crime at this location and in the surrounding neighborhood because of large cash stores on site, the cost of stored products, and the fact that medical marijuana can be resold lucratively in states that do not permit its legal sale. | Agree! Moreover both neighboring counties of Marin and Napa don't allow any of this, since they are smart. This also sends more outsiders with criminal intent to Sonoma County. This is common sense folks! |   |           |           |           |           |
| 159 | Who is John Galt?  | jason's backdrop is the best.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 160 | Bob Storms         | As we sit here a 40 acre vegetation fire is burning at Llano & Todd rds.  | 30% contained and no evacuations so far.   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 161 | Who is John Galt?  | i have to trust the sherrif   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 162 | Who is John Galt?  | no agenda to exaggerate.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                           | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 163 | David Milner      | Who on the board of supervisors have a commercial interest in cannabusiness? Who on the board of supervisors have a family member with a commercial interest in cannabusiness?  | I would like this disclosed as well   | Me too. Transparency on motivation. |           |           |           |           |
| 164 | Bill Krawetz      | '•Press Democrat (July 23, 2021) Virginia man gets 15 years for deadly 2018 Sonoma County home invasions- The assailants came looking for marijuana and cash, and left one man dead in their wake.  | This was in regards to illegal black market activity, what relevance does that have to a licensed business? |                                     |           |           |           |           |
| 165 | Becky Evenich     | Crime in the Liberty Valley has gone up since we started our No Pot On Pepper campaign. We have had our No Pot On Pepper signs and banners vandalized and cut down – all on private property. Although we cannot make a direct correlation with these crimes being cannabis grow related, I can't imagine what any normal thief would want with a No Pot on Pepper sign – this seems to be more of a retaliation against our cause.   |   |                                     |           |           |           |           |
| 166 | gail cafferata    | Marijuana dispensaries are "attractive nuisances," attracting burglaries, robberies of customers and drivers, and property crimes in the neighborhood. Crime takes a motivated offender, a suitable target, and an absence of capable guardians who may serve to deter violent or criminal behaviors. In our Bennett Valley shopping center, we already have targets of crime with Bennett Valley Jewelers, a bank and a liquor store. Outsiders from the Bay Area have felt free to come into Santa Rosa and our neighborhood for criminal activity including armed robbery. We do not need an additional attractive target. Alternatives East's other location was robbed at gunpoint and later burglarized. Another Santa Rosa dispensary was also robbed. Users of the proposed dispensary may be motivated to crime at this location and in the surrounding neighborhood because of large cash stores on site, the cost of stored products, and the fact that medical marijuana can be resold lucratively in states that do not permit its legal sale. |   |                                     |           |           |           |           |
| 167 | Who is John Galt? | african american goons from alabama were called to come rob black market operators.   |   |                                     |           |           |           |           |
| 168 | gail cafferata    | One of studies of crime and dispensaries concludes, "As patients of [illegal] dispensaries change their travel patterns to go to these different neighborhood areas where medical marijuana remains available through storefront dispensaries, they may find opportunities to participate in various crimes (e.g. burglarizing a home). Property crime, a crime of opportunity, may increase as offenders use different activity nodes (in this case to obtain medical marijuana) increasing familiarity with new neighborhoods. This familiarity provided information on when guardians are around and what homes might have valuables worth stealing. ... Our research suggests that these [medical marijuana] dispensaries may increase crime rates in adjacent areas." (Freisthler, Ponicki et al. 2016).   |   |                                     |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 169 | Bill Krawetz      | • In the hearing on the Purvine application, Sheriff Essick was impressed with their security plan, but noted it would take 20 minutes for the Sheriff department to respond to any calls and they recommended the neighbors arm themselves if concerned. What!?  | That is frightening! There is a proposed grow next to me and I do not want to arm myself. |   |           |           |           |           |
| 170 | gail cafferata    | Further, newspapers and other mass media in the Bay area and elsewhere report that cannabis retailers with large amounts of cannabis and cash on hand have been besieged by thefts in the daytime and at night, most prominently after the George Floyd protests, but also violently and with injuries at other times.<br><br><a href="https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2020/07/23/oakland-cannabis-retailers-say-theyre-under-siege-from-violent-thefts/">https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2020/07/23/oakland-cannabis-retailers-say-theyre-under-siege-from-violent-thefts/</a><br><br><a href="https://www.forbes.com/sites/chrisroberts/2020/07/02/legal-cannabis-b...ed-again-will-police-protect-marijuana-legalization/?sh=404c8bb91009/">https://www.forbes.com/sites/chrisroberts/2020/07/02/legal-cannabis-b...ed-again-will-police-protect-marijuana-legalization/?sh=404c8bb91009/</a><br><br><a href="https://www.denverpost.com/2020/04/15/denver-marijuana-dispensaries-burglaries-coronavirus/">https://www.denverpost.com/2020/04/15/denver-marijuana-dispensaries-burglaries-coronavirus/</a><br><br><a href="https://www.kxii.com/2020/06/22/choctaw-county-dispensary-out-thousando...of-dollars-after-robbery/">https://www.kxii.com/2020/06/22/choctaw-county-dispensary-out-thousando...of-dollars-after-robbery/</a><br><br><a href="https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/2019/09/03/sacramento-police-marijuana-breakins/">https://sacramento.cbslocal.com/2019/09/03/sacramento-police-marijuana-breakins/</a><br><br><a href="https://kptv.com/news/police-id-suspects-involved-in-armed-ne...pensary-robbery/article_c2b42b2c-4c84-11eb-9b98-e30c9cd38313.html/">https://kptv.com/news/police-id-suspects-involved-in-armed-ne...pensary-robbery/article_c2b42b2c-4c84-11eb-9b98-e30c9cd38313.html/</a><br><br><a href="https://www.laweekly.com/valley-dispensary-">https://www.laweekly.com/valley-dispensary-</a> |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 171 | Becky Evenich     | On 11/28/2020 a grow in our area was shooting guns/rifles (which I believe is illegal – no firearms on a rural grow). A bullet hit our neighbor's house and went through the siding and sheetrock and was recovered in the master bedroom. This is a concern not only because of the seriousness of it but what's going to get hit next. We live in an RR neighborhood but any neighbor that shoots know the proper gun safety measures that need to be taken. Employees, robbers, etc. on a grow don't know or care about that. They just shoot.   | Terrible! Thank you for sharing!  | Frightening and a good point. Gun safety likely not as much a concern as protecting the crop. |           |           |           |           |
| 172 | Who is John Galt? | why are we holding up cannabis in california? these are mostly jerks in the minority by far that want to waste our time here for another 3 years. take them OUT OF IT.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 173 | Valorie Dallas    | Temporary electricity going to a hoop house should have the same permit requirements as permanent electricity going to a greenhouse. Fire hazard?   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 174 | veva edelson      | How do you have transparency with all of this not talking about what is going on at a site.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name         | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 175 | Who is John Galt?  | what about the sherrif protecting cannabis farmers from repeated harrassment and lies from these "neighbor groups"?  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 176 | Douglas Fisher     | How many deputies patrol the county at night?  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 177 | Who is John Galt?  | what about the sherrif protecting cannabis farmers from repeated harrassment and lies from these "neighbor groups"?  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 178 | Brantly Richardson | Prohibit cultivation on roads that do not meet the fire safe standards for firefighters egress and concurrent resident evacuation. Allow cultivation only in areas with adequate public safety and accessibility by police and fire public services. Roads must adhere to the Ca. Board of Forestry standards. No permits on dead end roads over one mile long and less than 20 feet wide. Local reinterpretation of the BOF rules by the fire marshall must stop or more of our firefighters and residents will be put at risk. 20 feet is 20 feet. |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 179 | Who is John Galt?  | why are we all playing DEFENSE to these proven lying neighbor groups?  | Why are you stirring the pot. Don't you have empathy for your nieghbors? Why should you get to grow cannabis if you really have no concern for your impact on your nieghbors? |           |           |           |           |           |
| 180 | Chris Eggers       | Understand state and local rules and regulations need to be considered. Inventory tracking and security go hand in hand. Understand how you intend to address inventory and loss prevention plans. Consider who has access to limited access areas and should be job specific. Confirm your guards are licensed and insured properly by the BSIS. Follow these to avoid unnecessary headaches ^^^  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 181 | Bridget Beytagh    | What does the County plan to address the problems of bad players with permits who harass or threaten neighbors   | Good question   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 182 | Moira Jacobs       | Only safe place: indoor secured operations in industrial zone. Please start with real scientific testing of both THC drug products and CBD products first before you promote this whole hog as Sonoma has done. You are putting all our lives at RISK, you are NOT following long held public health or safety policy approaches. You have jumped head first very irresponsibly without studying anything!<br><br>You just promote, promote, promote THC drugs thoughtlessly.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 183 | Caroline Koss      | why do some growers blindfold their "trimmigrants"? This reeks of lack of transparency and fear of crime. And the growers want us to not worry about crime???  | Yes, please answer this question.   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 184 | Michael Ely        | By limiting cannabis operation, we could reduce the need for protection  |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s)                                    | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 185 | Who is John Galt? | these manipulative groups are pushing and pushing. this is LEGAL and the county should not let these sophisticated and ver vocal minority group from making the laws even more difficult. CHAPTER 38 was a GREAT START   | Not everyone agrees wiht you. I don't.  |           |  |           |           |           |
| 186 | Lauren Marra      | As a result of a pending cannabis use permit near our home, we have experienced multiple threats, acts of vandalism, and theft. The business applying for the permit prides itself upon displays of weaponry, piles of cash, etc. All of this clearly associated with and actually marketed publicly by this business. .<br><br>Crime associated with legal commercial cannabis operations exists. It's a matter of time before criminals come searching for the cash and marijuana located on these properties. These facilities belong nowhere near residential homes, within neighborhoods, nor near our children. We should not have to live in fear wondering when criminals will target our homes by mistake, or use our properties for access to cash, drugs and weaponry just nextdoor.  | Agree!  | Thank you |  |           |           |           |
| 187 | Moira Jacobs      | No retail out in rural areas! Keep both production and retail in one area, indoor facilities, in industrial zone.  | agree   | Right     | agree. keep it where there is police already |           |           |           |
| 188 | gail cafferata    | One scientific study of crime and marijuana dispensaries reported (Freisthler, Gaidus et al. 2017):<br><br>This study (along with previous research) suggests that the effects of the physical availability of marijuana outlets on crime do not necessarily occur within the specific block groups within which outlets are located, but are occurring in adjacent areas. ... This study (along with previous research) suggests that the effects of the physical availability of marijuana outlets on crime do not necessarily occur within the specific block groups within which outlets are located, but are occurring in adjacent areas. The effects of outlets on crime may occur in adjacent areas as people move in and out of the areas where these marijuana outlets are located. Interpreting these findings within the framework of routine activities theory, the property (e.g., automobiles) of dispensary customers, particularly those who may be tourists, may be suitable targets. |   |           |  |           |           |           |
| 189 | Ray Krauss        | Consider allower growers to create attractive tasteing and sales venues in urban/commercial areas to accomodate canitourism.   |   |           |  |           |           |           |
| 190 | Who is John Galt? | other comments :) love you ladies. this is our lives being threatened by these dishonest and manipulative opposition groups. my neighborhood LOVED me and my wife. and now i am a STRAIGHT A operator w county and state and now they HATE me. isnt that impotant to understand. great work. you lasies make this tolerable.   | Do you think that some men and women aren't feeling threatened by what cannabis operations bring into our midst ? Why so opositional ? this is our time to share ideas. |           |  |           |           |           |
| 191 | Jake D            | no tasting or retail at growth/processing sites  |   |           |  |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 192 | Julia Kozitsyna     | no retail in rural / residential areas  | Agree.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Perhaps that clients for retail locations must make advance appointment.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | Valorie Dallas      | This is so different than any other product. I can't think of another product other than diamonds that need such protection. Maybe keep it where it can keep everyone safe.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | gail cafferata      | No tasting or packaging in rural areas.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | Who is John Galt?   | retail should have a good security plan like the prmd already uses  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | Chris Eggers        | For to-be-built retail spaces, start designing your space and include security design. For example, entry vestibules vs. not, location of safe room, transportation security and cash management plans should be considered. ^^^  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | Lauren Marra        | Retail should be in a town, strip mall or even a commercial area where longer hours, a lot of visitors/shoppers can take place with adequate parking, infrastructure etc  | indeed!   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | Who is John Galt?   | advance appts is unreasonable. no one does that.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | JOEL HOYT           | Could we please have delivery vans that aren't big white Ford Transit, Dodge Sprinter, or ... We might as well have a big sticker that says "ROB THIS VAN"  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | Bob Storms          | I envision caps. Right now there are none.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | Who is John Galt?   | the regs and requirements for dispensaries is REALLY TOUGH.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | Brantly Richardson  | Why are all these visioning workshop questions focused solely from the industry point of view rather than through a neighborhood compatibility lens? Every question asks how the public can accomodate this industry. Why not pose some questions to the industry? How do they think they should be regualted to lessen negative compatibility issues and avoid despoiling natural resources? | I second this — why are you making the community bend over backwards to figure out how to accomodate this | Yes. The cannabis industry must do the work to create trust. Give some ideas that take care of neighborhoods needs. |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | Who is John Galt?   | security cams   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 205 | Caroline Koss       | Lots of communities have retail cannabis establishments. Hopefully you have already contacted many of them about their safety parameters and minimum criteria. Not sure that the community would be experts in this area?!  | Agree. What have other places done?   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | Bob Storms          | NO on-sight consumption   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | Becky Evenich       | a licensed and bonded security company that has a good relationship with law enforcement. A hiring process that is stringent and includes oral boards, backgrounds and polygraphs to "weed out" the unqualified or suspicious.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | Who is John Galt?   | allow for residence use in the same bldg for full time security live in.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 209 | Julia Kozitsyna     | retail should only be in areas where there is already a high security (police) presence, where there is infrastructure and parking for visitors, where this does not impact residential neighborhoods   | agree   |   |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)       | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 210 | Who is John Galt?   | DISPENSARY: allow for residence use in the same bldg for full time security live in.   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 211 | Chris Eggers        | Retail has different risk profiles than other license types, but proper security plans and systems can lead to reduction in crime and net positive for community stakeholders.   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 212 | Moira Jacobs        | Have you studied anything regarding THC drug retail and production sites and their exposure to THC long term? Did you know THC was placed on TOXINS list by State of CA in January 2020? It's on the list of toxins now.   | I am referring to both employee exposure and customer exposure?  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 213 | Deborah Eppstein    | limit amount of cannabis on site   | And limit the cash on site too.  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 214 | Jake D              | limit advertising alongside roads  | Agree  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 215 | Who is John Galt?   | 24/7 live monitoring   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 216 | Bridget Beytagh     | Most of the problems with safety are not centered around the retail - but the cultivation sites.   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 217 | Who is John Galt?   | thats too expensive for beckys idea  |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 218 | Bill Krawetz        | Suggestion for County staff: We understand you want to know what is "acceptable" and what a "successful operation" is . Somethings its easier to start with what's "Unacceptable" and "Unsuccessful" and from there you can narrow down to what is acceptable. So see many of the comments already. Cheers | agree!   |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 219 | Who is John Galt?   | simplisafe with panic button and video full time live monitoring   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 220 | Chris Eggers        | No, because the state dictates what the transportation vehicles can display on the outside.  |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 221 | Lauren Marra        | What if you propose a specific area where cannabis retailers can sell their products ie an area with multiple businesses where tasting and events can take place such as in a commercial zone  | similar to an area with multiple breweries and beer gardens  | love that idea. | Great idea. |           |           |           |
| 222 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Professional licenses for retail sales personnel so that they can be vetted for criminal background checks.  |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 223 | Who is John Galt?   | dispensaries amke the neigyhborhood SAFER. that is proven  |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 224 | Who is John Galt?   | a stdy from UCLA showed nieghborhoods w dispensaries make them SAFER.  | could you please link that study here?   |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 225 | Moira Jacobs        | So you are making my point for me: these are operations that require vicious guard dogs and armed guards. Marin and Napa get a prize for highest IQs in area for not allowing this.  | Agree - if this product requires such a high amount of security and armed staff, it confirms the high level of danger. Keep it out of our County until the Feds make it legal... | live answered   |             |           |           |           |
| 226 | Who is John Galt?   | dispensaries pay SO MUCH tax \$. ; let them thrive and help the county pay its bills.  |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 227 | Chris Eggers        | State regulations available on the DCC website. County can make rules that are more specific but not less strict than the state rules.   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 228 | Who is John Galt?   | too controlling to get involved in all employees   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |
| 229 | Who is John Galt?   | attack dogs :) just kiddin   |  |                 |             |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 230 | gail cafferata     | Dispensaries increase property crime such as car break-ins and burglaries in an area within one mile of the place as customers discover new places to plunder to support their habit. Sicientific studies cited above.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 231 | Moira Jacobs       | Why have you not mentioned anything about THC drug products and their effects on mental health and homelessness? Why are you promoting this unhealthy drug?   | Cannabis has proven medicinal value                        |  |           |           |           |           |
| 232 | Brantly Richardson | Doesn't the industry recognize that residents have a basic right to health and safety on their own property? If cannabis was grown away from residential uses and had appropriate setbacks their existence would be so much easierr. All stakeholders would benefit if the industry didn't try to locate next to residential homes. | Agreed   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 233 | Who is John Galt?  | all of the above  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 234 | Who is John Galt?  | on same parcel.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 235 | veva edelson       | An ideal sight for onsite consumption is located in an industrial zone with plenty of existing law enforcement personnel on hand to address any issues that may come up with intoxicated people driving after consumption onsite.   | Shouldn't the same apply for wine tasting rooms?           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 236 | gail cafferata     | Dispensaries need to be in areas that are highly patrolled by police. On main arteries like Santa Rosa Ave and Mendocino Avenue   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 237 | Who is John Galt?  | dispenmsaries should be given the right to add tasting and consumption to their permits if their property and buildings meet code.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 238 | gail cafferata     | No cannibis clubs in residential neighborhoods!!!!  | agree. that needs to be in commercial zones                | agree.   |           |           |           |           |
| 239 | Who is John Galt?  | clubs are cool but freedom is way better  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 240 | gail cafferata     | The County is too cozy with the industry!!  | the minority view like yours is given too much of a voice. | You do know we have thousands of cultivators and less than 200 are permitted???                    |           |           |           |           |
|     |                    |   |  | Your statement is wildly inaccurate and disrespectful to our county officials attempting diplomacy |           |           |           |           |
| 241 | Virginia Hair      | No on site consumption or events should be allowed.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 242 | Who is John Galt?  | boomer bed and breakfast. im all in.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 243 | Caroline Koss      | Can't people taste/smoke wherever they want today? (Not sure what the current law is, but based on smells when I am walking around town, it seems it's pretty much everywhere.)   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 244 | Brantly Richardson | No on-site consumption. Result? Impaired drivers  | agree  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 245 | gail cafferata     | No where near schools!  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 246 | Dani La Noire      | OMG. Are you kidding me right now?  | agree...   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 247 | Ray Krauss         | Even if State allows onsite consumption (on cultivation sites), Sonoma County should not. Move all processing, packaging and sales and combine with dispensaries in commercial zones where tasting could be also accomodated.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 248 | Bob Storms          | It seems that this county is straining toward Cannatourism, what an abhorrent idea.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 249 | gail cafferata      | All the County is thinking about is tax dollars not the harm to the community!  | that's certainly what it feels like at times!!  | But is simply not accurate. So few permits have been issued in comparison to cultivators once part of Sonoma county that there has been a major financial loss |           |           |           |           |
| 250 | Who is John Galt?   | we are given the very vocal minority sway this ordinance. ChAPTER 38 was a GREAT start that was HIGHLY vetted. why cant we please dicuss this?! that was already too restrictive.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 251 | Valorie Dallas      | Value of product should be considered. Cannabis is worth 20 times an acre of grapes --\$300,000 for grapes versus \$6,000,000 for cannabis. Where product and cash is stored and how it is transported should be considered.  | Yes!! Cannabis value far outweighs any Ag product and needs proper controls to protect everyone (grower, neighbor, etc) |  |           |           |           |           |
| 252 | Deborah Eppstein    | There is no approved method to determine cannabis impairment for driving. No unregulated on-site consumption of cannabis products even if allowed by state-sampling only in retail outlets in commercial/industrial zones for smelling cold buds (ie, no inhaling of vapors). Having testing limos like they do for wine might work for tasting   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 253 | Michael Ely         | In my opinion, we should not be encouraging cannabis consumption. It is not the responsiblity for Sonoma County to develop cannabis marketing plans.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 254 | Valorie Dallas      | When I looked at the list of chemicals being proposed to be stored on site in my local cannabis permit application, I wondered how volatile these chemicals are. Science should determine if these are safe to store near residences in case of fire. Will the processing facility 30 feet from my neighbor's house blow up in a fire? And if it does, who rebuilds our town of 100 houses? |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 255 | Who is John Galt?   | on sight at LIMITED COMMERCIAL dispensariy properties in qualified buildings  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 256 | Valorie Dallas      | Along with allowing legal cannabis we should add an enforcement department that is funded by those growing the cannabis. Design it with a phone number that someone can call other than the police or sheriff that will help in disputes, problems, etc. Have people who can enforce the rules and protect local residents. If it proves unnecessary, it can be removed.                    | Already exists. The regulatory agencies have an arm of enforcements   | But why are there so many cannabis sites that have complaints on the record and nothing being done?  |           |           |           |           |
| 257 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Tasting rooms for Cannabis should not be located anywhere near be separate from Cannabis production areas. No Cannabis tasting rooms in rural areas. Rural areas are already overrun by winery tasting rooms and the resulting high volume of traffic from retail clients participating in "social consumption".  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 258 | Who is John Galt?   | ON SITE consumption at dispensaries.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 259 |                   | Who is John Galt?  | the only people who are here are angry old hateful liars. why cant i say that? | Dear Who. You have the freedom to make comments. Because of the nature of your comments, we have the right to dismiss them.  |           |           |           |           |
| 260 | Who is John Galt? | i want to test these boomers for their psycho pills  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 261 | gail cafferata    | I am deeply concerned about driving under the influence with cannabis. No safe way to regulate this.   | me too   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 262 | Who is John Galt? | these folks are on chemical DRUGS that mess with your head and they are driving around with weird smiles. AUTHORITARIAN.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 263 | Becky Evenich     | Onsite consumption should be limited to private areas meaning (dispensaries, tasting rooms, etc) not where the smoke will impact other people. You can go to a winery and have a DD but if you in a space with smoking cannabis anyone near is affected.   | agreed   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 264 | JOEL HOYT         | Keep with the regulations in force with cigar use.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 265 | Who is John Galt? | tastings on busses and licenses for dispansries and cultivators to add on to their permits.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 266 | Who is John Galt? | FREE JAMIE BALLACINO   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 267 | Moira Jacobs      | No consumption sites anywhere. You have ZERO voter mandate for any of your "grand plans" to promote this unhealthy DRUG. You are engaging in an absolutely insane and thoughtless public policy, no thought about public health.<br><br>What about the children? You haven't mentioned children or youth yet since yesterday. You are nothing but drug pushers right now. It's truly disgusting. | agree  | Time for a ballot initiative! The citizens need to take back control of this process. This is completely out of control, train is off the tracks folks.<br><br>Also we need at least two new supervisors to manage the staff who are now running our county into the ground. |           |           |           |           |
| 268 | gail cafferata    | It is outrageous that the county is encouraged cannatourism.   | agree  | agree  | agree     |           |           |           |
| 269 | Lauren Marra      | The county must keep these operations and tours, tasting rooms etc with bus loads of people away from residential neighborhoods. This removes our right to live peacefully and safely in our homes and yards, with our families and children.  | agree  | and away from rural areas. They belong in commercial areas only- if cultivation is kept in Industiral sites, then a tour buss could visit that facility  |           |           |           |           |
| 270 | Who is John Galt? | nobody wants any couchsurfing at consumption lounges   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 271 | Valorie Dallas    | Do we have data on what has happened in locations that have tasting rooms and farms. Maybe we need that.   | indeed. why are we being pushed to be forefront of this?                       |  |           |           |           |           |
| 272 | Jeff McConathy    | Going back to single lane residential roads from earlier discussion, adding onsite visits and consumption seems that it would make that situation even worse. I think traffic surveys should be required.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 273 | Who is John Galt? | sup with the boomer talking about bad news for consumption lounges while there are a thousand alcohol tasting rooms for wine and beer which are MORE harmful.  | that's where DD's come in  |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name         | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 274 | Brantly Richardson | Agree with the pastor.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 275 | Lauren Marra       | How can you even consider tasting and tours when you haven't figured out how and where to permit and enforce cannabis growing alone?  | how indeed? good question.  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 276 | Who is John Galt?  | jeff has a target in mind. roads is that operators perceived weakness. this is SO DISHONEST   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 277 | Jake D             | opinion will change over time. so if this will be written and voted on in a couple years, this will likely be outdated and need to be redone  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 278 | gail cafferata     | “First, do no harm.” That is the Hippocratic Oath. We need to avoid all actions that are potentially harmful just as doctors do.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 279 | Who is John Galt?  | family cannabis farmers have invested a ton of money into their lives and they should be given the right to provide consumption   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 280 | Moira Jacobs       | Moira Jacobs (You): Time for a ballot initiative! The citizens need to take back control of this process. This is completely out of control, train is off the tracks folks.<br><br>Also we need at least two new supervisors to better manage the staff who are now running our county into the ground.                     |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 281 | Who is John Galt?  | this is giving a loud voice to spiteful opposition  | It's not spiteful. Over 77% of Sonoma county citizens in PD poll stated they don't want THC drug operations anywhere near them. It has nothing to do with spite. It is about citizens rights to safety, security, public health, environmental protection, water resources protection, private property rights to demand security and safety and peace and no noxious fumes on their property<br><br>Nothing personal here. We have a right to our views and our definition of quality of life for our children and fam.. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 282 | Who is John Galt?  | yes to consumption at cultivation and dispensaries  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 283 | Michele Parr       | Dispensaries, tasting rooms and tourism allowed in urban and commercial zones only, similar to in-town wine tasting rooms, maybe in suggested tasting room zones. Do not allow tours/visitation in rural areas where traffic will occur on narrow and winding roads, particularly with the threat of unchecked DUI drivers. | agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 284 | gail cafferata     | On-site consumption should not be allowed until there is a reliable and valid test for marijuana intoxication!!   |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|---|-----------|--|-------------|-----------|
| 285 | Who is John Galt? | i can tell you first hand how intense their inspection are.   | Neighbors to grow areas can tell you first hand how superficial those inspections feel!                          |   |           |  |             |           |
| 286 | Who is John Galt? | anyone who claims these inspections are not rigorous is misinformed.  |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 287 | gail cafferata    | There are tests for alcohol consumption so comparison of pot tasting and wine tasting is nonsense.  |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 288 | Julia Kozitsyna   | If operations are behind high walls and closed doors then how are violations going to be observed and reported?   |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 289 | Ray Krauss        | Agree!  |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 290 | Julia Kozitsyna   | are the results of these inspections public? are they published and accessible to neighbors?  |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 291 | Dani La Noire     | And also, why is such an important decision that affects the whole county being decided by 5 people (Board of Supervisors) and not the voters of Sonoma County?<br><br>And Maggie, respectfully, there is NO code enforcement happening right now so how can you convince us that you will do that in the future? There are quite a few code violations happening out on Pepper Rd and we have been told time and again that you are "doing your best". That is not enough to convince any of us that you will be able to enforce any of what we are talking about tonight? | agree!!!!  | Yes. What isn't the code violations even mentioned by the county. This is what breaks down the trust. |           |  |             |           |
| 292 | Deborah Eppstein  | County needs to increase enforcement- currently they don't even have resources to destroy illegal plants after a raid!  | Agree. My neighbor closed up operations two years ago and still hasn't clean up the violations the County issued |   |           |  |             |           |
| 293 | Who is John Galt? | good luck to most people to be able to comply with these tough regs. if anything there should be a mellowing out for operators who prove compliant. having small children it seem crazy to have surprise inspections. it is so traumatic even for me and im an A operator.  |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 294 | Bob Storms        | Mandatory testing of cannabis products:   | Is already the law   | Cannabis undergoes more scrutiny than organic food  |           |  |             |           |
| 295 | Lauren Marra      | There needs to be a moratorium on any new or pending permits until you can enforce the currently permitted cannabis property violations   | couldn't agree more  | I agree.  | Right     | Indeed. There is no enforcement happening right now!!! | Inaccurate. |           |
| 296 | Caroline Koss     | WAY more transparency about complaints and what (If Anything) is being done about the violations.   |  |   |           |  |             |           |
| 297 | Who is John Galt? | treat compliant cannabis operators like human beings. there is a time and place for surprise inspections. please use with discretion and treat cannabis farmers fairly as they are already paying high taxes.   | If they aren't doing anything wrong, a respectful surprise visit should not be an issue.                         |   |           |  |             |           |
| 298 | Bob Storms        | Cannabis products can contain high levels of pesticides, solvents, and bacteria including e. coli and salmonella.   | This is inaccurate. All cannabis products are tested beginning with raw flower                                   |   |           |  |             |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                          | Answer(s)                  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 299 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Like restaurants, they should be subject to very frequent, unannounced inspections by the County. the results of any inspection should be made public via PRMD   |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 300 | Forest Houtz        | Do you inspect during the "grow" season for odor and harvest season for the impact of trimmers in rural areas.   | Thanks for joining us! Please email the County with any questions: cannabis@sonoma-county.org. |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 301 | Lauren Marra        | Will the county release something regarding number of complaints per grow operation and what they've done to enforce each violation?   | will they? good question   | This information would be helpful. | Definitely need this info! |           |           |           |
| 302 | Who is John Galt?   | hold repeat harrassing neighbors accountable and dont make compliant operators live like criminals.  |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 303 | Julia Kozitsyna     | There appear to be MANY aspects of permit conditions that the county itself has admitted it has no way to enforce — for e.g. road traffic / congestion, use of high occupancy vehicles for transport, diversion of water from shared streams   |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 304 | Jake D              | if cannabis operators are not in compliance, they need to be prohibited from continuing to operate.  |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 305 | Bridget Beytagh     | First step would be for the County to enforce current rules. Second, take the complaints from neighbors seriously.   | indeed   |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 306 | Who is John Galt?   | the inspectors are doing quality work. there is no need for improvement here.  |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 307 | Caroline Koss       | Pay for the enforcement and transparency with the increase in taxes that SoCo receives from cannabis. In other words, be honest that there really is no increase in revenue for the county, because all the "windfall" is chewed up via enforcement and auditing and other cannabis-related costs SoCo incurs. |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 308 | Lauren Marra        | How large is the county's current enforcement team? What are your plans for expansion of that team should you approve more commercial cannabis operations in the future?   | enforcement needs to grow propotinallly. this needs to be writen into the ordenance.           |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 309 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Who does inspections to adhere to excessive smell and BAAQD requirements?  |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 310 | Becky Evenich       | Inspections should be done reguarly...2x a month. The cost of added inspections should be covered by the permit holders as part of their fees. After their initial inspection they start changing/adding stuff which recently happened near us   | good idea!   |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 311 | Deborah Eppstein    | Comments from people who are using fake names should be ignored in your summary.   | Agree.   |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 312 | Who is John Galt?   | you guys should see how serious these inspection are to a young family like mine.  |  |                                    |                            |           |           |           |
| 313 | Julia Kozitsyna     | there appear to be no actual consequences for violations - fines that are then deffered or waived entirely, no permits revoked   | yes indeed. there needs to be enforcement.   |                                    |                            |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 314 | Douglas Fisher      | County employees have said in public meetings that the county simply doesn't have adequate staff to monitor such things as water use, that it won't happen. What is the current staffing of "monitoring"? What is the current staffing of "monitoring"?  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 315 | JOEL HOYT           | My experience in the licensed cannabis industry in Sonoma County is that we is that those industries are very motivated to comply with all regulations. It wouldn't take much. Maybe a phone call?   | phone call to whom? I will be glad to talk to the cannabis industry   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 316 | Who is John Galt?   | torture insoctions for compliant operators would be a dream for the opposition., is this not clear?  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 317 | Who is John Galt?   | should i propose electric shock therap for cannabis operators. i bet it would win a straw vote. fun chat.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 318 | Bridget Beytagh     | The Industry has consistently stated how much tax money it generates, so why are there not more inspections? Not prenotified.  | exactly! The cannabis enforcement/inspections/etc. should be self-funding from the increased taxes and permit fees. |  |           |           |           |           |
| 319 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Bay Area Air Quality District has restrictions for industries that emit odors. Who is enforcing these restrictions?  | who is enforcing odor?  | who is enforcing anything?   |           |           |           |           |
| 320 | Moira Jacobs        | Please report to citizenry ALL costs of this THC drug promotion program, all costs: law enforcement, helicopters used, permitting, promotion, all liaisons, all financial support, all unpaid license fees, etc. We need to see all costs of this.   | Also, all costs related to adding to homeless problem, crime, vehicle trips...                                      | Vehicle trips meaning net addition to traffic in area, each pot site has a lot of traffic in and out, so adds pollution, also the DUI related costs. |           |           |           |           |
| 321 | veva edelson        | The County must have an enforcement team dedicated to monitoring cannabis operations. Implement and enforcement  | Totally agree. There can be no "success" without this!  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 322 | Who is John Galt?   | drug tests for boomer to prove they arent peeing chemicals into the water table before they can complain about their neighbor's cannabis farm.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 323 | veva edelson        | Our Neighbor on the 80 acres next door intends to operate a commercial cannabis grow as soon as they can get a permit. I don't think that their high security system will make me feel more safe than I do now. In fact I am sure that will be more concerned about running into some body some day on my property with a gun trying to get to their property and steal their crop just before or after harvest. |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 324 | Who is John Galt?   | you guys should videotape an enforcement and see how rogorous and intimidating it is. DONT make this a nazi state enforcement thing  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 325 | Bridget Beytagh     | Why should the neighbors be accountable for a commercial operation   |   |  |           |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|------------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|
| 326 | Becky Evenich       | When asking the Ag Dept about cannabis permits timelines and violations we were questioned as to why we were so concerned about information on these grows and asked if we would we be doing this if it were a vineyard instead of a cannabis grow? Why should that matter and why is a county employee questioning our asking for public records? This is borderline harassment and intimidation. On another note we have had very positive experiences with Maggie at code enforcement and appreciate that. |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 327 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Who does the public contact when there are safety concerns?   |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 328 | veva edelson        | until it is federally legal it is bound to be unsafe. One of my former tenants has a business processing money for the cannabis industry. They at times have large amounts of cash in their office for short periods of time. All of there activity is very quiet. They don't advertise what they are doing or have sign out. One day one of the employees arriving with some cash was held up at gun point in the parking area of the building. The business had to relocate as a result.                    |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 329 | Julia Kozitsyna     | expecting neighbors to have to take on monitoring duties is outrageous — we don't get any benefits from the taxes, we don't get paid for this, its our time, effort, nerves, and money  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 330 | Who is John Galt?   | enforcement is doing a GREAT job already. props to them.  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 331 | Deborah Eppstein    | Operations in the Penalty Relief Program were prior illegal operations where the appropriateness of the site was not analyzed. Sonoma County has allowed them to expand and operate for 4 years without analysis of the safety - such as traffic, fire hazard, odor issues, crime. Neighbors have alerted the county to these safety issues over the past 3 years to deaf ears; such operations must be terminated without further delay.   |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 332 | Caroline Koss       | No one goes to bed afraid!!!  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 333 | Who is John Galt?   | fences. security cams.  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 334 | Who is John Galt?   | panic buttons   |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 335 | Deborah Eppstein    | To be successful, please listen to the issues of neighbors that have been ignored for the past 3+ years!  | Yes, exactly. You are asking for the feedback of the community and we have been giving it for years!!! Yet here we are again, discussing the same concerns and issues. | yes please | agree     | Exactly!!! We need to take back control of our local government. Marin and Napa citizens don't put up with this abuse. |           |           |
| 336 | Who is John Galt?   | full time monitoring is cheap now. i have it. panic buttons and motion sensors. cheap. simplisafe   |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 337 | Who is John Galt?   | attack dogs.  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 338 | Moira Jacobs        | Yes, moratorium now! No new licenses until after we fix this mess.  |  |            |           |  |           |           |
| 339 | Who is John Galt?   | please allow cannabis farmers to have their god given and constitutionally protected right to bear arms on their cannabis premises  |  |            |           |  |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name          | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)     | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---------------------|---|--|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 340 | Kimberley Carbonaro | In General, retail sales operations need to be farther away from residential housing, and growing & processing operations need to be farther away from rural residential structures.  |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 341 | Lauren Marra        | Commercial cannabis in industrial areas allows those businesses to work within a secure environment and have a security team/plan. Then you ensure neighborhood safety and compatibility. Both sides safe and cannabis businesses can thrive  | agree  | thank you for sharing  |               |           |           |           |
| 342 | Valorie Dallas      | Keep families safe. Prioritize neighborhoods. Keep public areas safe.   |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 343 | Julia Kozitsyna     | why are cannabis grows allowed to harvest 24/7? this is highly disruptive to residential neighborhoods  | agree  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 344 | Forest Houtz        | Cannibus Farms that experience excessive safety violations and safety problems should loose their permits immediately   |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 345 | Deborah Eppstein    | please enforce the fire safe regulations of the state   | I see you are ignoring these comments!   | agreed! if you're not enforcing current regulations what faith should we have that you'll enforce any new ordinances your write? |               |           |           |           |
| 346 | Who is John Galt?   | cpnsequences for spiteful and dishonest complaints  |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 347 | Becky Evenich       | Agree with the moratorium of permits (over the counter ag and through PRMD) until the EIR is complete   | Agree, this is a must  | yes no ministerial permits   | Totally agree |           |           |           |
| 348 | veva edelson        | I love that I currently don't feel any threat when I am at my home in Bloomfield. If there is a comercial cannabis operation with out of town owners next door I am not sure that I will feel safe. It does not make any sense to me to have this type of operation next to residences. |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 349 | Virginia Hair       | Most rural communities are only served by volunteer fire departments that are not manned 24/7. What would the County do to support these departments?   | Those fire departments will be counted on to protect those areas around the cannabis farms. Definitely should be added support |  |               |           |           |           |
| 350 | JOEL HOYT           | Processing should be allowed near or at the same location for cultivation.  | yes in industrial areas  | great point jake that allows all parts of the business to be in one place  |               |           |           |           |
| 351 | Bridget Beytagh     | Much stricter enforcement after complaints and violations.  | 100% agree!!   |  |               |           |           |           |
| 352 | Kimberley Carbonaro | Traffic impact is part of the safety issue for any cannabis operation near a rural residential area.  |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 353 | Julia Kozitsyna     | please enforce current regulations — we have very limited faith that the county takes its own regulations seriously given all the lax enforcement   |  |  |               |           |           |           |
| 354 | Who is John Galt?   | gutzzman operates kringles corner next to luther burbank center. she runs a horse farm that smell like crap. she is a chubby hater. CANCEL KIRIGLES CORNER  |  |  |               |           |           |           |

**Cannabis Visioning Session - Safety Concerns - August 10, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM**

| #   | Asker Name        | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 355 | Moira Jacobs      | Follow fire safe road regulations!!   | they are ignoring these comments!  | Do not ignore! I haven't hear you say one thing about drug operations down unsafe fire roads. You placed operations down long dead end and narrow roads. |           |           |           |           |
| 356 | Bob Storms        | We recently were unsuccessful in opposing a retail pot shop in our residential area...it never should have passed the Planning Dept. It was inappropriate for a residential area.   | this does not belong in residential areas.   | Agree! No pot operations in residential areas!   |           |           |           |           |
| 357 | Who is John Galt? | processing should be allowed if the building meet code  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 358 | veva edelson      | Since growing cannabis is federally illegal at this time, ones ability to get a mortgage or to carry insurance can be negatively impacted if the underwriter knows that any illegal activity is going on in the area. Also those of us that live rurally and are used to agricultural smells and sounds are not used to high security, lights and the threat of surveillance drones and armed private security next door to where we live. This is significantly different than any of our other agricultural neighbors. Loosing insurance or not being able to refinance poses a significant tangible loss to property value at the expense of those choosing to grow, process or sell cannabis. | Very good to mention. That is a horrible reality for home owners.                                |  |           |           |           |           |
| 359 | Who is John Galt? | get cannabis farmer us trucked water so that they can help in case of fire  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 360 | Who is John Galt? | i want jasons background image  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 361 | Julia Kozitsyna   | Again — it is ridiculous to put the burden of "accountability" on neighbors — we are not getting paid to do the county's job!!  | and burden of safety too.  | agree!!  |           |           |           |           |
| 362 | Who is John Galt? | the neighbors should NOT be burdened. TAKE THEM OUT OF IT. if you keep these vocal minorities feeling like they have a disproportionatley large voice you will creat nieghborhood hatred and seed ill will. this is WRONG   | You can't silence us, that is rude. We have opinions too> I assure you we are not the minority!  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 363 | Valorie Dallas    | Thanks to everyone!!!   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 364 | Who is John Galt? | great work staff. fun times.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 365 | Jake D            | let's have other countries be the guinea pigs not us. Learn from other counties. let's not be on the forefront of this  | Or understand why Napa and Marin said no. Might get us to the answer faster than these meetings. | And lets look at the other counties mistakes and not make them. Santa Barbara looks like a disaster  |           |           |           |           |
| 366 | Who is John Galt? | adrenaline rush for operators defending their family farms from spiteful and dishonest people.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 367 | Marcy Greeley     | I'm unable to attend tomorrow. Will there be a recordng for later viewing?  |  |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| # | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|---|-------------------|--|--|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | rachel Zierdt     | Need to get an accurate set of reports as to current water conditions in the county. Do not use old information. Otherwise the EIR will not be accurate.   | Good point!  | Agree!!   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 2 | Moira Jacobs      | There must be an immediate moratorium on all marijuana production operations in Sonoma County. We are in a serious drought and these THC drug production facilities require 6x as much water as vineyards. No additional licenses should be granted until AFTER the EIR is completed. Moreover, the EIR must include an extensive updated assessment of the water resources in Sonoma County, including full review of the impaired water sheds, updated maps, updated technical water studies, updated review of f  | including full review of the impaired water sheds, updated maps, updated technical water studies, updated review of flora, fauna and fish populations along protected creeks such as Matanzas Creek. The water studies need to look at Sonoma County projected population growth for next 50 years, and planning for development corridors in high density areas and needed water resources. | Spot on   | Yes - we're worried enough about our Sonoma vineyards drying up our water in Sonoma Valley.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 3 | CECILE ISAACS     | Ministerial Permitting<br>I love the idea of ministerial permitting. For one thing, it removes the friction from citizen interactions with government departments.<br><br>Definition of the person empowered to grant "ministerial permits"<br>A person who may/must grant permits to all projects that exactly fit the code and regulation definitions for that type of project. No judgement is required or allowed. If the project fits, it gets a permit. If it doesn't, it doesn't. No discussion is allowed for whether unusual benefits of the project outweigh detriments. No evaluation of mitigation is allowed.<br><br>Obviously that requires extraordinary front loading on the workload to get the codes and regs in great shape before such permitting is put in place.<br><br>What are the disadvantages?<br><br>Every parcel in the develop-able area must be evaluated in advance in case an applicant comes forward with a request to develop it.<br><br>Many parcels will be evaluated which will never be developed. This is a cost that can't be re-couped in fees | Disagree. Neighbors deserve the right to know about any pending permits next to their homes. These are large scale commercial operations. We are impacted directly by noise, odor, dusty gravel driveways, light, environmental impact, impact on our wells, property value, and more  | Building an operation only 300ft from someones home (any commercial facility) will cause friction if there's no notice given to neighbors | That's why codes and regs need to be so carefully drawn in advance. Perhaps not feasible to have ministerial permitting, but trying to lay out what is required to make it work. | I feel the same way when you build houses next to farm land and then complain about agriculture |           |           |           |           |
| 4 | Barbara Barrielle | How can I get the recorded session for Monday and Tuesday and the slides?<br>Writing for industry publication.   | Hi Barbara. You can find the sessions here:<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a>   | thanks Sarah -  | Will this include the recording?   | Are copies of the Q&A available?  |           |           |           |           |
| 5 | rachel Zierdt     | stop permitting now. There needs to be a moratorium on permitting on any projects (not just cannabis) that requires increased water usage. Otherwise the EIR will have a moving target on water availability and will not accurately reflect the true picture.   | Agree!   | agree   | Totally agree. We need to stop all the permitting under the no plan plan, which is currently not working.  | I agree   |           |           |           |           |
| 6 | LAURA WALDBAUM    | If net zero water use is required what parameters will be used to determine if use is actually net zero and how will this be enforced.   |  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name        | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-------------------|--|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 7  | CECILE ISAACS     | Other disadvantages of Ministerial permitting:   | The EIR is for a new ordinance, and the State requires project-specific environmental review. Ministerial permitting does not meet most CEQA requirements as it requires discretionary permitting. | No discretion is allowed for ministerial permitting. That is the definition of "ministerial". Check off list is used to evaluate every project. If it fits, it gets a permit. If it doesn't, it doesn't. If the list is incomplete or inadequate, the EIR isn't adequate and ministerial permitting would not be appropriate. |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 8  | gail cafferata    | For two days now I've heard people on screen say, "What are some POSITIVE IDEAS about sites for commercial marijuana cultivation, operations for tasting, location of dispensaries, etc. What would an IDEAL cultivation site, dispensary location, etc. look like?" Well, that's simply assuming that the community wants ANY commercial cultivation sites or ANY tasting sites or ANY dispensaries of any kind. Allowing any commercial marijuana cultivation, tasting and dispensaries in this county is outrageous given climate change to our water table and supply, the increasing risk of catastrophic county fires, the absence of any valid and reliable test for marijuana intoxication, and proven criminal activities associated with dispensaries in Denver and elsewhere. | I agree.   | Agree!  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 9  | Rick Luttmann     | How can I disable the crawl? It's blocking the screen.   |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 10 | CECILE ISAACS     | Advantages Ministerial permitting<br>What are the advantages?<br><br>The cost PER PARCEL to analyze impacts is lower.<br><br>Staff time, review cost and enforcement cost are potentially much less once all parcels have been evaluated.<br><br>Developer time to completion is much shorter.<br>Developer cost to complete can be lower.<br>Developers are encouraged to work on more projects in a climate of increased certainty. BUT Changes in State or federal rules may require the process to be redone periodically.   |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 11 | rachel Zierdt     | My concern is how balanced report will be sent to the supervisors. I don't find that this visioning sessions seem to be presenting both sides.   | So far only the oppositional monologue   | live answered   | Opposition, without comprise will make this whole process useless. Pushing for indoor grows in industrial areas has its own issues.<br>POLITICO:<br><a href="https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ">https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ</a> | Great to see you again Rachel. Thank you for joining us. The report we are writing will be part of the 9/28 Board of Supervisors meeting which will be published online before the Board of Supervisors date. You can also contact cannabis@sonoma-county.org around that time. Thank you! |           |           |           |           |
| 12 | Richard Rudnansky | Great comment Gail. Board should consider whether the citizens of the County want any expansion of the cannabis industry or any at all rather than how to accomplish it.   | I fully agree.   |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 13 | rachel Zierdt     | explaining the moderator's research does not help with the impartiality of this process.   |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 14 | Carol Taylor      | Protecting established residential areas has to be one of the top priorities. We should protect our children as much as we protect wildlife.   | Can we have all these verbal water use numbers be shown in written form?   | Carol Taylor (You): Can we have all these verbal water use numbers be shown in written form?  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 15 | kjmil             | I am concerned about water use for both legal and illegal grows. If someone is aware of an illegal grow who do they report it to?  | Hi kjmil, thank you for joining us. You can report concerning or illegal cannabis activity by calling (707) 565-1992.  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-----------------|---|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 16 | Moira Jacobs    | Ban it! That's what I want to see. If you must have your THC drugs, produce it where all legal drugs should be produced: indoor industrial zone with strong air filtration. Indoor marijuana uses least amount of water. We don't need to produce THC drug production for entire United States. Why are you pushing this unhealthy drug and actively promoting and pushing this when over 75% of residents here don't want this?  | Where are you sourcing your information from?  | Not true   | This comment is incorrect at every level and a lack of basic understanding of cannabis and its history  | I regret you are offended by some peoples medicine. Cannabis is now legal after being legal for medical use for over twenty years voted by the majority of Californians.   |           |           |           |           |
| 17 | Lori Pascarella | This is false information. Vineyards use 3-4 times as much water acre per acre as cannabis. All cultivators are subject to hydrogeological resource studies as part of permitting unlike other water users. All cultivators are subject to waterboard permit and reporting of water use to county and state.  | Exactly! When you look at water to value cannabis uses much less water. The EIR should look at water to value, not water to area. An acre of cannabis is far more valuable than an acre of grapes. | Not what they are saying-listen.   | Cannabis can be grown with 0 water it's called dry land farming   | Thank you for your comment. The information I provided comes from the County's published water use guidelines. According to those guidelines, here are typical water use rates:<br><br>- Vineyards: 0.6 acre-ft/acre/yr<br>- Irrigated Orchards: 1.8<br>- Outdoor Cannabis: 2.0<br>- Residential Lawns and Irrigated Pastures: 3.6<br>- Indoor Cannabis: 4.0 | exactly   |           |           |           |
| 18 | gail cafferata  | My vision does not include any "positive" comments on commercial marijuana cultivation. There is no "ideal" commercial marijuana use of water in this county. It is inappropriate given the drought that is likely to reduce our water table for years to come.   | I agree  | Not true   | Cannabis can be grown regeneratively which increases biodiversity/increase of habitat for beneficial organisms and last but least retaining moisture in the soil positively impacting the environment and waterways |  |           |           |           |           |
| 19 | ron ferraro     | that would be disaster and no point for a eir cause every operators will not last on such a small footprint   | I agree  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | Mary Plimpton   | The session questions would be better couched from the position of "What would the best water policy be for the residents, communities, organizations, businesses, industries of the county" vs what policies would make anything-cannabis successful. We look to the BoS, County depts, agencies (heads and staff) for long-range vision & leadership that represents ALL our interests, not just the interests of a potential new tax source. In a hierarchy of water uses, we ask the county to prioritize & publish how you rank & will provide for needs, including but not limited to: reliable clean drinking water; water for hygiene; water for food crops; for other ag (incl dairy & non-dairy animals); non-food crops; recreation. The EIR should use current Extreme Drought conditions as a base and looking forward to a future in which water resources may diminish. The questions today on how to make cannabis successful are out of touch with today's realities - and conceivably those of the future.  | ^^^^ Thank you. Well said.   | I hope that the people summarizing these sessions fully capture your view with which I fully agree with you. |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 21 | gail cafferata  | The EIR for the General Plan was completed in 2000. Given the current drought and the UN's changing climate report, <a href="https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Headsline_Statements.pdf">https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_Headsline_Statements.pdf</a> , it is clear that any policy decisions about marijuana commercial cultivation in Sonoma County need a NEW EIR reflecting these realities. The UN Report (attached) says unequivocally: "Many changes in the climate system become larger in direct relation to increasing global warming. They include increases in the frequency and intensity of hot extremes, marine heatwaves, and heavy precipitation, agricultural and ecological droughts in some regions, and proportion of intense tropical cyclones, as well as reductions in Arctic sea ice, snow cover and permafrost. Continued global warming is projected to further intensify the global water cycle, including its variability, global monsoon precipitation and the severity of wet and dry events." |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name     | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                                      | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|----------------|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 22 | Lauren Marra   | Permitting cannabis operations in unincorporated sonoma county, where the operation uses well water impacts an entire neighborhood. If one operation uses a lot of water, you risk all of our wells running dry. Water is incredibly expensive, its essential to life and we need to protect our resources.   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 23 | LAURA WALDBAUM | Water resources we should protect - watersheds identified by the state as critical Green valley, Mark West, Mill Creek and Dutch Bill watersheds  | Get rid of grapes  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 24 | Moira Jacobs   | Ban it!   | Nimby  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 25 | gail cafferata | What we are confronting here in Sonoma County with the threat of commercial marijuana cultivation is the tragedy of the commons. The tragedy of the commons refers to a situation in which individuals with access to a shared resource (also called a common) act in their own interest and, in doing so, ultimately deplete the resource. This economic theory was first conceptualized in 1833 by British writer William Forster Lloyd. In 1968, the term "tragedy of the commons" was used for the first time by Garrett Hardin in Science Magazine. This theory explains individuals' tendency to make the best decisions for their personal situation, regardless of the negative impact they may have on others. An individual's belief that others won't act in the best interest of the group can lead them to justify their selfish behavior. When facing the use or potential overuse of a common or public good, individuals may act with their short-term best interest in mind, for instance, using an unsustainable product, and disregard the | Grapes are the problem<br>70,000 acres worth                             |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 26 | Anna Ransome   | PD article today stated "signs of inadequate compliance" with mandated curtailment of water rights in the upper Russian River. Why is the county even considering allowing a new water-intensive crop right now?  | It is not a new use. The EIR should study how this is a traditional use. | Opening up 60,000 acres is a new use/expansion | Wrong. This is totally new use, new program. The old illegal activities are not considered at all. This entire program is a huge drug production experiment of a new decriminalized drug. There is no voter mandate given to Sonoma County for this massive and aggressive promotion of commercial scale drug production all over our drought stricken, wildfire susceptible county. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 27 | judith olney   | Water is our most precious public trust resource, and now is the time for the County to both plan for current and future uses and address cumulative impacts. Multiple reports already show chronic overdraft in impaired watersheds and priority groundwater basins. In addition to projections based on historic use, develop modeling scenarios that plan for multiple dry-years and hotter temperatures.  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 28 | gail cafferata | In the United States, groundwater is the source of drinking water for about half the population, and roughly 50 billion gallons are used each day for agriculture. Because of the tragedy of the commons, groundwater supply is decreasing faster than it can be replenished. In drought-prone areas, the risk for water shortage is high and restrictions are often put in place to mitigate it. The tragedy of the commons shows us how, without some sort of regulation or public transparency of choices and actions associated with public goods, there's no incentive for individuals to hold themselves back from taking too much. In fact, individuals may even have a "use it or lose it" mentality; if they're aware of the inevitability that the good itself will be depleted, they may think, "I better get my share while I still can." Sonoma County must do a new EIR in compliance with CEQA or the county water supply here will ultimately become unsustainable for everyone who depends on it, especially ranchers and farmers.           |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 29 | Bonnie Brown   | Protect ALL water resources.  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 30 | Moira Jacobs   | Water for people and FOOD, not drugs!   | What about grapes it alcohol also a drug they should be banned as well   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-----------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 31 | Lauren Marra    | We need to protect neighborhood well water. This impacts an entire neighborhood if you approve a permit on a parcel which uses well water. If one person uses a lot of water, you risk all of our wells running dry. Water is incredibly expensive, its essential to life and we need to protect our resources. How can we afford to truck in water or drill new wells if ours run dry?   | I agree  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 32 | Anna Ransome    | Blue line creeks, rivers, lakes and natural ponds, wetlands, springs, artesian wells, seeps, swales   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 33 | Craig Harrison  | It is disrespectful to residents of neighborhoods who feel they are defending their homes against the commercial cannabis industry for pot growers to participate using fake names. Using fake names to cat call and mock the legitimate concerns of county residents revels a Cheech & Chong attitude.<br><br>I doubt anyone from a neighborhood is using a fake name, and if anyone is they should be removed from the dissussions.<br><br>Who is Henry Burbank? Henry Edison-Burbank? These seem to be a fake names.<br><br>Who is John Galt, other than the lead character in Ayn Rand's Atlas Shrugged? This seems to be a fake name.<br><br>Who is Nancy Nancy?<br><br>Please take control of this. It undermines your stated goals.  | I agree  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 34 | judith olney    | Water related permitting criteria should exclude water scarce groundwater zones 3 and 4 and impaired watersheds. Catchment basins are not adequate mitigation as water scarce areas have confined aquifers subject to overdraft and reduced groundwater replenishment.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 35 | Greg Harder     | we need to protect those that are here. no permits, residential,commercial,or agriculture until we identify and develop additional water sources.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 36 | Vince scholten  | Cannabis can be dry land farmed requiring no water at all   | Really?  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 37 | Jean Hegland    | We must protect   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 38 | Ann Storms      | County water maps are severely out-dated. An EIR will require a current assessment of water, or the EIR could be null & void.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 39 | Craig Litwin    | Water catchment should be encouraged, for all water zones.  | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 40 | L Williams      | Well monitoring Twice a year with well draw down test and visual inspection by county official.   | This is a basic enforcement step without which there can be no responsible permitting. |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 41 | Greg Harder     | up until 30 years ago this was primaily dry farmed country because we are in a drying Mediteranian (sp) climate that will be worse based on climate change.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 42 | gail cafferata  | No cannabis cultivation in Sonoma County because we are living with a tragedy of the commons. No more water permits!  | Get rid of grapes as well and all the food farms cause ho needs food                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 43 | Douglas Fisher  | Context: Many scientific studies are currently showing that climate change is advancing significantly faster than formerly thought. In our area drought has become the norm as rainfall in all but two of the last 7 years has been far lower than average. Four years ago rural residents in water-scarce zones were asked by the state to monitor/meter their water use. This year in particular the water crisis is severe in Sonoma County cities and reservoirs. Mendocino Reservoir threatens to run dry during the next fire season; Lake Sonoma is not far behind.<br><br>Questions: E.I.R.s are fundamental to planning any fundamental change in land use. Why is this E.I.R. occurring now rather than as the beginning and foundation of cannabis regulation.? Why are permits being processed and issued before the results of the E.I.R. are released? Why are we even considering permits in Zone 3 and Zone 4 water-scarce areas? | I agree  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 44 | veronica madrid | I agree with rachel zierdt - stop permitting now.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-----------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 45 | Lori Pascarella | Every single cultivation license applicant must submit for waterboard permit, provide biotic resource and hydrogeological resource studies. All water use is tracked and reported. Many cultivation sites are utilizing filtered recycled water, not ground water. The current regulations regarding water use and reporting are more than adequate to address water use on an individual site basis and collectively for the cannabis industry in Sonoma County.   | I definitely agree.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Jean Hegland    | I agree with T Levey's comments   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | Lauren Marra    | It's been 40 years since the county has assessed the 4 water availability zones. A detailed study of this should be a critical part of the county environmental impact report. In the meantime, there must be a moratorium on pending and new permit applications as we don't fully understand the impact these facilities will have.   | This is essential before permitting more water intensive uses of any kind.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | Anna Ransome    | Cumulative impact studies of all proposed cannabis grows are needed to determine impact on water resources.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | Craig Litwin    | Permaculture like design principles should be encouraged, with on contour swales infiltrating water, companion planting, mulching, and topsoil building.  | Agreed   | Good point.  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | Kila Peterson   | Rainwater catchment and permitting small farms that can meet that condition.  | Agreed   | Cannabis is not the only crop we should be thinking about. Maybe county needs to revamp all criteria for all agriculture and urban development . |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | katherine yates | In a drought, many large trees come under threat of dying. Trees are crucial for keeping temperatures down, particularly in urban areas. So they should have a priority with water allocation   | I agree  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | Bridget Beytagh | How does the County plan to provide water, when it has stated that it would allow up to 60,000 acres?   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | judith olney    | Both the Sonoma and Napa county studies estimated that 1 acre of cannabis cultivation uses 1 million gallons/ acre/ harvest/ year. All resources must be protected - especially adjacent wells  | This is not true   | This is true   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | Craig Harrison  | Cannabis cultivation can adversely affect directly or through habitat modifications at least five aquatic or riparian species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species. A biological assessment for a cannabis cultivation found that Matanzas Creek and its riparian corridor provides good refuge habitat for amphibians and reptiles, and identified five rare species that are found in this watershed: California giant salamander (special concern); foothill yellow-legged frog (candidate threatened); red-legged frog (federal threatened); reed-bellied newt (special concern); and California freshwater shrimp (federal endangered). The piecemeal diminution of aquatic habitat is why the species that live in this habitat are listed as threatened, endangered, or are being considered for listing. A factor the California Department of Fish and Wildlife considers in listing a Species of Special Concern is when they occur in small, isolated populations or in fragmented habitat, and are threatened by further | By further what? Any human activity can disturb any habitat, that's common sense. Cannabis has to follow the same laws regarding water habitats and protected species as any other activity. |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 55 | gail cafferata  | The alarming UN report on climate says that precipitation will decrease in CA and soil moisture will decrease: "Precipitation is projected to increase over high latitudes, the equatorial Pacific and parts of the monsoon regions, but decrease over parts of the subtropics and in limited areas of the tropics." And "Annual mean total column soil moisture change (standard deviation)" will be affected "Across warming levels, changes in soil moisture largely follow changes in precipitation but also show some differences due to the influence of evapotranspiration." Because of proven impending catastrophic climate change, to assure sustainability of water in the county, Sonoma County must: Do a baseline analysis and determine all current and projected water usage needs in the County. include all uses (residential, agricultural, commercial and industrial) then determine amount of water available for new uses under 1. drought conditions and 2. historical averages.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 56 | veva edelson    | I would like to see a moratorium on all cannabis permits until an EIR is completed. We time to study the availability of water during our extreme drought. WE don't miss our water till our wells run dry   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name      | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-----------------|---|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 57 | Jonathan Saler  | Needing protection are Rural areas depending on wells, near creeks that empty to Russian River such as Franz Creek, Porter Creek etc.. Vineyards have already been tapping these for decades. To add more agriculture in a drought prone area is  | To add more agricultural water drain in a drought prone county with unstudied conditions is not a wise idea at this time. |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 58 | Bob Storms      | If cannabis is fostered in our beautiful area, the valuable cachet that attracts tourists & visitors from around the world will be degraded.  | Not true  | TRUE   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 59 | Anna Ransome    | Farmers and ranchers are abandoning crops and sacrificing animals due to drought. Is there a significance shortage of cannabis to justify taking water from these established businesses?   | Not true  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 60 | Carol Bokaie    | I question the amount of water used by cannabis grows stated by the moderator. Cannabis uses 6 times more water than grapes.  | That is false- please cite your source.   | Pease State why it is wrong  | Not true   | False information  |           |           |           |           |
| 61 | ron ferraro     | water availability should be the determining factor for giving permits and how much acres, NOT what zones they are in, but the availability of the property well, and should be net zero impact. i believe this was already figured out by cannabis ad hoc and ag deparment   | Exactly. Assess the property of what water is available. If there is enough there is enough.                              | Would you agree to dry farming?                                    |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 62 | Bridget Beytagh | Would the Industry be willing to dry farm?  | Some  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 63 | gail cafferata  | You are not listening to me. I have cited the UN report on Climate Change.  |   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 64 | judith olney    | No water or recycled wastewater trucking to cannabis operations as the nitrates and other unprocessed chemicals may degrade confined aquifers and impair the health of residential well users.  | Not true  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 65 | veva edelson    | The climate is becoming more Mediteranian and I would like to see all farming be dry farming.   | Agreed  | Agree-grape should be mandated to replant and practice dry farming |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 66 | steven sommer   | I have vineyard and cannibus uses less water winery use propbly wineryuse more water then both canibus vineyuae together  | Why don't we dry farm grapes in this county!  | Good question veva   |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 67 | Craig Harrison  | County water maps are 40 years old. It is unscientific to base cultivation decisions on ancient information.  | Agree!  | This is so important!  | And yet the wine grape industry should also be looked at | County water maps need to be updated before any cannabis land use decisions are made |           |           |           |           |
| 68 | Lindsay Blyth   | By further what?  |   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 69 | gail cafferata  | Because of proven impending catastrophic climate change, to assure sustainability of water in the county, Sonoma County must:<br><br>Do a baseline analysis and determine all current and projected water usage needs in the County. include all uses (residential, agricultural, commercial and industrial) then determine amount of water available for new uses under 1. drought conditions and 2. historical averages.<br><br>Study rainwater catchment reservoirs (net-zero water plans) and determine if they interfere with groundwater recharge of the aquifers and/or downstream flow.<br><br>Prohibit cultivation in the already impaired watersheds. Water intensive commercial use will further exacerbate conditions.<br><br>Prohibit cultivation in groundwater availability zones 3 and 4 and other areas where groundwater supplies are limited, uncertain and vulnerable to overdraft and reduced water recharge.<br><br>Tests of wells of adjacent neighbors in water zones 1 and 2 should be current. Some are 30 years old. | Agree!  | I agree  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 70 | katherine yates | groundwater does not obey property boundary lines, so one heavy use well operator can suck up the water in adjacent wells. This must be taken into consideration when a permit application is reviewed, particularly in a severe drought  | Look at grapes  |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 71 | Carol Bokaie    | I agree with those emails that were just read   |   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 72 | Anna Ransome    | An expanded streamflow monitoring network and direct measurements of cannabis water use would improve our ability to quantify (and mitigate) impacts to streamflow and sensitive species. (UC Berkeley Cannabis Research Center)  |   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 73 | L Williams      | 40 current acres at 2 acre feet is 80 acre feet, not really much water use. It seems that protecting neighbors wells is important. So truly proving each cannabis project has water.  | 40 is current. What is projected?   |  |  |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #  | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 74 | Jean Hegland          | No operations on water-scarce areas. Not only will it take water from the land and current water uses, but we We also need water for fire fighting.  |  |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 75 | Jeff Nash             | I would say water for food first and cannabis, a mostly recreation last  | Tha's how it works already.  | Wine grapes are not food   |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 76 | katherine yates       | Agreed: the county's data is woefully out of date regarding groundwater  |  |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 77 | CECILE ISAACS         | Is chat disabled for most participants? I am only allowed to write in "question and answer"  | live answered  |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 78 | Craig Litwin          | The board is moving towards regulations, not prohibition. Can we please keep this focused on how to regulate instead of falling back to the "Just Say No" mentality?   | in light of our climate crisis there might be places in the state that are better suited to growing cannabis IE they have abundant water and fewer people.   | And what about grapes maybe they should be grown somewhere else                        | As the climate changes they probably will be.            |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 79 | Lori Pascarella       | Every single cannabis operator must submit a written Site Management Plan that addresses water use, waste management, sight security, and implementation of erosion and sediment control best management practices to waterboard, Sonoma County PRMD or Ag Department as part of the application permitting and licensing process. There are already enough controls, regulations, inspections and processes in place. | Disagree. Once approved these operations use millions of gallons of water per year, there's no regulation or enforcement. This is threatening all of our wells within a neighborhood   | Yes, in public meetings officials have said that there is not enough staff to monitor. |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 80 | kmil                  | PD reports that well water main resource for grows and we also see reports of well water running dry. I feel we can ship in cannabis from areas that have more water. We don't really need to grow it here.  | Not true and the PD is not facts   |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 81 | gail caffera          | The ideas I am hearing from Rob all assume new permits. There needs to be moratorium on all new permits until there is a new EIR. The current one is too old in view of climate change.  | Agree!   | Do not agree   | I whole-heartedly agree.                                 | I agree                    |           |           |           |           |
| 82 | Mary Plimpton         | Immediate moratorium on all permitting, until completion of comprehensive science-based EIR that conforms with CEQA.   | This is essential!   | No   | I agree  | I support Mary's position. |           |           |           |           |
| 83 | Gary Allen John Birch | protect our water from ALL pollution. organic and natural cannabis growers don't pollute the water table at all compared to the pharmaceutical drugs taken by all these old control-freak marxists disguised as cannabis opposition  | Agreed   |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 84 | Rick Rogers           | cannabis cultivators drill wells to avoid the state water board requirements for cannabis surface water use, which require summer forbearance and storing water during winter high flows. make sure that groundwater use for cannabis cultivation follows the same rules.  | cannabis cultivators drill wells to avoid the state water board requirements for cannabis surface water use, which require summer forbearance and storing water during winter high flows. make sure that groundwater use for cannabis cultivation follows the same rules.  |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 85 | judith olney          | For new cannabis permits in ALL groundwater zones, use permit conditions must follow the State Agency requirement that hydrogeologist reports, in addition to well pumping tests, are necessary to determine long-term water availability. All tests and reports are to meet industry standards and performed under the direction of licensed professionals.   | "Every single cannabis operator must submit a written Site Management Plan that addresses water use, waste management, sight security, and implementation of erosion and sediment control best management practices to waterboard, Sonoma County PRMD or Ag Department as part of the application permitting and licensing process. There are already enough controls, regulations, inspections and processes in place."<br>-Lori Pascarella in earlier comments |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 86 | Anna Ransome          | It's impossible to limit comments to your questions with an issue so complex.  |  |  |  |                            |           |           |           |           |
| 87 | gail caffera          | THERE IS NO MODEL FOR A SUCCESSFUL CANNABIS cultivation program!!!   | these sweet old ladies will never be satisfied   | Not true   | you should listen to sweet old ladies , we've seen a lot |                            |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)            | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s)                              | Answer(s)           | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|-----------|--|---------------------|---|-----------|
| 88  | Gary Allen John Birch | drug tests for all citizens in order to use septic and pollute our water with their poison psycho drugs  |   |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 89  | Cameron Hattan        | a recent study from the University of California Berkely Cannabis Research Center in conjunction with adjunct fellows at the Public Policy Institute of California's Water Policy shows that permitted cannabis farmers are consuming less water than previously thought. Cannabis farms are considerably smaller than other crops, on average so cannabis has a very small footprint and accounts for just a fraction of the water used by California agriculture overall. The study states that "legal outdoor production uses the same amount of water as a crop like tomatoes" | Please add this study to the EIR, Sonoma County!                                  | Exactly!             | Thank you Cameraon! Can you please email us a link or the PDF for the study? We appreciate it: Cannabis@sonoma-county.org | Agreed    | email has been sent with summary added | Thank you Cameron!! | is any of this going to be included in this discussion? |           |
| 90  | Craig Litwin          | A successful program catches silt and runoff.  | Yes, all the Water Quality Control Board requirements should be met.              | Agreed               |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 91  | Marcy Meadows         | No new wells. Monitors on existing wells with fines for going over allotment. Not taking from rivers or streams. Cease and desist ordinance if well usage causes nearby residences to have their well production fall or fail.   | Agree!  | agree                | This is already in place.   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 92  | judith olney          | Must be adequate protection against well interference. Deny a new use permit if the hydrogeology report demonstrates the project water demands draw from the zone of influence of neighboring wells or if there is any drawdown on neighboring wells.  |   |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 93  | Lauren Marra          | Protecting our resources: keep commercial facilities away from neighborhoods especially those who rely on well water for cooking, eating, bathing etc. We are in a historic drought. We are all restricting our own personal water usage. It's essential during this time that we carefully preserve water for human consumption as well as for crops and animals that provide us with food. It's irresponsible of the county to even consider approving a commercial cannabis permit during such a critical time.   | Quit building on ag land  | I agree              |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 94  | gail cafferata        | You are leading the witness by asking for an "Ideal" commercial marijuana permitting process. There are many of us who do not want ANY commercial cultivation.   | Does this include grapes  | no                   |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 95  | Carol Taylor          | Carol Taylor (You): Can we have all these verbal water use numbers be shown in written form?   |   |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 96  | Jean Hegland          | NO trucked in-water! Also, water catchment takes water from existing water needs. Especially in water-scarce areas, water catchment is utterly inappropriate   | Not true  |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 97  | veva edelson          | a successful operation would implement dry farming on contour swales on poly culture farms with farmers that are owners living on the land and in the community that they are growing.   |   |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 98  | Lori Pascarella       | Cannabis is cultivated in a raised bed or smart pots with drip irrigation with zero water waste, all irrigation water is used by plant. Use is monitored and reported to county and state. The cannabis industry already has more than adequate controls imposed upon it via county and state regulations, inspections, and enforcement.   | Drip water is still water being used from aquifers, rivers, watershed, catchment. |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 99  | L Williams            | following State water guidelines of all 102 pages, but without enforcement and monitoring it is useless.   |   |                      |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 100 | judith olney          | Neither conservation nor catchment cannot reduce a water demand of over 1 million gallons per acre per harvest to 'net zero' – these types of mitigations are not allowed under CEQA.  | That's not a realistic amount of water. What about recycled water, Judith?        | Can't produce enough |   |           |  |                     |   |           |
| 101 | Anna Ransome          | Moratorium is essential. Humboldt's cannabis ordinance gives the county the right to reduce the extent of any cannabis activity in the case of sustained drought or low flow.  | Thanks for this info Anna. This is essential.                                     | Important            | Don't agree   |           |  |                     |   |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 102 | Carol Taylor          | Are these questions and comments now part of a public record? There are really valuable insights here.   | Hi Carol - We post the meetings here:<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a>   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
|     |                       |  | The first two days are already posted. Thank you for joining us!   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 103 | Gary Allen John Birch | what about the wine industry? why did all the previous generation (read BABY BOOMERS) get to start businesses and live their dreams and now these spoiled CHARMED old bullies want to disrupt the next generations.  | Agreed   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 104 | Ann Storms            | I see nothing at all wrong in stating an opinion of "No cannabis" in Sonoma County. In reality, only a few well-placed individuals are benefiting( \$\$) from the marijuana industry. The rest of us, including our precious lands and our wildlife, suffer. | Agree! I say only allow some long term local THC drug producers in good standing to participate in industrial zone indoor production site. Collocated at that site should be testing labs by multiple top rated drug safety labs to do the desperately needed SCIENCE to study both THC drug products as well as CBD products on human health. You have put the horse before the cart. Any responsible government policy would first assess exactly how safe or unsafe are any of these drugs. | The Indian Hemp Commission of the 1800's showed the safety of cannabis. The La Guardia report of the 1940's confirmed the safety of cannabis, THe Schaefer commission of 1970 showed the safety of cannabis. The American pharmacopiea from the 1800's to 1940 touted the safety and medical benifit of cannabis. Tens of thousands of years of human consumption showed the safety of cannabis. thousands of medical studies from dozens of countries show the safety and medical benefit of cannabis. It has only been illegal for 80 years based on racist policies. The basis of everything you have said is verifiably false! | I feel the same way about grapes and them sucking our wells dry for years already and now that we are in a drought still the water flows to grapes and nobody says a word |           |           |           |           |           |
| 105 | katherine yates       | Residents have to curtail their water use when there is a drought, and so should cannabis operators.This will require a sufficient county monitoring and enforcement team,   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 106 | L Williams            | inground growing uses half the water as above ground smart pots.   | Ah, but fewer crops!   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 107 | veronica madrid       | Dry cultivation. I think I saw a comment that that is possible with marijuana  | Yes it is  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 108 | Cameron Hattan        | This study goes on to show that a single large almond farm in the central valley "utilizes 33 times more water than all of the permitted farms in Humboldt combined. <a href="http://crc.berkeley.edu">crc.berkeley.edu</a>                                  | Thanks for siting sources!   | So? We are discussing how much water would be used here.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 109 | Bonnie Brown          | Sonoma Water does not supply recycled waater now, only for tankers that spray water to keep dust down on new developments!!! This is rediculous.   | No one said Sonoma Water, City of Petaluma does.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 110 | Anna Ransome          | In this equation water is the more limited commodity and, unlike cannabis, is essential for our survival.  |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 111 | Gary Allen John Birch | no poison nutrients and pesticides   | Not allowed anyway   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 112 | katherine yates       | It is my understanding that over 60% of the residents of Sonoma county depend on well water. Human livelihood should always trump commercial enterprises.  | agree  | Agree  | Quit building houses on ag land   | Agree     |           |           |           |           |
| 113 | Douglas Fisher        | Rainwater catchment does not permit groundwater recharge. So called run off goes to streams, It is part of the hydrological cycle.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 114 | Gary Allen John Birch | DCC and county mandates extremely strict controls on what you can use for nutrients and pesticides   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 115 | L Williams            | no dry farming   | Explain why  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 116 | veva edelson          | Limit to one crop per year dry farmed  | Yes  | Agreed   |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 117 | Lauren Marra          | Why not allow these operations in commercial and industrial zones? Then water usage can be easily tracked and monitored, and these businesses can thrive without continued delays in the permitting process and without harming neighborhoods and families?  | Stop building houses on ag land   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 118 | Jonathan Saler        | No large commercial grows from outside the county owners should be allowed to sap our precious water. Small local farms should have rain catchment, ponds or reservoirs which many vineyards already have.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 119 | Greg Harder           | develop more storage, and clean out the Russian River like it was prior to 1976 when the banks were free from water alders and willows the use incredible amounts of water.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 120 | Gary Allen John Birch | mandate DRY farming for all crops and we will starve out (read BOLSHEVIK REVOLUTION)   | Russiandisinformation   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 121 | Craig Harrison        | Water should be protected not just in depleted watersheds. We should employ the precautionary principle and protect watersheds before they are impaired. Same with aquatic endangered species. Stop them from becoming endangered in the first place.  | Look hard at the grape industry   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 122 | Anna Ransome          | Catchments are not the solution as they can de-water entire areas depleting groundwater and surface flow and depriving neighboring parcels of access to water.   | I agree   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | katherine yates       | have the cannabis industry invest in developing strains of cannabis that use less water, and have the county require only these strains be planted   | Agreed  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | Lori Pascarella       | Cannabis cultivators are already subject to Sonoma County Department of Agriculture Best Management Practices, inspections and enforcement to address sediment and erosion controls, water usage, pesticides use and reporting, nutrient use and reporting, waste management and many other things. There is no need to re-write something that has been successfully in use in cannabis and other agricultural compliance for a long time in Sonoma County. | Apparently there is, as neighbors have been impacted with only 44 acres.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | L Williams            | limiting mega grows, during this drought.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | Richard Rudnansky     | Regardless how much water cannabis cultivation uses, how does it make any sense to exacerbate the water problem by even considering expanding commercial cannabis operations given the current drought, on going climate change, and continued development of housing for people in the County? Please consider a moratorium.  | Better yet put moratorium on the rampant residential housing development on rezoned Agricultural lands.   | I think we all agree on that.                    | I agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | judith olney          | Net Zero Water Plans and the viability of catchment basins have not been adequately studied  | So study them. Isn't that the point of this?  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | steven sommer         | Dry farming vineyard will go broke if you dry farm I have been farming 60 years  | How do other countries manage? Oh right, lower profits.   | Not true it farmed here already                  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | Lori Pascarella       | These are not cactus plants, they need water to thrive. No water equals no quality to product and unmarketability of product which would kill a thriving local agricultural industry.  | During a historic drought, we need to prioritize. We need to preserve water for human consumption as well as for crops and animals that provide us with food. Also water for fire fighting                                      | Not true   | I agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 130 | Gary Allen John Birch | the opposition uses every single issue to damage this young industry. can't anyone see what these same 15 hateful boomers and wash up HACK lawyers like harrison are doing? they are making a joke of this process. these permits need to be ministerial to keep these hateful and spiteful LIARS out of the family farmers lives  | YES! There is definitely a hateful prohibitionist group fighting progress in Sonoma County!   | What do you think progress is? Seriously asking. | Agreed    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | Rick Rogers           | tackling water resource planning and conservation upon the backs of only one user (cannabis) while conventional ag avoids any regulation is doomed to fail. all water uses should be exposed to the same analysis and scrutiny.  | tackling water resource planning and conservation upon the backs of only one user (cannabis) while conventional ag avoids any regulation is doomed to fail. all water uses should be exposed to the same analysis and scrutiny. | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | Gary Allen John Birch | the setbacks from creeks is currently too extrem   | Agreed  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 133 | Craig Harrison        | Follow the expert advice from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife in its March 2021 letter: CDFW recommends the County assess the aquatic carrying capacity of watersheds to support cannabis cultivation and propose a limit on density or number of cultivation sites. The focus of the assessment should be to determine the maximum water use availability from watersheds that maintains adequate water supply for fish and wildlife species, considering the cumulative impact of existing and future legal and illegal diversions. Prior to issuing permits for new cultivation sites, the County should prepare the assessment at a watershed scale describing a) existing water use and availability, b) potential for sediment and other pollutant discharge, and c) percentage of habitat fragmentation within a given watershed. Hemp should be incorporated into this analysis since it requires essentially the same cultivation techniques and water use. | Thank you for sourcing this!   | excellent.   | And grapevines as well  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | Douglas Fisher        | How will many of these concerns with water be monitored?  | Already happening  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 135 | Ann Storms            | Adopt a Moratorium while Sonoma Co. prepares an EIR to determine environmental conditions----and water is THE #1 environmental factor.  |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | Gary Allen John Birch | the setbacks from wetlands is more extreme than any other crop  | Agreed   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | Craig Litwin          | The EIR should study how the number of cultivators is arguably reducing when you look at how many traditional growers there were under medical rules and the elimination of many of these farmers through legalization. There is a net water savings which should be documented.  |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | Carol Taylor          | How fire resistant will the cannabis fields be? Does that depend on the time of year? How does that compare with urban, forested areas, apples orchards and vineyards? What about other crops?  | Safety was covered in yesterdays meeting.                              | data is still being gathered around this Carol, however, Cannabis appears to be a fire resistant plant because its water content and because it is manicured routinely and dead material removed = no fuel for fire. | Either it has high water or high oil.   | Thanks  |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | Cameron Hattan        | considering agricultural output per gallon of water used for other crops like tomatoes, lettuce or almonds a gallon of water produces between a tenth of a cent to two cents of value in yield. For Cannabis, a gallon of water produces nearly \$7.00 worth of value making cannabis by far the most water efficient agricultural product in California. study linked at crc.berkeley.edu  | Thank you  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 140 | katherine yates       | current research data is so critical to the question of cannabis operations in this county.   | I agree  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 141 | L Williams            | Water sheds that have had studies done, and low recharge rates should have proven hydrology reports that it will not effect our water   |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 142 | Greg Harder           | why are we discussing using a necessary resource to produce a luxury item. bad decision   | Cannabis is medicine for many not a luxury.                            | Bingo!   | It's a luxury. Period. The FDA not only outlaws it, a medicine is dispensed in a licensed pharmacy by licensed pharmacists. | And can be grown where there is water. No problem with that |           |           |           |           |
| 143 | Gary Allen John Birch | what about GAY FROGS from the psycho drugs these opposition boomers take all day and all night and pee into our water?  |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | The County's well permitting process needs to be updated to a conditional use permit away from ministerial process which does take into effect the effects a newly permitted well has on neighboring wells  |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | veva edelson          | Permitting so many vineyards that are maximizing plants in the ground rather than planting on contour. We should be thinking differently about how we farm across the board.  |  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 146 | steven sommer         | you cant farm without water is the best fertilizer  | People have farmed without watering for thousands of years. Google it. |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 147 | Craig Harrison        | More from California Department of Fish and Wildlife: CDFW recommends the County assess the aquatic carrying capacity of watersheds to support cannabis cultivation and propose a limit on density or number of cultivation sites. The focus of the assessment should be to determine the maximum water use availability from watersheds that maintains adequate water supply for fish and wildlife species, considering the cumulative impact of existing and future legal and illegal diversions. Prior to issuing permits for new cultivation sites, the County should prepare the assessment at a watershed scale describing a) existing water use and availability, b) potential for sediment and other pollutant discharge, and c) percentage of habitat fragmentation within a given watershed. Hemp should be incorporated into this analysis since it requires essentially the same cultivation techniques and water use.. | That is already required.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 148 | Bridget Beytagh       | Numbers being quoted by industry does not point out that is for 1 crop-not 3 or 4. Multiply and then multiply again for up to 60.000 acres.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 149 | Gary Allen John Birch | cannabis farms have to be very safe with poisons or their product wont pass strict testing and the product must be destroyed. cannabis farmers already are compelled by this to stay healthy and organic.   | Yes! The EIR should study how commercial cannabis is a much healthier way to support the economy than toxic vines or housing developments on large parcels in rural lands. |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 150 | L Williams            | All farms must have LSA's as needed. CDFW must sign off on all projects, as they already do. follow their suggestions on preparing heavy impact areas that are no grow zones  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 151 | Gary Allen John Birch | on the other hand, these old retired hateful neighbors will never accept cannabis. just like all of the new generations wont accept their terrible influence poisoning this planet since their selfish days as flower children. what happened to grama? why is she so hateful?  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 152 | Craig Harrison        | "That is already required." Not for ministerial permits that are now stacked 4-5 per parcel to occupy an entire acre. They don't do much of anything.   | Not true   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 153 | Craig Harrison        | More from California Department of Fish and Wildlife: "In many watersheds, parcels that do not have access to municipal water sources often extract water from the stream either; through direct diversion from the stream or from near stream wells that intercept subterranean stream flow; or from groundwater wells. Groundwater extraction has the potential to impact groundwater dependent resources and reduce streamflow, especially during the late spring and summer months.."   | This is also not true Craig I wish you would site your stats or quit with info that is not true  | March 17, 2021 CDFW letter. That's a public expert agency. Where do you get your information? |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 154 | Greg Harder           | we did not have riparian corridors until we had people that chose to protect them. The wildlife and fish did a lot better when the riparian zones were cleaned out. Also the riparian zones contribute to the movement of the fires in our counties. Maybe we should put them back to mother natures design.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 155 | Bonnie Brown          | Given this is a Mediterranean climate zone and will probably be in drought for years, perhaps cannabis growers might think of moving their business to the Northwest where water is available. Need to think ahead.   | And remove the grapes please   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 156 | Gary Allen John Birch | the biologic assessments and archaeological studies required for a cannabis permit are very rigorous. cannabis has to be set back much further than any other crop. on the other hand HEMP can be planted much more liberally and it is the SAME plant requiring the SAME growing techniques.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 157 | L Williams            | learning that the county has 60,000 acres of vines and 40 acres of cannabis, makes it look like any regulations on cannabis should then be followed by the wine industry. That would truly save our habitats  | But they want to allow 65.000 acres of cannabis - hence the problems   | Agreed with original comment  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 158 | judith olney          | The California Department of Fish and Wildlife's March 18, 2021 letter warned that Sonoma County has a high density of sensitive species, essential habitat areas and over-drafted groundwater basins that require State regulatory oversight. Thus, the siting and permitting of cannabis cultivation in Sonoma County will continue to be limited.   | Cannabis cultivators upon applying for a permit/license-must undergo a biological assessment as well as a hydrogeological assessment. If the location is deemed unfit due to being habitated or POSSIBLY habitated by this species- it will not be pushed through.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 159 | Anna Ransome          | 100' minimum setbacks from wetlands, riparian corridors, blue line creeks, natural lakes and ponds to protect the resource and the survival of threatened and endangered species   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 160 | Gary Allen John Birch | waddle and hay to sop up any overflows or spills   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 161 | Moira Jacobs          | Best way to protect, ban it!   | Not true  | the majority of Californians voted to remove racist drug laws.  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 162 | steven sommer         | i dont see my commets  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 163 | Craig Harrison        | More from California Department of Fish and Wildlife's March 2021 letter: "The County should limit cultivation on parcels with the potential to support special-status species and their habitat. The Ordinance should establish a current baseline of permitted cannabis cultivation areas and project where new cannabis cultivation expansion may occur on a map. Geo-spatial analysis should be used at an individual property parcel scale, to exclude ministerial approval of cannabis cultivation within areas with habitat to support special-status species and where special-status species occurrences are documented within the California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDDB). Exclusion area boundaries should be mapped at a parcel scale. In addition, species-specific protective buffer distances should be developed as part of the Project MND to limit activities that can occur adjacent to mapped exclusion areas." |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 164 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | Exclusion zones for the impaired watersheds  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 165 | CECILE ISAACS         | Can Mia clarify whether her statement is simply her personal ideas or if those recommendations are grounded in state and federal law and regulation?   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 166 | ron ferraro           | Mia information was great, i think we should be listen to what she has to provide  | Well said!  | Agreed  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 167 | Gary Allen John Birch | any disrupted topsoils must be covered w hay and waddled to elimintae dust and runoff.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 168 | Carol Taylor          | Yes - David's presentation   | live answered   | Sonoma County Water Supply, Use, and Conservation Assessment Guidelines can be found here:<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Policies-and-Procedures/8-2-1-Water-Supply-Use-and-Conservation-Assessment-Guidelines/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Policies-and-Procedures/8-2-1-Water-Supply-Use-and-Conservation-Assessment-Guidelines/</a> |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 169 | Gary Allen John Birch | setbacks NEED to be the same as ALL other crops.   | Sonoma County Water Supply, Use, and Conservation Assessment Guidelines can be found here:<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Policies-and-Procedures/8-2-1-Water-Supply-Use-and-Conservation-Assessment-Guidelines/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Policies-and-Procedures/8-2-1-Water-Supply-Use-and-Conservation-Assessment-Guidelines/</a> | Absolutely not. Other crops don't require security fences, guard dogs etc.  | Agreed    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 170 | L Williams            | 100 foot setbacks are already state law  | Exactly.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)    | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 171 | Lauren Marra          | A way to protect natural habitats would be putting these operations in industrial areas where the infrastructure is already there and no expensive environmental studies will be needed since the area has already been developed. Saves everyone time and allows the businesses to succeed without threatening the environment and endangered species/habitats |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 172 | judith olney          | Protect the larger riparian corridor setbacks defined by the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy, follow additional protections of the Ca Department of Fish and Wildlife mitigation measures and all other state requirements.   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 173 | Gary Allen John Birch | if setbacks are made more extreme then you are taking away value from farmers land  | Thus reducing farmers  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 174 | ron ferraro           | they need setbacks from habitats and riparian corridros   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 175 | Gary Allen John Birch | do you see how these folks simply want to BAN. this is a dishonest discussion.  | Agreed   |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 176 | katherine yates       | Answering this question requires scientific analysis of water flow patterns in any given riparian area. So this analysis needs to be funded somehow   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 177 | Greg Harder           | don't forget about the poachers   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 178 | Moira Jacobs          | Why is it in the public's interest to waste our resources in favor of the profits of the very few?  | Please ask the grape industry  | my cannabis farm provides quality paying jobs for Sonoma County, our taxes benefit Sonoma County.   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 179 | Craig Litwin          | Cannabis cultivators are natural partners for habitat restoration, fire protection work, and water savings.   | Some cultivators actively, in coordination with regulators, put water into the streams for protected species. We should look for these opportunities.    | Not at all! The huge, unsightly, noisy, smelly, light polluting THC drug production site near us looks like an industrial operation, nothing "traditional Ag" about it. It's also a net new fire hazard with electrical, generator and workers living in trailer illegally. This is industrial drug production. It belongs in indoor facilities in industrial zone. | Thanks Craig |           |           |           |           |           |
| 180 | Craig Harrison        | Cumulative water impacts seems to be ignored. Why not study some of the sensitive watersheds such as Matanzas Creek to find the endangered and sensitive species before allowing any pot permitting?  | Please do this with grape as well. Please.   |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 181 | L Williams            | Figure out if you should double state water setbacks, or follow the most strict standards that are already in place for any ag  |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 182 | Gary Allen John Birch | these folks want to stop all cannabis applications and permits without a new law. they are bullies and are using leftist tactics straight out of RULES FOR RADICALS   | Agreed   |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 183 | Diana Barnacle        | The only way to truly protect our environment is to grow it inside using recycled water.  | Not true-please read this article. Read in POLITICO: <a href="https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ">https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ</a> | Not true and do not agree   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 184 | katherine yates       | a hundred foot setback assumes one size fits all, which is never the case in nature   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 185 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | No new commercial water uses in impaired watersheds. Exclusion zones. Please protect our water resources  | Please look at the wine industry   |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 186 | Gary Allen John Birch | too bad anne. my land and family suffers from you not letting us live in peace.   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 187 | L Williams            | 40 acres isn't a problem. Its cleaner than any other farming in the World   | Agreed   |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 188 | Gary Allen John Birch | as boomers stop drinking wine there will be a glut of empty vineyards. the net water use will be lower.   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 189 | Lauren Marra          | Will the county research what other counties such as mendocino have done? And will the county work to understand why marin and napa counties do not allow commercial cannabis?  |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 190 | Anna Ransome          | Cumulative impact studies of all proposed cannabis grows are needed to determine impact on water resources.   |  |   |              |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)       | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 191 | Craig Harrison        | I agree with Ann Storms. In 2016 the county assumed there were 5,000 commercial growers, which was probably exaggerated since most were for their own consumption. They are the 1% What about the 99% who don't gain anything   | Agree!   | Yes             |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 192 | steven sommer         | David how much water are vegetable are using  |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | Michael Ely           | There should be no cannabis farming in Sonoma County. Why shouldn't the costal zone exclusion also apply to the rest of the County  | Do not agree   |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | judith olney          | The County must conduct an independent Water Resource Availability Study for each groundwater basin or watershed, ensuring supply for all current permitted uses, setting acreage caps and other measures to protect against continued groundwater overdraft.   |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | Jonathan Saler        | Many studies will have to be done on each ecosystem where a cannabis farm is or wants to be. For starters, each creek system will have to be monitored several times a year to check for species. This should already be done.  |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | Gary Allen John Birch | no poison serotonin uptake inhibitors like prozac in the toilet. why is grama so mean and filled with poison she pees into the water supply?  | this is innapropriate and it is bullying in my opinion   |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | Bonnie Brown          | Pollinators are in decline and we need to protect ALL habitats. They pollinate the food we need.  | Come and visit my cannabis farm and see all the pollinators I have invited.                                  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | katherine yates       | As with just about every aspect of cannabis grow, funding to research, monitor, and enforce this subject is essential to success. No amount of wonderful rules will matter if they cant be backed by this   | We are visited often.  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | Gary Allen John Birch | the ladybugs and bees around my crops is a thing of beauty and great from the environment and habitat.  |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf   | I am a licensed cultivator I invite anyone to come to my farm and see how I am increasing biodiversity. The amount of predatory birds, wildlife and insects is astonishing compared to what was present previously.<br><br>With the endangered Monarch butterfly spotted often.<br><br>I grow benficals, use mulch, automated irrigation and a covercrop in the down time thus reducing my watere use and retaining moisture in the soil.   | I would love to come and visit and talk with you. How can we encourage good stewardship in all farming.      |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | Lindsay Blyth         | (13)Property Setbacks - Riparian Corridor Stream Conservation Areas. Structures used for cultivation shall be located outside the Riparian Corridor Stream Conservation Areas (RC combining zone) and outside any designated Biotic Habitat area (BH combining zone). Outdoor cultivation areas shall conform to the agricultural Riparian Corridor setback set forth in Section 26-65-040. Outdoor cultivation areas shall conform to the wetland setback set forth in Section 36-16-120, unless a use permit is obtained. |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | L Williams            | Setbacks is the real Key! Follow science, and double state setbacks if you still dont trust what the science say.   | Hope you do this for grapes as well  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | Lindsay Blyth         | County Ordinance 26-88-250.   |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | L Williams            | No wells should be at the same height as nearby streams.  |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 205 | Anna Ransome          | This is why setbacks are so important. Ag allows all kinds of activity in these setbacks but the attempt to classify cannabis as ag has failed. Cannabis production is not considered ag by the state and does not have right-to-farm privileges.   |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | Gary Allen John Birch | give the authoritarian hall monitor grandmas someone to bully around since grandpa left her and the kids cant stand her. she needs someone to bully. choose the topic. grandma can hate with the best of them. i wonder who though this would happen to america when devlopment projects take 5 years to get accomplished. this eliminates all independent family businesses and mandate banks and corps.   | again this kind of othering is no productive   |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | judith olney          | Require much larger setbacks for wells drilled near riparian corridors - groundwater drawdown acually does reduce stream flow, impacting special species.   |  |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | Jean Hegland          | Sonoma County's natural beauty is one of our greatest treasures—and also a draw for tourists. We have to protect our riparian corridors and the creatures who live here   | strongly agree!  | Strongly agree! |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 209 | gail cafferata        | As a pastor, I follow the Golden Rule. What we do for ourselves we should do for others, and for Mother Earth. That means DO NOT POISON our earth with pesticides and herbicides.   | Legal cannabis does not allow for chemicals such as these. I increase biodiversity on my farm not reduce it. |                 |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 210 | Gary Allen John Birch | protection would be the same as now. the ag dept takes this extremely seriously.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 211 | Greg Harder           | 50 years ago there corridors were much smaller a less dense.. Why won't we consider the water loss to excessive foliage. We have stopped the flow so much that the seeds don't get flushed out. Excessive plant material is choking our water supply. This is all recent justification for make people feel good. Quit messing with mother nature. | We used to have more water flowing to flush. Now the water levels are too low  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 212 | Gary Allen John Birch | the setbacks are too extreme compared to other crops.  | The attempt to classify cannabis as ag has failed. Cannabis production is not considered ag by the state and does not have right-to-farm privileges. | It's a DRUG product. We need to first thoroughly test scientifically the exact safety or harms of both THC drug products and CBD based products. Both very different, different potential uses, but still no testing on any of this.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 213 | judith olney          | Protect the larger riparian corridor setbacks defined by the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy, follow additional protections of the Ca Department of Fish and Wildlife mitigation measures and all other state requirements   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 214 | Moira Jacobs          | Protect riparian corridors: ban this. Place only in indoor facilities in industrial zone.  | Please read this article Read in POLITICO: <a href="https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ">https://apple.news/Abfd3TPyGQpGSyU6MXQVlsQ</a>       | We don't need a huge facility. We only need one facility for the testing lab purposes. Marijuana can be grown in industrial Ag areas with water rich environments. The first step is this: prove to society these THC and CBD are safe drugs! So far ZERO scientific proof, only reams of scientific data on the HARMS of THC! | Do not agree  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 215 | CECILE ISAACS         | Protection of riparian corridors requires frequent, scientific monitoring.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 216 | Gary Allen John Birch | double setback are done to penalize cannabis growers   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 217 | Lindsay Blyth         | If you want to protect streams and RC, increasing accessibility to cultivators to legally grow and they will gladly follow laws regarding water.   | Unfortunately, where there is a lot of money to be this doesn't happen.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 218 | Lauren Marra          | We need a thorough EIR. When has the county last assessed and evaluated these areas?   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 219 | Carol Taylor          | There are many other places in California and the US where weed is grown. We are not preventing cannabis production, just preventing it here at least for a moratorium period. The harm caused to the many is far greater than the gain of the few.  | Thousands of cultivators reside in this county. Many families reliant.   | Thousands? Citation please   | I see now a County estimate. Thank you so much for taking the time to answer my questions. I really appreciate your doing that. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 220 | Gary Allen John Birch | cannabis grows are extremely beautiful to most younger generations. just like grandma boomer loved the looks of vineyards.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 221 | Craig Harrison        | Growers are placing wells along Matanzas Creek, a sensitive riparian corridor. They are drawing water from essential riparian habitat. This should be stopped.   | strongly agree!  | For grapevines unfortunately   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 222 | steven sommer         | all agricultue should treated the same set. out in the ag element  | Agree  | Agreed   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 223 | L Williams            | Class three set backs should be state setbacks. all other setbacks should conversed with a BOS meetings.   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 224 | Gary Allen John Birch | the surprise inspections are many and intense. they are rigorous. none of the opposition seems to understand this. they are full of it.  |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 225 | Lori Pascarella       | Many vineyard and dairy owners are willing to convert acreage to cannabis to improve their cash flow to sustain their primary agricultural operations. This trade off of 2 acres per 1 of cannabis results in NET ZERO use and should be continued to be allowed in new ordinance in zone 3 & zone 4   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 226 | L Williams            | Please state current water setback. Class 1,2,3 so public is aware.  |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 227 | Gary Allen John Birch | the new ordinance NEEDS to minimize setbacks to treat cannabis like a normal ag crop   |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)             | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 228 | Craig Harrison        | Parcels without access to municipal water sources often extract water from the stream either through direct diversion from the stream or from near stream wells that intercept subterranean stream flow; or from groundwater wells. Groundwater extraction has the potential to impact groundwater dependent resources and reduce streamflow, especially during the late spring and summer months. | Please looks at the 60000 acres of grapes   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 229 | Craig Litwin          | Create incentives for larger than required setbacks, applicants who work with Conservation Districts to restore riparian areas, and utilize open space money to protect some of these properties that are applying for small grows on large acreage.   | I agree   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 230 | Greg Harder           | again, why discuss this without a plan for water?  |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 231 | Gary Allen John Birch | boomers pee poison in the water. GAY FROGS   | You are acting like a bully. That's really not cool   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 232 | judith olney          | Current County setbacks do not meet state requirements. Protect the larger riparian corridor setbacks defined by the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy, follow additional protections of the Ca Department of Fish and Wildlife mitigation measures and all other state requirements.  | The county cannot supercede the state requirements.   | Not true              |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 233 | steven sommer         | ARE uilding more homes hurting Habitat   |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 234 | Bridget Beytagh       | The County setbacks have been shown to be completely inadequate-a huge problem. They need to change.   |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 235 | Gary Allen John Birch | soon cannabis will be ag by the state and fed  |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 236 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | We need more funding for enforcement of illegal grows using riparian areas for mixing chemicals and withdrawing water.   |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 237 | Lori Pascarella       | Setbacks are more than adequate to address odor mitigation and protect wildlife resources. Cannabis operators are subject to very strict waterboard, CA Dept Fish & Wildlife, county and state regulations to ensure resources are protected. County ordinance should mirror state laws.   | Agreed. No need to reinvent the rules that already exist at the state level.                          | Could not agree more! | Odor is not the only issue. 300 ft setback from the wall of my home, with pesticide area, parking lot, dusty gravel driveway, light pollution, parking lot, noise, vibration, environmental impact, and more all even closer than 300 ft affects families' quality of life and well being. 300 ft is not sufficient. There should be a 1000 ft setback from residential property lines. There are so many viable areas for people to grow without threatening families and neighborhoods. We deserve the right to live peacefully in our homes and yards. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 238 | Craig Harrison        | Any biological studies about riparian habitats must be done in the wet season. Allowed those studies to be done in, say, August, is calculated and intended to make sure you don't find the rare and endangered species.   | Cannabis operators are already subject to complete biotic resource studies, including spring studies. |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 239 | Gary Allen John Birch | ag land has rights that cant be threatened by spiteful old hipocritical silver pony tails.   |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 240 | Diana Barnacle        | What is the disposal process for the soil filled with excess nutrients after cultivation?  |   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 241 | Jean Hegland          | Excellent point about cannabis about not being an agricultural activity. The cultivators themselves refer to "the industry." Cannabis is an industrial product, and does not deserve the same rights that farming does   | It only a matter of time  | Agree                 |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 242 | Craig Harrison        | Whistleblowers should be given a bounty for finding illegal grows and illegal water diversions   | Or fined if they are wrong.   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 243 | L Williams            | in season Bio studies should be mandatory  | they already are!   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 244 | Gary Allen John Birch | organic operators should be given an incentive like cheaper taxes if they are organic like my farm and land is.  | Good idea   |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 245 | Bob Storms            | I agree with Craig Harrison re: wells being drilled on Matanzas Creek now. If there's no oversight now, what will happen if the floodgates are opened??  | Look at grapes  |                       |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 246 | Craig Harrison        | Lindsay: I agree with a fine if there was bad faith. But there are few such claims.  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 247 | Greg Harder           | Instead of pumping the clean recycled water to the Geysers, we should irrigate with it and let it recharge the groundwater as if filters and cleans.   | Agree that our water resources should be protected for agricultural, and community uses, not pumped to the Geysers! | Agreed  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 248 | Carol Bokaie          | I agree  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 249 | Lori Pascarella       | It is clear as you see comments how misinformed the average citizen is about the already strict local and state regulations, inspections and enforcement that is mandated of every single cannabis cultivator. The county should align with state ordinance and stop allowing prohibitions groups to stop progress in development of a viable economic, jobs creating, tax creating agricultural industry.   | Agree   | AGREED!   | Agreed    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 250 | steven sommer         | thans  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 251 | Dave Jefferson        | Wine grapes and wine making is generically referred to as "the wine industry." Does that make wine grape growing as NOT agriculture?   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 252 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf   | reducing the loss of top soil by planting beneficials alongside grants for water catchment; ponds and/or tanks cover crop during down time   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 253 | Gary Allen John Birch | good thing the hateful boomers werent around during the start of the wine industry in norcal. what went so wrong with this entitled and privileged generation? why do they get to keep disrupting and causing problems for all other generations? they have made our country broke, weak, and sadly immoral. and now they cause extreme division with silly mask crap so that they never get sick while the rest of us have our lives damaged and our babies grow up in clown world. when will these charmed old sweet boomers butt out like grandparents used to do? when will they pass on the torch to the next generations and stay out of it? |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 254 | Jonathan Saler        | Studies documented from a 1979 report of rural Sonoma County flora and fauna have already shown a severe reduction in species during the last 40 years. There needs to be a published update and all farmers, grape and otherwise, plus housing developers, should be held accountable for what they do to the land henceforth.  | So many cannabis cultivators should be praised for the regenerative efforts   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 255 | L Williams            | Starving any crop of water is not acceptable. Proving water availability is key. Sustainability is can only go forward from there  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 256 | judith olney          | Conservation mitigation is not enforceable, especially given water self-reporting. Conservation or catchment can NOT reduce a water demand of over 1 million gallons per acre per harvest to 'net zero' – these types of mitigations are not allowed under CEQA.   | should be monitored unlike vineyards  | Water is not "self reporting" water meters are required to record water use. Get the facts!!! |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 257 | Gary Allen John Birch | stop using water as a tool to stop this crop   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 258 | L Williams            | Water catchment is not real, unless you have a pond.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 259 | Anna Ransome          | Catchments are not a benign solution as concentrations of them can de-water entire areas depleting groundwater and surface flows and depriving neighboring parcels of access to water.   | And depriving streams of replenishment.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 260 | steven sommer         | like most vineardshould be on drip   | All vineyard are either on drip or dry farmed.  | Please include the overhead frost protection  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 261 | katherine yates       | Research into trapping and recycling humidity in inside grows sounds very intriguing, as this would lead to one of the most efficient uses of irrigation of cannabis plants.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 262 | Craig Harrison        | Protect Matanzas Creek. The creek and its riparian corridor provide good refuge habitat for amphibians and reptiles, and identified five rare species that are found in this watershed: California giant salamander (special concern); foothill yellow-legged frog (candidate threatened); red-legged frog (federal threatened); reed-bellied newt (special concern); and California freshwater shrimp (federal endangered). How can you approve cannabis operations in this watershed?  | Sounds like this is personal to you, and not about the county as a whole.   | Please look at how much water the grapes in your neighborhood use                             |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 263 | veva edelson          | This format is really hard. I can't read other peoples comments. I can't believe that this counts as visioning and including resident input.   | Agree   | Agreed  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 264 | Jean Hegland          | Wine isn't grown under lights  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 265 | Gary Allen John Birch | boomers cannot keep bulling all next generations after their generation proved that they have made every single wrong decision. arguing with dishonest boomers point by point is a loser waste of time. TRUTH.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 266 | Craig Harrison        | Why do you call marijuana "cannabis"?   | it has been called "cannabis or hemp throughout all of human history" in fact linguists trace the age of " a language by it's use of the word "Hemp" The word "Marijuana" was introduced by Harry Anslinger with his Reefer Madness Campaign in the late 1930's he popularized the word marijuna which has nothing to do with cannabis to get unsuspecting people to vote to ban "Marijuana" without them knowing what they were actually voting for. |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 267 | Gary Allen John Birch | drip is good to control overuse   |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 268 | L Williams            | drip system mandatory   |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 269 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | While I am pleased to hear about new strategies to preserve water we also need to recognise that conditions now are much different than 50 years ago. We don't have the water now than had in the past. We do have more housing and we need to recognise the reality that we can't just keep giving out more permits for commercial water use when we are cutting allotments for current users  |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 270 | Lauren Marra          | There is an interesting new operation under construction near UC Davis right now. (they grow lettuce primarily and are working closely with UC Davis). The operation is within greenhouses and their system uses 95% less water. I think the county could learn a lot from what this operation is doing.<br><a href="https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/gotham-greens-accelerates-growth-with-west-coast-expansion-301237779.html">https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/gotham-greens-accelerates-growth-with-west-coast-expansion-301237779.html</a> |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 271 | judith olney          | The State Department of Food and Ag regulations classify cannabis as a product - it is not a crop and differs significantly from traditional ag   |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 272 | Diana Barnacle        | We are in a drought and all these are great water storage ideas, it's insane we are talking about water storage for pot and not for drinking and growing food.  | Cannabis has been proven to be VERY helpful medicinally. Here's a cited source-<br><a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425767/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK425767/</a>  | Diana please consider updating your vocabulary. Cannabis as it is not referred to has been recognized by the state of California since mid 90s  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 273 | katherine yates       | drip drip drip, and mulch mulch mulch. This can dramatically reduce evaporation and loss  | Agree   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 274 | Gary Allen John Birch | wells are all different depths and rarely interfere with each other. that is a lie.   |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 275 | Carol Bokaie          | no dry farming  |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 276 | Jonathan Saler        | Franz Creek also has California freshwater shrimp.  |   |   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 277 | Moira Jacobs          | Sonoma should focus on being USA Center of testing, collocates with an indoor facility in industrial zone. You all who support this blindly, put your money where your mouth is: prove THC drug products and CBD products are safe. If so great, then produce it in industrial Ag areas in water rich industrial scale farm lands in other areas, not Sonoma. You still have finished step one: testing the product! Even the potency is totally unregulated, untested.   | This is false information. All cannabis is subject to testing by and independent licensed laboratory and must submit a Certificate of Analysis to the state which tests potency of cannabinoids and for any contaminants such as pesticides, molds, trace metals, or other non-cannabis material.   | Not true about testing it as a drug. No FDA testing, no regulatory regime around THC potency, etc, etc. These are drugs, they need public health policy to drive this issue first. If it turns out to cure cancer, great. Then manufacture it in appropriate areas, not Sonoma. | again, there are THOUSANDS of studies showing the safety and medical benefit of cannabis!!! our final cannabis products are tested at higher levels than any other agricultural product in history!!! please educate yourself and stop pushing falsehoods! your organic produce can't pass cannabis cleanliness and safety testing!!! | Oh yes, all those thousands of pro marijuana industry studies....yep I've seen those. No credibility. |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 278 | Craig Harrison        | Why do you call marijuana "cannabis?" Propostion 64, which legalized it, used the word marijuana!  | The scientific name of the marijuana plant is Cannabis Sativa or Cannabis Indica depending upon the flower strain. | It's a marketing ploy. The two chemical compounds being used are THC for hallucinogenic drug production and CBD for various other drug or therapy products. It's time both drug product categories be looked at separately, fully tested for safety and true scientific benefits or harms. Where is the Science!? Have we devolved into snake salesmen? Look at visiting 1860s if you wish. | It's pakalolo in Hawaii. Literally translated, crazy weed. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 279 | Gary Allen John Birch | send ALL farmers to siberia and starve them at work camps. the cannabis consumers will always get their product. it will be supplied by the blacl market as you continue to make cannabis farming impossible for family organic farms.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 280 | Craig Litwin          | The EIR should study the economic viability of cannabis to other crops in relation to water use. Arguably, reducing other crops and development will save more water than cannabis.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 281 | Greg Harder           | adopt the Israel farming techniques.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 282 | Gary Allen John Birch | let the friendly old ladies do a rain dance for us. wacky old timers walk aroud the frontage of my parcle with little hand drunms and do weird dances already. the more pills they take the friendlier they get. please grandma dont pee that poison into the water my babies drink and bath in.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 283 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | The "drought" is our new normal.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 284 | Craig Harrison        | Is "Gary Allen John Birch" also known as "Henry Burbank," or "Henry Edison-Burbank," or "John Galt?" Too many fake names.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 285 | steven sommer         | cannibus grower are required to mesure use   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 286 | L Williams            | Many farms in California are limiting their planting to 75% of their available space. Maybe a Perecnt of reduction should be enforced on all AG  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 287 | Jonathan Saler        | Everyone should be conserving water. Let the color brown be the new rage. Dirt doesn't burn!   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 288 | Gary Allen John Birch | better than a fake person with fake concerns to stop a fake threat in order to bully people.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 289 | Rumer Melendrez       | The same people complaining about water usage for cannabis, are probably the same people that have grass lawns.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 290 | rachel Zierdt         | Update all the county tools such as water ground availability. The current map is old.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 291 | katherine yates       | in times of drought, water-demanding commercial crops such as almonds, alfalfa, grapes, rice, and cannabis should be severely restricted or banned.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 292 | judith olney          | Agree with County and State Agencies: The Department of Water Resources criteria applicable to priority groundwater basins should apply to all new use permits. Hydrogeologic report prepared by a qualified professional must demonstrate and conclude that commercial cannabis water use will not result in 1. Chronic lowering of groundwater levels; 2. Reduction of groundwater storage; 3. Seawater intrusion; 4. Degraded water quality as may happen with recycled water; 5. Land subsidence; or 6. Depletions of interconnected surface water.      |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 293 | Gary Allen John Birch | how are growers supposed to protect themsleves from dishonesty like what we have in this chat?   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 294 | Lauren Marra          | There is an interesting new operation under construction near UC Davis right now. (they grow lettuce primarily and are working closely with UC davis). The operation is within greenhouses and thier system uses 95% less water. I think the county could learn a lot from what this operation is doing. <a href="https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/gotham-greens-accelerates-growth-with-west-coast-expansion-301237779.html">https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/gotham-greens-accelerates-growth-with-west-coast-expansion-301237779.html</a> |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 295 | Gary Allen John Birch | there are maybe 1% of growers here. most have to WORK unlike grandma boomer and HACKS like harrison.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)          | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 296 | Carol Bokaie          | I agree  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 297 | judith olney          | Require verified reports that meet all water use requirements of the State Water Resources Control Board, including demonstration of long-term water availability.   |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 298 | Lori Pascarella       | The county and state already have a successful programs. Cannabis is one of the most heavily regulated, monitored, inspected and enforced industries in California. County should mirror state regulations and not try to make things even more complicated than they already are to do business here!   | Agree   | agree                                    | disagree, there are many operations with violations right now that are not enforced.   |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 299 | veva edelson          | Cannabis cultivators maybe should undergo training similar to that of surgeon. Learning to farm sustainable is an intensive process that takes a long time. Not just knowing about cannabis strains and how to get the most luscious buds and sell for the most money. This is a fetish luxury product.  | This implies that cultivators are uneducated.               |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 300 | Lisa Lai              | if you want cannabis farms in zone 1&2 only there will be more neighbors impact. allow 3&4 if hydro reports say there is enough water. allow hoop houses and require water catchment   | Agree   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 301 | Anna Ransome          | Wasn't water survey data used for the draft ordinance from 1980, 41 years ago? No new projects should be approved until after the EIR is complete and impacts on water resources are adequately studied and projected.   | So needed.  |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 302 | katherine yates       | require all grows to be indoors, and recycle water. Power the grows with solar energy  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 303 | Gary Allen John Birch | the inspections currently are rigorous and intense. this industry is next to impossible  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 304 | Bonnie Brown          | Gary and John, we are not grandma old lady boomers.  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 305 | Gary Allen John Birch | make regs same as state norms  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 306 | judith olney          | Put new cultivation in greenhouses located in industrial and commercial zones requiring all new water conservation measures.   |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 307 | L Williams            | Can't ban plants or ag, but we can regulate it. Lets find a reasonable solution. State regs are very strict as it is.  | Agreed  |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 308 | Lori Pascarella       | Next year appellations programs, organic and sustainable farming certifications will be available to cannabis cultivators. Currently they are not.   | Isn't that in ground only?                                  |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 309 | judith olney          | All water users are required to reduce demand during a drought: Commercial cannabis cultivation and manufacturing should be held to the same standards in terms of required reduction in water use as other commercial uses - residential and commercial users have strict limits with required percentage decreases, and fines attached. The County also has the option to deny permit renewals for plantings during drought conditions |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 310 | Gary Allen John Birch | these neighbors are usually in smaller parcels that were originally broken off of parcels like mine. whay do they have more rights and more of a voice?  |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 311 | Gary Allen John Birch | these people have no respect for cannabis operators. they lie and try to get their neighbors swattees.   |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 312 | steven sommer         | we use less water in hoop houses   | But hoop houses cause visual pollution for other properties | 3 crops, so no, not less.                |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 313 | Greg Harder           | Please explain why we are discussing this program without a water supply. Isn't developing water storage more important?   |   |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |
| 314 | Lisa Lai              | anything required by cannabis should also be required for grapes. cannabis is medical and wine is not. food should be a priority but cannabis over laws  | Yes!  | Do Cheech & Chong use medical marijuana? | cannabis is not medical. FDA approved drugs are medicine. There are cannabis based drugs that are FDA approved on the market which is very different. The majority is recreational | Pretty well agree. | Still it is a drug, a recreational drug. The active ingredient these drug producers market is THC, marketed as "cannabis," since sounds better. THC is listed by State of CA as a toxin, as of January 2020. |           |           |           |
| 315 | Moira Jacobs          | Good, I hope our comments end up on front page of NYT!   | Send them to "60 Minutes" also.                             |  |  |                    |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)        | Answer(s)     | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|---|------------------|---------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 316 | Gary Allen John Birch | hoops houses should be able to be up all year and not 180 days to conserve water and protect plants from opposition views.   | YES! Hoop houses also help mitigate odor drift, prevent crop contamination from other surrounding ag operators spray drift, and improve quality by protecting against excess heat and sun exposure. |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 317 | L Williams            | Polymers in soil to hold hydration, will not work in the cannabis industry. The Cannabis would not pass the one parts per billion. Most likely the uc Davis study  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 318 | rachel Zierdt         | If permits are only for 1 year, the water situation could be more accurately assessed and production dialed up or down depending on rain situation.  | good idea   | '   | Excellent point! |               |           |            |           |           |
| 319 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf   | in ground planting only<br>faster permitting of Greenhouse/mixed light   | what do you do about gophers?   | it is a challenge. increase predatory                           |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 320 | Cameron Hattan        | Traditional agriculture should be worried. This can happen to you too!   | 100%  |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 321 | Bridget Beytagh       | Hoop houses produce 3-4 crops a year, so they actually use more than is being stated   | incorrect- vs. a full season cultivation it would actually be less water used because the plants would be smaller and use less water.   | Still 3-4 crops would use more.                                 |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 322 | judith olney          | In the winter, then hoop houses are protecting plants from natural rainwater.  | using the hoop houses as water catchment actually conserves water and reduces ground water evaporation. the covers can also be easily removed to allow the rain in.                                 |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 323 | Gary Allen John Birch | cannot restrict in in ground.  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 324 | Jonathan Saler        | Again no permits should be issued to out of County land owners. So tired of people only coming here to use Sonoma County's resources and not support it. Live here and farm it!  | Agree   | Agree   | Agree!           | yes, agree!!! | Agree.    | 100% agree | agree     |           |
| 325 | Gary Allen John Birch | same as every other crop   |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 326 | Gary Allen John Birch | he/him/his. cool i got that one right.   |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 327 | Lisa Lai              | let's assume that applicants are professionals who got into the white market to comply. enforcement through education the first time. chronic abusers are rare and should be fined.  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 328 | L Williams            | If you don't fund the enforcement through taxation, none of this will work. 30% of the taxes minimum, should go to County infrastructure.  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 329 | Craig Harrison        | Heavy fines for rule breakers and a percentage of the fines to whistleblowers. The county's notion of enforcement is 8-4 M-F. You need the public to help locate illegal use or over-use, and incentivize the public. Perhaps use those whistleblower funds to establish an independently funded and independently-run enforcement organization not associated with a government agency. |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 330 | Gary Allen John Birch | giving the public private information about their neighbors who they already hate is a terrible control freak idea. bad idea. robust inspections and requirements are already to overbearing. stop treating cannabis farmers like criminals to appease people. they will never be satisfied. give the young cannabis industry a chance to help this county.                              |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 331 | LAURA WALDBAUM        | We need "real time" monitoring of all commercial water uses and impose specific significant fines for use beyond what is permitted. Self reporting is totally meaningless. We have traffic police monitoring traffic speeds - we don't rely on self reporting for speeding violations  | cannabis farmers must have water meters installed in our gardens. we have to report realtime numbers for water usage  |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 332 | CECILE ISAACS         | Can David say more about how audits help self reporting work?  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 333 | judith olney          | Agree with Water Board: Self-reporting of water use is not reliable or enforceable. Use well monitoring equipment and periodic inspections or audits are required.   | agree   | we use well monitors and county and state officials do inspect. |                  |               |           |            |           |           |
| 334 | Anna Ransome          | PD article today implies that it is impossible to determine who is taking the water illegally. Is compliance going to be voluntary?  |   |   |                  |               |           |            |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)    | Answer(s)                          | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 335 | Cameron Hattan        | not true. regenerative cannabis cultivation promotes biodiversity, improves soil health and ground water retention.  | Is the cannabis still grown in mono culture groupings of an acre of the same kind of plant?  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 336 | Kila Peterson         | Cannabis is not the only crop we should be thinking about. Maybe county needs to revamp all criteria for all agriculture and urban development . New time calls for new guidelines for all! Tired of cannabis being the only crop requiring accountability. Transparency for all!  | For ALL! we are tax paying businesses.   |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 337 | katherine yates       | Agreed: no permits issued to out of county operators!  | then we need to decrease the cost of entry and increase the ease at which the small family farmer can enter the regulated market           |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 338 | Bonnie Brown          | Self-control, monitoring, and reporting is problematic. Inspection is expensive and not reliaby done. Don't know the soution.  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 339 | Gary Allen John Birch | can we also hold to account the neighbors who make repeated calls and emails that are proven to be exagerations and lies to be punished for wasting county time and illegally harrassing their neigbors who grow a plant.  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 340 | steven sommer         | May the david department classes on water use  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 341 | Gary Allen John Birch | there is too much enforcement already. its CRAZY. this is a LEGAL crop   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 342 | L Williams            | Set up at least two individuals from the county to work with interagency teams.  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 343 | Carol Taylor          | Monitoring neighboring wells should be part of determining effect on total well water impact.  | good point   |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 344 | Gary Allen John Birch | heaby fines for HACKS who make up stories and waste peoples time like a HACK would do.   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 345 | Moira Jacobs          | Since state of CA has listed THC as a toxin in January 2020, have any studies been done on THC going into ground? Effects on groundwater? On wildlife? On worker health  | What about Prop 65?  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 346 | veva edelson          | If a cultivator is breaking rules, give all their profits to the county for 10 years   | I do not support indentured servitude.   |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 347 | Carol Bokaie          | Why can't the county identify larger tracks of land away from rural residences, forests, and parks, that are accessed by fire safe roads that then can be subdivided into lots for purchased for cannabis growers. During drought truck water into cannabis grows.   | Might be worth giving this idea more thought.  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 348 | Greg Harder           | loss of permit for violations  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 349 | Moira Jacobs          | Loss of license!   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 350 | Lori Pascarella       | The county already has a very intensive inspections and monitoring program through Sonoma County Department of Agriculture and PRMD code enforcement cannabis teams, in addition to all of the state inspections required. It seems like increasing above what is already being done for inspections and enforcement would seem to be more financially motivated by the county, than to actually improve cannabis cultivator accountability. (Cultivators pay fees for inspections as part of their annual permitting process) | Sadly, Ag. Dept. prefers to work with violators - as they keep repeating. This is a very real reason neighbors are fed up. No enforcement. |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 351 | Gary Allen John Birch | to evach their own. not boomers and hacks ruke w an iron fist  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 352 | Craig Harrison        | Greed trumps good behavior every time.   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 353 | katherine yates       | set up a grow certification program through the junior college system that will teach responsible pracrctices, and require all current growers and future applicants to get a certificate from the program.  | interesting idea   | already done |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 354 | Gary Allen John Birch | cultivators barely make profits  | Consider another line of work.   |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 355 | Gary Allen John Birch | most cultivators DON'T make profits.   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 356 | L Williams            | enforcement keeps all of your neighbors happy  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 357 | Gary Allen John Birch | there is a study that shows a psycholgical tweak that happened to the baby boomers that make them have a God Complex.  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 358 | Craig Litwin          | Wells are already monitored, inspections done randomly, and permit holders do lose permits for non compliance. Follow the existing rules!  | Nice.  | Exactly.     | So, why violators still operating? |           |           |           |           |           |
| 359 | Mary Plimpton         | County must adequatley staff for compliance enforcement. - Budget should be augmented from taxes collected from cannabis businesses.   |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |
| 360 | Gary Allen John Birch | neighbors make up lies or get a hack like harrison. and then the inspections suprise the next day and we get AAAA from county and state. this is dishonest and they will not listen. they just want to throw everything they can at this industry  |  |              |                                    |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 361 | judith olney          | <p>Wastewater discharge is a significant issue and unmitigated impact. The County's current permitting requirements do not appear to comply with NCRWQCB requirements, which prohibit discharge into septic systems or on-site treatment systems primarily used on Ag land.</p> <p>NCRWQCB requirements are another incentive to cluster cannabis cultivation, processing and manufacturing on commercial or industrial zoned land with adequate wastewater treatment facilities. The EIR must assess County and city investments in upgrades to accommodate treatment of excess irrigation water or industrial process water at many existing wastewater treatment plants.</p> | <p>Cannabis cultivators have their own State Water Resources Control Board regulations, permitting, inspections, reporting and enforcement program. Why do you feel cannabis needs even more oversight than having its own separate distinct waterboard enforcement program where most other industries do not?!</p> |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 362 | Greg Harder           | Again, please have our board of supervisors create a water supply before any permits for anything are issued.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 363 | Moira Jacobs          | Put one indoor coop facility in industrial zone. Test safety of these drug products first before expanding anywhere.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 364 | rachel Zierdt         | Unfortunately, if current enforcement is an example of how enforcement will go forward, it is very poor. Immediate revocation of permit is needed...fines should be steep....the crop should be destroyed or confiscated. Broad discretion is the verbiage that needs to be deleted.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 365 | Gary Allen John Birch | maybe we should send some of the frienmdly enforcement officials to these neiughbors houses and see their illegal residences, illegal land moving, illegal bed and breakfast, etc.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 366 | judith olney          | Limiting cannabis operations to commercial and industrial zoned lands is important as these areas can be served by water and wastewater facilities. The EIR must assess increased public costs for public utilities, such as the need to upgrade water supply and conveyance facilities, wastewater treatment plants, landfills and compost facilities. The County has many separate Sanitation Districts, some with limited capability to deal with industrial waste streams, such as pesticide residue or high organic constituents from hydroponic operations that generate large quantities of wastewater   | Thanks for bringing your expertise to this discussion!   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 367 | Gary Allen John Birch | these folks are throwing stones from illegal glass houses.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 368 | Richard Rudnansky     | It is never good public policy to reward bad behavior. Revoke permits for violations.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 369 | Gary Allen John Birch | call them into enforcement for their broken rules and there should be zero double standard.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 370 | L Williams            | 40 acres is .0006667 percent of vines. the permits should be expanded.  | Agree!   | Oh don't worry, they want 65.000 acres. Read the ordinance |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 371 | Gary Allen John Birch | i want to see water rights for landowners not be interferred with at all  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 372 | judith olney          | Have not addressed wastewater discharge requirements  | Water board already addresses this!  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 373 | katherine yates       | A recent study by the Petaluma Groundwater Sustainability Agency found the groundwater basin in the NORTHWEST area of the valley is in decline. As this region has one of the greatest densities of residential wells, there should be a ban on any grow operations in this region.   | Thank you for joining us Katherine! Please send us a copy of that study, we would really appreciate it. We want to make sure the County has all the resources they need: Cannabis@sonoma-county.org  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 374 | Cameron Hattan        | Is this just a forum for "fact free" complaining or are the comments citing "real studies" going to be included in the discussion?  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 375 | judith olney          | Wastewater discharge is a significant issue and unmitigated impact. The County's current permitting requirements do not appear to comply with NCRWQCB requirements, which prohibit discharge into septic systems or on-site treatment systems primarily used on Ag land.<br><br>NCRWQCB requirements are another incentive to cluster cannabis cultivation, processing and manufacturing on commercial or industrial zoned land with adequate wastewater treatment facilities. The EIR must assess County and city investments in upgrades to accommodate treatment of excess irrigation water or industrial process water at many existing wastewater treatment plants. | This is already regulated by water board with separate cannabis program!!! |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 376 | Greg Harder           | we need to adopt so. cals methods of water capture and recycleing  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 377 | Carol Bokaie          | Why can't the county identify larger tracks of land away from rural residences, forests, and parks, that are accessed by fire safe roads that then can be subdivided into lots fo purchase or lease by cannabis growers. During drought truck water into cannabis grows.   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 378 | Gary Allen John Birch | i want to see water rights for landowners not be interferred with at all. this is my god given natural right that bolsheviks in disguise should not be able to touch.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 379 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf   | We need to be able to truck in wter during emeregencies. Especially since many cultivators in California have helped to fight off fires from spreading   | So, where does all this trucked water come from??                          |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 380 | L Williams            | discharge should be regulated by the waterboard, they are the pros. they aren't slouches!  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 381 | judith olney          | The County should heed the findings of the Napa Report <a href="https://www.winebusiness.com/content/file/9111_Report_082019.pdf">https://www.winebusiness.com/content/file/9111_Report_082019.pdf</a>   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 382 | Greg Harder           | For the Presenters:  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 383 | Cameron Hattan        | crc.berkeley.edu multiple comments/ emails citing this study   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 384 | Greg Harder           | Who owns the water below our gound?  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 385 | Gary Allen John Birch | trucked water also helps fight fires.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 386 | Jonathan Saler        | This year should be a year of recording effects of the drought on plant demise, stress on trees, wildlife, etc. Who is recording what's happening in various areas? Noticed many more butterflies, baby lizards and toads so someone is happy.   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 387 | Anna Ransome          | Water Board could have a adequate budget for inpections on cannabis wastewater discharge   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 388 | steven sommer         | Discharge would be important industrial growe  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 389 | L Williams            | Lake County has 1000 foot setbacks from certain residential areas  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 390 | Gary Allen John Birch | stop trying to mess with my private land and well. you dont have the right.  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 391 | Moira Jacobs          | What are ALL the costs of this drug promotion program? Licensing, enforcement, safety, law enforcement, increased traffic, impacts on water resources, fire safety, light pollution, public health, children education on dangers to their brains and hearts, whatever are ALL the COSTS, please add them up. We need to know full costs of entire program.  | Echo that hope! We need to know full costs of entire program.              | Cannabis is a medicinal plant. People deserve access to their medicine grown locally. Many children have benefited from cannabis rather than strong and harmful pharmaceuticals | <a href="https://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/news/santa-rosa-girl-who-takes-medical-cannabis-to-treat-seizures-attends-her-fi/">https://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/news/santa-rosa-girl-who-takes-medical-cannabis-to-treat-seizures-attends-her-fi/</a> |           |           |           |           |           |
| 392 | judith olney          | Cumulative water demand must be assessed: Many operations harvest 2-3 times annually, the County must determine cumulative impact for current permitted acreage at 1-3 million gallons/acre/year, assess water availability and then set acreage caps by watershed or groundwater basin  | Agree  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 393 | Lori Pascarella       | State Water Resources Control Board already has a separate canabis program that all cultivators must submit for permit from, provide site management plan, monitor uses, be subject to regular inspection, report use and discharge. No need to duplicate stuff that is already intensely regulated at the state level!  |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 394 | Gary Allen John Birch | monitor water for pharmacuedical drugs. if they are in there (which they are) then the local neighbors who have poluted the water supply should be HELD ACCOUNTABLE. these poisins are way more damaging than anything canabis does.   |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 395 | Jim Barnacle          | what happens to the soil in these grow bags after harvest... does this affect runoff safety?   | Soils are amended and re-used.   |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

| #   | Asker Name            | Question   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|-----------------------|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 396 | Craig Litwin          | Encourage commercial cannabis as supplemental farming on land with other crops. Farmers can reduce water on one use to accomodate cannabis farming. This increases the value per gallon of water used, helping the local economy while saving water. | 100%   | Agreed! Diversification of agricultural uses and resources makes much more sustainable operations! |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 397 | Gary Allen John Birch | the water reporting and monitoring is already too intrusive. it is not the right of government to meddle with my properties water rights.  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 398 | CECILE ISAACS         | Water trucks are too heavy for our local unpaved roads.  | Agree!   |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 399 | Greg Harder           | can anyone comment on the private property rights?   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 400 | Gary Allen John Birch | there is not a shortage of water in my deep wells. i paid for this property for that reason. why do i have to sujetc myself to this authoritarian obstacle course to satisfy a minority anti-cannabis agenda?  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 401 | gail cafferata        | Please stop using the expression "ideal cannabis growth site" . It assumes there is an ideal site. There should be NO site, let alone an Ideal site.   | Agree! Please stop using your biased language promoting this environmentally costly program. |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 402 | L Williams            | Thank you for this discussion!   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 403 | Dr. Natasha Khalouf   | Thank you for helping support dialogue!  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 404 | Gary Allen John Birch | cannabis industry are being discriminated against. this is unjust.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 405 | Bridget Beytagh       | Many people suggest trucking in water, but, where does the water come from??   | it's fantasy water   | city   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 406 | steven sommer         | beside lookinking righjt to farm would look at the ag elemrnt of general plan  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 407 | veva edelson          | We should not assume that the cannabis industry belongs in Sonoma. The original intent was to bring small local farmers into compliance. Instead we are seeing an industry emerge that has little empathy for residents concerns.                    | Agree  | 👉  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 408 | Gary Allen John Birch | this is torture for cannabis operators. so many lies and so much dishonesty by spoiled brat old folks.   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 409 | Gary Allen John Birch | thanks everyone  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| # | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|---|---|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | <a href="https://www.saveoursonoma.com/">https://www.saveoursonoma.com/</a>   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 2 | Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development cannot be located within the Biotic Habitat Combining Zone, pursuant to Section 26-66, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code. (Chapter 38)  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 3 | This is a long timeline. What happens to applications already in the pipeline?  | Leona Judson                               | Hi Leona! Thank you for joining us tonight. Feel free to email the County at Cannabis@sonoma-county.org regarding your question. | 80 year old pothole lawyers will shut everything down |           |           |           |           |           |
| 4 | i've heard from friends that crystal acker is very good at her job. i hope cannabis operators can be treated fairly without devoting so much time to this redo. Chapter 38 was a good start but needs to add tasting rooms and full year long hoop houses for many reasons. paying attn to 75 year old POTHOLE LAWYERS and folks that may pass away before this ordinance is apporved is ludacris. lets not make monsters out of these folks like harrison anymore. permits need to be ministerial. if not the cannabis operators will be tormented for years to come. sorry for any frustrations but this is a nightmare having to chat w manipulating nimbys twice a day for days in a row to defend ourselves from lies and scare tactics. great job to staff and i pray you understand how overreprsented the boomernimbies are. please give this young industry and young family farmers a chance to thrive and enjoy their lives. why do 75 year old POTHOLE LAWYER HACKS get to fling mud at this process for 3 years. how do you defend from lies?! | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 5 | Wetlands Setbacks. Outdoor and hoop house canopy must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 36-16-120, of Chapter 36, of the Sonoma County Code. All other development must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 11-14-110, of Chapter 11, of the Sonoma County Code.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 6 | Riparian Corridor Setbacks. New permanent structures must be located outside the Riparian Corridor Stream Conservation Area, Section 25-65. Outdoor and hoop house cultivation canopy must conform to the agricultural setback set forth in Section 26-65-040. Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development must comply with all provisions of the Riparian Corridor combining zone district, pursuant to Section 26-65, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code, including setback requirements. C. Biotic Habitat Areas. Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development cannot be located within the Biotic Habitat Combining Zone, pursuant to Section 26-66, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code. D. Wetlands Setbacks. Outdoor and hoop house canopy must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 36-16-120, of Chapter 36, of the Sonoma County Code. All other development must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 11-14-110, of Chapter 11, of the Sonoma County Code.                               | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)          | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|--------------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 7  | Habitat and Special Status Species.<br>1. An application under this chapter shall include a biotic resource assessment prepared by a qualified biologist that demonstrates concludes that the cannabis cultivation site area and related structures and development will not impact sensitive or special status species habitat; and<br>2. If the cannabis cultivation site is area and related structures and development are located within a designated critical habitat area, then one of the following criteria must be met:<br>a. The biotic assessment concludes that "take" of a listed species within the meaning of the federal and California Endangered Species Acts is not reasonably foreseeable; or<br>b. Applicant obtains all appropriate permits from the applicable state and federal agencies with jurisdiction over the listed species. | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 8  | Creeks, rivers, water table, Esteros, wells, the ocean.  | Valorie Dallas                             |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 9  | protect the creeks and waterways the exact same as other crops   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 10 | With the Governor calling for all Californians to reduce our water by 15%, how can you allow for continued growth of Cannabis growth? Whether outdoors or indoors, vineyards, orchards, residential developments, or cannabis, all use water and to increase ANY development is an increase, when we've been asked to decrease.  | Victoria De Crescenzo                      |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 11 | Treat cannabis the same as any other agricultural use  | Joanna Cedar                               |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 12 | There are several studies that have been recently conducted and published around Cannabis water use compared to other crops. It is far less water intensive across the board.  | Sam De La Paz                              |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 13 | Don't forget the at risk aquifers  | Leona Judson                               |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 14 | protect cannabis farms and their wells from 80 year olds pharmacuedical poisons they pee in the water table.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 15 | The impact of grapes on water is actually far greater when you actually factor in processing to make a bottle of wine.   | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                        | Show us your data. | <a href="https://issuu.com/metrosiliconvalley/docs/north_bay_cannabis_gatefold_2021">https://issuu.com/metrosiliconvalley/docs/north_bay_cannabis_gatefold_2021</a> |  |           |           |           |           |
| 16 | Have the cannabis farms lead in water conservation projects  | Valorie Dallas                             |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 17 | A major goal of the EIR: protect our water resources on a sustainable yield basis and avoid long term decline.   | Brantly Richardson                         | I agree            |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 18 | Cannabis should not be considered an agricultural crop   | Carol Bokaie.com                           |                    |   | 2.0 acre feet of water is still three times the use as grapes for just one crop. The county proposes 65000 acreage of cannabis. so that's 3 times for 65000 acres. |           |           |           |           |
| 19 | The 2.0 acre feet of water mentioned that cannabis uses. Is that for one harvest?  | rachel zierdt                              | good question!     | Excellent question. I believe that rate assumes one harvest.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | cannabis farmers cannot use toxic pesticides and poisons. cannabis is the most tested crop their is. the cleanest farms you have in the whole county are cannabis farms  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |                    |   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 21 | Please address the decrease that has been asked via the govenor   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | Thanks for joining us! We are in the start of a three year process, feel free to send us an email Cannabis@sonoma-county.org. You can also learn more about the process here and timeline: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |  |  |             |           |           |           |
| 22 | the point of the EIR is to take away the ability for 80 year old POTHOLE LAWYERS to appeal the adoption of CHAPTER 38+  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  | the county wants to increase it to be 65000 acres. this is unsustainable |             |           |           |           |
| 23 | Cannabis is a relatively high water use product: Why does Sonoma assume 2.0 AFY per harvest when Napa assumes 3.38 AFY -  | judith olney                               | Both yours and Napa county's numbers are incorrect...Cannabis uses a lot less water than other agro crops and has a MUCH smaller canopy...Grapes - 65,000 acres. Cannabis <40  | It is all dependant on temperature, hydrologic state and farming practices. I am unsure how Napa could have any data on Cannabis as they have restricted cultivation in Napa. I am sorry, but unless we are going to focus on water use of 65,000 acres of grapes... this is a moot point. | agree  | yes, indeed |           |           |           |
| 24 | Sonoma County is in the middle of a historic drought. While it is possible that we will get rain this year, I believe that it is clear that significant drought years will be our reality for the foreseeable future. There is no question that we do not have adequate water this year for existing uses in the county, including residential uses, grapes, etc. Unfortunately Sonoma County's groundwater map is also quite old, meaning that there isn't adequate information about our water future. Going forward, we are expected to build in excess of 20,000 homes in the County (Generation H states we need to build 58,000 homes), on top of new agricultural demands, and cannabis cultivation. There is no way we can know whether there is adequate water available for all of these new uses, and we will not know any answers until completion of the cannabis EIR. The EIR will evaluate how much water is available and where it is, about groundwater recharge needs, etc. | Sonia Taylor                               | I agree. We need more data and figure out water use for everyone as climate changes  | agree!   |  |             |           |           |           |
| 25 | We need to encourage rainwater catchment/storage across the State and as constituents we all need to REALLY understand where water is going... Mendo being pumped to Sonoma, Sonoma pumped to Marin and then we have large bottled water Corps selling our own water back to us.  | Sam De La Paz                              |  |  |  |             |           |           |           |
| 26 | Groundwater, for sure!  | Caroline Koss                              |  |  |  |             |           |           |           |
| 27 | Mr. Kuszmar's comments regarding different water demand of uses are helpful. However, when we evaluate new water demands, we need to evaluate our limited water sources perhaps in a worse case scenario of multiple consecutive years of drought. This would apply for any new development/project.  | Arthur Deicke                              | I agree  | It is not new in this county just now regulated.   |  |             |           |           |           |
|    | Cannabis as a new project may displace agriculture, then if winegrapes, for example, then the outcome may be less water usage.  |  |  |  |  |             |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---|--|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 28 | Average per acres production for cananbis: 5.7 million<br><br>cultivation tax on total production: 4.1 million<br><br>VERSUS Grapes<br><br>Average per acre production for wine grapes: \$11,000<br><br>Cultivation tax: ZERO<br><br>SOURCE:<br><a href="https://issuu.com/metrosiliconvalley/docs/north_bay_cannabis_gatefold_2021">https://issuu.com/metrosiliconvalley/docs/north_bay_cannabis_gatefold_2021</a>   | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                        | I am beginning to understand the real issue here is trust. How do we build trust?  | Instead of this vocal and at times hostile oppostion let's build a bridge. Tjose of us that have entered the regulated market are trying to do the right thing. I welcome anyone to my farm where I have increased biodiversity with an abundance of wildlife; birds, insects and even endangered species. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 29 | The county has not reassessed the four water availability zones in recent years. This is a critical issue for Sonoma County, especially with the drought we are currently in. There needs to be a detailed study of the zones as part of the EIR. In the meantime until water availability is reassessed there should be a moratorium on all permits – including those currently in pipeline.   | Becky Evenich                              | Building trust requires that the county act in good faith and enforce what it passes. Too many operators have been allowed to flaunt the rules and get away with it. Also trust requires that the growers understand that the process of permitting in anything in the county is hard...try getting a permit for a generator...its a big deal...so yes, a product such as cannabis needs regulation since it affects the quality of life here in the county. |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 30 | Do a baseline analysis and determine all current and projected water usage needs in the County. Inncude all uses (residential, agricultural, commercial and industrial) then determine the amount of water available for new uses under 1. drought conditions and 2. historical averages.   | Brantly Richardson                         | Agree  | as long as that baseline includes pre 2018 cannabis farms  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 31 | While you may not be addressing policy, the govenor has addressed the drought. Therfor, you should put a moratorium on all development until we address the drought. Sonya's comments are spot on-drought is the issue!   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | The suggestion by some in the industry is follow the state...why reinvent...so we should therefore follow the state in calling for a decrease in use....   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 32 | Both State of Calif Fish and Game and NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE pointed out in their comments to the recent draft that surface water and well water are linked! Cannabis grower can't use one without affecting all water sources. We are in a drought  | Bill Krawetz                               | agree  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 33 | the average age of the anti cannabis folks is like 75-85 years old. they are using facts and stats from the 80s. they have no interest to learn the new facts. its so funny and maddening how these bommernimby's get to steal our life energy in this way on their way out of this world. why do they feel entitled to do this to this bautiful young industry that can keep this county thriving for generartion past the age of these 80 year old lawyers? | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | negative energy here   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 34 | Net Zero Water Plans and the viability of catchment basins have not been adequately studied – they may interfere with groundwater recharge and impact neighboring wells:  | judith olney                               | More data needed!  | "Net zero" should be dropped as a term. Who decided they have a net zero impact?   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 35 | Cannabis "development" is not new development...and its water use is not new use...just new permitted use. Also, Sonoma County has a hemp program that is not required to meter and measure their water use. Stop scapegrating cannabis farmers.  | Joanna Cedar                               |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 36 | Projection is for a La Nina next year=less rainfall.  | Ann Storms                                 |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 37 | Prohibit cultivation in the already impaired watersheds. Water intensive use will further exacerbate conditions.  | Brantly Richardson                         |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 38 | i like lenny kravitz  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 39 | Align the water requirements with the state water board requirements  | Joanna Cedar                               |  |   | with more than 60,000 acres of grapes cannabis is not the problem.   |           |           |           |           |
| 40 | I am very concerned about ground water in residential parts of unincorporated Sonoma County where we depend on wells to survive. Thousands of residents will be impacted if the aquifer water levels are drawn down to the point wells can no longer produce water. | Jeff McConathy                             | I agree  | '+1   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 41 | All uses should have their water use metered and monitored, and publicly available.   | Sonia Taylor                               | Why do we allow cannabis growers (or anyone else) to read their own meters and report their own usage? Require smart meters and send the information to the cloud. No cheating allowed. Our mutual water company invested in smart meters and they alert us to a leak. |   | agree  |           |           |           |           |
| 42 | Prohibit cultivation in groundwater availability zones 3 and 4 and other areas where groundwater supplies are limited, uncertain and vulnerable to overdraft and reduced water recharge.  | Brantly Richardson                         | I agree  | agree   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 43 | Water would not be allowed to be trucked into a grow site.  | Virginia Hair                              | Why when all other crops or agriculture can? Please provide unbiased reasoning.  |   | Trucking of recycled water risks contamination of confined aquifers - especially related to nitrogen and organic chemicals |           |           |           |           |
| 44 | No trucking of water or recycled wastewater under all circumstances   | Brantly Richardson                         | truckng water is currently not allowed ut should be in emergencies   | Why this bias only toward Cannabis. Emergency crop loss is serious in ALL agricultural practices. | agree  |           |           |           |           |
| 45 | Water demand is based on inches of irrigation/ year or acre-feet – water should never be allocated based on the profitability of the product produced.  | judith olney                               | Agree!   | Yes. Otherwise we would only have wine and pot and no lettuce!                                    |  |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Cannabis is highly regulated and pesticide, heavy metal testing is mandated by the state. Even if we had 5k acres of Cannabis in our county, it would not touch the 65,000 of comparitively unregulated pesticide and fertilizer use.                               | Sam De La Paz                              | We also need to focus on runoff from ALL agriculture, not just Cannabis.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | Dry farm for all growing from tomatoes to cannabis  | Valorie Dallas                             | agree - we all need to further explore dry farming techniques! Our apples are totally dry farmed.  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | setbacks the same as other crops. organic farms get better tax rates  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | water trucks help for fire prevention   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | carbon footprint from trucking water... be real  |   |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|--|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 50 | Water impacts of cannabis production are quite different in upland aquifers than in groundwater basins. Water use in the groundwater basins is subject to the requirements of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. Groundwater basins are alluvial and store significant amounts of water available for use year round. The SGMA is intended to allocate water among users so that cumulative withdrawals from the basin do not exceed seasonal recharge. Streamflow impacts are managed by assuring that the basin is not overdrawn. Water use impacts of cannabis production in ground water basins should be adequately mitigated by compliance with the requirements of the SGMA. | Harriet Buckwalter                         | Upland aquifers are not subject to SGMA and are generally fractured rock aquifers with highly variable storage and yields from area to area depending upon the underlying geology. Upland fractured rock aquifers store accumulated winter rain which is gradually released into streams thus maintaining the year round streamflow necessary to sustain the migration and reproduction of rare and endangered salmon. Monitoring and modeling hydrology studies have shown that upland waters are already over allocated and the stream flows are marginal at best for salmon survival. | agree     |  |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | Have the cannabis farms lead in water conservation projects. That will create trust in our community.  | Valorie Dallas                             | Yes most of us have BMPs with conservation in mind.  |           | There are also regenerative methods that can be used to reduce water use heavily, as Natasha stated above. These solutions should be explored and even incentivized. In hopes to encourage ALL ag practices to consider these solutions. |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | Grants for rainwater catchment.<br><br>Best management practices such as mulching, automated irrigation and the addition of beneficial plants  | Dr. Natasha Khalilouf                      | agree  | Yesss     |  |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | fire trucks if you can get them on the single narrow country roads, not paid for by the county   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | you want to have me as a neighbor. I have a big filled water truck and I have hosed down before fires. I would help save my 80 year old neighbors houses too. no one but the old generation around because they made the rules different so that they will be the last rich and free generation. thanmks grandpa.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 55 | no more limiting cannabis by stupid boomer spiteful suggestions.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 56 | W  | Mandy Clendenen                            |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 57 | no poison pesticides at vineyard or cannabis operators can sue   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name         | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--------------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 58 | Five upland watersheds in Sonoma County are designated as "impaired" for stream flow and have been targeted by the State Department of Fish and Wildlife and the State Water Resources Control Board for improved protections and management in order to maintain and restore salmon habitat.<br><br>The State has invested significant amounts of public funds to study and to manage and restore these watersheds. Most recently, the State Wildlife Conservation Board funded the Sonoma RCD to assist private landowners in the Mark West Watershed to build rain water capture and storage systems in order to reduce late season ground use and help maintain stream flow. To date, nearly 500,000 gallons of capture and storage has been built at a public cost of half a million dollars. | Harriet Buckwalter | Monitoring and modeling studies on the Mark West and Green Valley watersheds demonstrate that current flows are critically low in the fall of the year can be adversely impact by the extraction of additional ground water in the amounts required for cannabis production. Cannabis production, based on actual measurements, consumes between 1.5 and 3 million gallons of water per year per acre with the heaviest usage coming late in the year when stream flows are at their lowest. Even one such operation would more than offset the water savings accomplished to date and reduce late season stream flows below critical levels.<br><br>It is strongly recommended that no cannabis production operations be allowed in | No cultivation in the impaired watersheds. Period. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 59 | Who would then monitor all these regulations?  | Mandy Clendenen    | Thanks for joining us Mandy! We will be talking about accountability later in the session!   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 60 | Dry farming, also called Dryland Farming, the cultivation of crops without irrigation in regions of limited moisture, typically less than 20 inches (50 centimetres) of precipitation annually. Dry farming depends upon efficient storage of the limited moisture in the soil and the selection of crops and growing methods that make the best use of this moisture. Tilling the land shortly after harvest and keeping it free from weeds are typical methods, but in certain latitudes stubble is left in the fields after harvest to trap snow. Moisture control during crop growing consists largely of destruction of weeds and prevention of runoff. The ideal soil surface is free of weeds but has enough clods or dead vegetable matter to hinder runoff and prevent erosion.           | Carol Bokaie.com   | Agree.   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 61 | Test of wells of adjacent neighbors in water zones 1 and 2 should be current. Stop accepting tests that are 30 years old. Yield and water levels may have changed.   | Brantly Richardson | Must be adequate protection against well interference. Deny a new use permit if the hydrogeology report demonstrates the project water demands draw from the zone of influence of neighboring wells or if there is any drawdown on neighboring wells.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 62 | In drought years, cannabis operators (and others) should have as a condition of their permits that they could lose their rights to water, if necessary to protect our rivers, streams, habitats, groundwater, etc.   | Sonia Taylor       | This is exactly what happened to current water rights along Russian River watershed  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 63 | Riparian Corridor Setbacks. New permanent structures must be located outside the Riparian Corridor Stream Conservation Area, Section 25-65. Outdoor and hoop house cultivation canopy must conform to the agricultural setback set forth in Section 26-65-040. Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development must comply with all provisions of the Riparian Corridor combining zone district, pursuant to Section 26-65, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code, including setback requirements.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 64 | Crops adapted to dry farming may be either drought resistant or drought evasive. Drought-resistant crops, such as sorghum, are able to reduce transpiration (emission of moisture) and may nearly cease growing during periods of moisture shortage, resuming growth when conditions again become favourable. Drought-evasive crops achieve their main growth during times of year when heat and drought conditions are not severe. Crops adapted to dry farming are usually smaller and quicker to mature than those grown under more humid conditions and are usually allotted more space.<br><a href="https://www.britannica.com/topic/dry-farming">https://www.britannica.com/topic/dry-farming</a><br><br>How is cannabis drought resistant or drought evasive? | Carol Bokaie.com                           | Cannabis cannot be dry farmed   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 65 | Allow operators to manage their farms with more flexibility for best water management. Flexibility means rotation, smaller setbacks  | Joanna Cedar                               |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 66 | 38 was a great start. we do want to look at that   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 67 | Sonoma County residents are taking "boat showers", i.e. 3 minutes, for the foreseeable future. Should we seriously be considering a water-thirsty plant like pot?  | Ann Storms                                 | Cannabis is considered a medicine by many including children not a luxury such as wine with little medicinal value.<br><br>The people of sonoma would like access to locally grown cannabis.<br><br><a href="https://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/news/santa-rosa-girl-who-takes-medical-cannabis-to-treat-seizures-attends-her-fi/">https://www.pressdemocrat.com/article/news/santa-rosa-girl-who-takes-medical-cannabis-to-treat-seizures-attends-her-fi/</a> |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 68 | andrew and his office worked on that for years.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 69 | Cultivators as well as other Ag should not only be limited to groundwater use. Catchment is a major solution and provides wildfire preparedness storage.   | Sam De La Paz                              |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 70 | Evaluation of water use by cannabis operators should include evaluation of water needed for each expected crop, to ensure that there is water available for up to four crops a year.   | Sonia Taylor                               | Our water is monitored and reported annually.   | Only the water sources declared on the application - not all sources on the parcel. And it's self-reported, which leaves it vulnerable to dishonesty. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 71 | the supervisors want a new bill attached to an EIR request and budget. START with the apporvable CHAPTER 38.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 72 | For ideas, please make sure that you look at the massive amount of work product that came out of the Cannabis Advisory Group.  | Joanna Cedar                               |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 73 | protect farmers from new onerous regs that the insatiable opposition may pull out of their....   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 74 | It is strongly recommended that no cannabis production operations be allowed in upland watersheds (County Water Availability Zones 3 and 4) and in particular watersheds already designated as impaired.   | Harriet Buckwalter                         |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 75 | Study rainwater catchment reservoirs (net zero water plans) and determine if they interfere with groundwater recharge of the aquifers and/or downstream flow. The term, "net zero" is a euphemism until scientific studies are conducted.  | Brantly Richardson                         | Agree with studies and data being necessary. While we are at it, let us look at the heavy traditional Ag uses and pumping of water from Sonoma to Marin. There is some serious data there that can surface more of our water than anyone may realize. | I agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 76 | cannabis farmers love the environment and pollute it less than any other industry. look at the testing standards. they are the strictest. the cleanest farm you can have in your neighborhood is a cannabis farm.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | Agreed  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 77 | Agree with CDFW - carrying capacity analysis is required with a limit on density or acreage of cultivation sites   | judith olney                               |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 78 | Thank you Mia!   | Valorie Dallas                             |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 79 | The worst thing to put in a region with limited water is a house. It's also the last thing that will ever be planted on a parcel. Cannabis is an annual plant, unlike grapes. Any discussion of drought mitigation and economic conversion due to climate change should centrally include cannabis as its flexible and provides significant tax revenue per acre.  | Joanna Cedar                               |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 80 | Can cannabis be dryfarmed if it is grown in bags? Dryfarming conserves the water in the ground after the rainy season by weeding so the water does not go into the weeds.  | Carol Bokaie.com                           |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 81 | Agree that we should look at all water use in the impaired watersheds. Water use should be prioritized for people and fish. Water for any commercial use should be limited. Big residential use should also be limited.  | Harriet Buckwalter                         |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 82 | A "successfully protecting natural habitats and species" program would look better than we found it. We must acknowledge that most of these areas have been compromised over the years by human activities.  | Bill Krawetz                               | I agree   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 83 | Exclude all cannabis production in impaired watersheds.  | Harriet Buckwalter                         |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 84 | EIR or CEQA to protect species.  | Valorie Dallas                             |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 85 | LOVE sarah and BJ are great at this format. quite enjoyable.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 86 | Protect all aquatic species in rivers, streams, water sheds.   | Valorie Dallas                             |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 87 | Biotic Habitat Areas. Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development cannot be located within the Biotic Habitat Combining Zone, pursuant to Section 26-66, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code.<br>D. Wetlands Setbacks. Outdoor and hoop house canopy must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 36-16-120, of Chapter 36, of the Sonoma County Code. All other development must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 11-14-110, of Chapter 11, of the Sonoma County Code.           | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 88 | Put water planning on the front burner. Share the environmental technical studies in the cannabis EIR with the required update of the General Plan. The EIR for the General Plan was completed in the year 2000. The tech studies and analyses relating to water are also out of date (by 40 years?). If those tech studies are not brought up to date, they should not be used in the cannabis EIR. Otherwise the the cannabis EIR will not be based on current facts. Why do all this work with out-of-date figures? | Brantly Richardson                         |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)          | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)                                  | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--------------------|--|-----------|--|-----------|
| 89  | lenny kravitz  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 90  | Thank you Mia! Compared to every other type of agricultural practice conducted in Sonoma County, or in California for that matter. It is the cleanest and least pollutive ever to be seen. Cannabis the most transparent testing and pollution aversion of any farming practice and has more regenerative farmers than any industry to date. | Sam De La Paz                              |  |   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 91  | Education on what that is. The cannabis growers by me plowed up all the badger holes of their property and probably had no idea they were. I imagine they did not do this on purpose.  | Valorie Dallas                             | I will assure you those neighbors were also not "permitted" to do so...  |   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 92  | As an annual plant - then during drought the permit renewal should be denied   | judith olney                               | Why would grapes be allowed then?  | Grape vines or orchards are not annual - must lower irrigation but do not kill the plant  | I agree            | sensitive habitats identified by biologists are prohibited from being eligible for cultivation. However, I will say that through my cultivation methods and stewarding of the land I have actually supported wildlife including endangered species such as the monarch butterfly by providing a food source such as milkweed and other nectar bearing flowers. |           | thank you for providing food for monarchs. |           |
| 93  | Protect parcels identified with sensitive habitats and/or special status species. Follow the CA Dept of Fish and Wildlife recommendation to use Geo Spatial analysis to map boundaries at parcel scale.  | judith olney                               | I agree  | agree   | have to AGREE here |  |           |  |           |
| 94  | treat cannabis like any other crop in the county. this is sonoma county. our seal has AGRICULTURE, INDUSTRY, RECREATION. it doesnt say retired residential 80 year old hack pothole lawyers.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | I disagree   | about what?   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 95  | Cannabis does not pollute the waterways with pesticides...unlike vineyards   | Joanna Cedar                               |  |   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 96  | Mia did a great job in listing possible inclusion in an ordinance:<br>* Adequate water storage approved by an expert,<br>* Setbacks to avoid run off of pesticides, and other erosion.<br>* No diversion during low water flows, which will come every summer.   | Leona Judson                               | I agree  | Yes to no diversions during low water flow  |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 97  | dumb question: can indoor-grown cannabis be grown as a perennial, since climate can be controlled? would that reduce water use?  | Caroline Koss                              | no   | It's a great question! While cannabis cannot be grown as a perennial, multiple crops (i.e., harvests) per year are possible when using mixed-light and indoor growing methods. This may explain why the County assumes 2.0 acre-ft/acre/yr for outdoor grows, and 4.0 acre-ft/acre/yr for indoor grows. |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 98  | the strictest attn to details with farming is with cannabis already.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 99  | perennial. that is dumb  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | tomatoes can be grown as a perennial in a greenhouse.  | as can peppers.   |                    |  |           |  |           |
| 100 | Require setbacks at least to the level required for vineyards and orchards in non-sensitive areas. Prohibit growing in sensitive/protected habitat areas.  | Michele Parr                               | As long as it is treated in parity with other Ag practices and/or all Ag practices are accountable are held to an improved environmental impact mitigation, I am all for it. |   |                    |  |           |  |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 101 | Riparian Corridor Setbacks. New permanent structures must be located outside the Riparian Corridor Stream Conservation Area, Section 25-65. Outdoor and hoop house cultivation canopy must conform to the agricultural setback set forth in Section 26-65-040. Cannabis cultivation and related structures and development must comply with all provisions of the Riparian Corridor combining zone district, pursuant to Section 26-65, of Chapter 26 of the Sonoma County Code, including setback requirements.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   | We will! Just research cannabis's impact on the reduction of opioid use in states that allow it. |           |           |           |           |
| 102 | Cannabis will continue to set the accountability and transparency standards for all of agriculture. This industry cares about the environment, honorable stewardship of the land it's inhabitants. Can we name another industry that has this level of respect or accountability? Why, other than antiquated bias, are we chastising Cannabis alone?   | Sam De La Paz                              | agree  | I hope to see the cannabis industry lead the way to a better world!   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 103 | Thank you Robert   | Carol Bokaie.com                           |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 104 | Whatever decisions are made with regard to protecting riparian corridors should be applied to all agriculture  | Joanna Cedar                               | Agreed!  | Agree!  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 105 | Protect the larger riparian corridor setbacks defined by the State Water Resources Control Board Cannabis Policy, follow additional protections of the Ca Department of Fish and Wildlife mitigation measures and all other state requirements.  | judith olney                               |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 106 | chapter 38 really did all this work for us. we spent years ging back and forth to settle on the redlined chapter 38 that finally made it through planning after a huge amount of work. why are we disrespecting that work by not working from it? we compromised enough already. we had a deal and we won. if the supervisors want an EIR thots cool. we should have done that years ago. what a crafty way to spitefully penalize growers! as of this point we have damaged so many farmers and great families who brought vibrant culture to this county. they are being extinted along with the culture. all beacuse we want to appease the unappeasable POTHOLE LAWYER and the personal vendettas of these 80 year old hateful grandmas. | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | Chapter 38 did not meet State law and has been set aside. There will be a new ordinance that meets state law and CEQA  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 107 | Again, align with state law  | Joanna Cedar                               | Agree  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 108 | do we have to give grandma this much attn? cant yo all see this is a ploy to suck us into their pathetic boring old world chasing bicycble accidents and bullying cannabis families.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 109 | STATE LAW  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 110 | give cannabis farmers a chance to thrive   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 111 | Is anyone going to address the disrespectful comments regarding the age of lawyers?  | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | just consider the source...  | I was called "you ladies" yesterday. Go high when they go low! It's not everyone. Bad look for them.  |  |           |           |           |           |
| 112 | its a generation gap. it is relevant   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |
| 113 | Since the county gets tax money from the cannabis industry, what about some of that tax money go toward the county folks that help to maintain a healthy riparian corridor   | Leona Judson                               | That would be great but the county has not provided enough reasonable pathways to permitting to afford the staff to develop and maintain the programs that would make the cannabis industry successful | Sure! With an actual functioning Cannabis sector, I am sure this would be welcomed by all. But we are about to lose the entire market here if we are forced to wait 2 more years to farm. |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)          | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|---|--------------------|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 114 | do we still go by our grandparents rules for how many genders and what marriage is? no we dont. there is a clear divide between the old retiring folks who wont be around much longer anyway. usually in past generation the old grandmas and grandpas would lean back and let the younger generations move forward in their own way. whay is it not relevant to mention the age of the meddling dishonest opposition?> | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 115 | i agree fully with emitter instead of hand in general but dont want to add more regs to restrict this exciting new industry that can help the county so much if it is allowed to thrive.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 116 | If every proposed cannabis cultivation project that is in the county pipeline, were to be permitted it would be less than 40 acres. The canopy is so small that some of these discussions seem obtuse in the face of 65,000 acres of grapes   | Joanna Cedar                               |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 117 | What is normal? When was the last time we had a normal year? When will we get one again?  | Mandy Clendenen                            |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 118 | Indoor cannabis grows with soil probes will limit water use.  | judith olney                               |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 119 | keep the weather out of it and the govt out of it. these older folks will want test the rainfall until they can use it to shut down farms   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 120 | Impaired watersheds were overdrafted before the drought.  | Harriet Buckwalter                         | And before any permitted cannabis.  |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 121 | Have a plan where we understand how much water our county can devote to cannabis and then allow that.   | Valorie Dallas                             |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 122 | How does cannabis qualify as drought resistant or drought evasive plant to be used successfully in dryfarming when it uses so much water?   | Carol Bokaie.com                           | it doesn't use that much water...you are mistaken                                       |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 123 | metered wells on Cannabis farms in rural areas  | Forest Houtz                               | already the case on all legal farms.  |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 124 | Grapes can be dry farmed and have been. Can cannabis?   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 125 | BJ - The comments by this "real" person, who had a different name last night and the night before, continues to be insulting, rude and very off topic. It is distracting and offensive.   | Dani La Noire                              |   |                    |   |   |           |           |           |
| 126 | We are about to lose much traction in the Cannabis market, as Sonoma County productions. Operations need to be allowed immediately. If we are forced to wait 2yrs after falling behind by 4yrs, we are screwed. Taxes from these operations could be allocated to these water and regenerative farming solutions right NOW.   | Sam De La Paz                              |   | agreed             | Agreed - in places where it's been demonstrated that there are enough water resources to support it!                        | We have solutions that no other industry has ever implemented to conserve water and reduce environmental impact. We have to be allowed to be a part of the conversation with the county to introduce these solutions. Not trailing behind at BOS meetings to submit public comment. |           |           |           |
| 127 | Encouragement of in-ground planting<br><br>Mulching<br><br>Automated irrigation<br><br>Incentivizing the cultivation of a cover crop during the down time   | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                        | I agree.  | YES!!              |   |   |           |           |           |
| 128 | my nieghbors decades ago drained creeks to make private lakes. seems like it must have been nice living in the world when grandpa 80 year old was in his prime building his business with relatively no givernment meddling and harrasment from guys like him.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                    | New Frontier study looks at the profitability - not actual water use. Water should never be allocated to the highest bidder |   |           |           |           |
| 129 | Napa did an assessment that shows that 32 to 64 acres of cannabis cultivation would result in new water demands of 108.16-to-216.32-acre feet/year (AFY). This is 5 times more water use than 32 to 64 acres of vineyards.  | judith olney                               | and New Froteir data and UC Berkeley did a study on this as well and put the use at 1.3 | Thank you, Judith! | I agree   | agree   |           |           |           |
| 130 | We have a drought, so how about a moratarium?   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | Totally agree   | Yes!!              |   |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)        | Answer(s)                                  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   |
|-----|--|--|---|--|--|------------------|--|--|---|
| 131 | Wetlands Setbacks. Outdoor and hoop house canopy must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 36-16-120, of Chapter 36, of the Sonoma County Code. All other development must comply with the wetlands setbacks in Section 11-14-110, of Chapter 11, of the Sonoma County Code.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  | Yes!!! Why are we trying to take more water! Agree   |                  |  |  |   |
| 132 | You ask what "a successful cannabis operation" looks like: 1) Caps on permits 2) Moratorium on new permits until the extent of Climate Change, i.e., water supply can be adequately assessed.  | Ann Storms                                 | I agree   | I agree  |  |                  |  |  |   |
| 133 | let operators get lower taxes for keeping water trucks in the neighborhood to help the fire forces   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  | Yes. Any commercial/large use of water impacts our water resources.  | Very much agree. |  | thats correct HOWEVER one has currently over 60k acres in production and little medicinal value and the other approximately 40 acres with proven scientific medicinal value that improves the quality of life for many | OK. But this is about a cannabis ordinance, which is a new use. Agree we should look at water use across the board. |
| 134 | It's not about Cannabis vs. Grapes, it's about the health of Sonoma County in total.   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | totally agree!  | Yes!   |  |                  |  |  |   |
| 135 | There is way too much scapegoating of cannabis farmers   | Joanna Cedar                               |   | agree  | Not more essestial than food and water   |                  | You can get it from a state that has water | Yes, we get medicine from all over. Medical cannabis can also be grown where there is more water.  |   |
| 136 | We are in a historic drought. We are all restricting our own personal water usage. It is essential during this time that we carefully preserve water for human consumption as well as for crops and animals that provide us with food. It's irresponsible of the county to even consider approving a commercial cannabis permit during such a critical time. | Becky Evenich                              | Agree!  | cannabis medicine is ESSENTIAL   |  |                  |  |  |   |
| 137 | MINISTERIAL is the only succesful ordinance. or else you will have these same old folks get more and more restrictive and pushy. who put these old timers in control? isnt it a new generation and isnt this california?   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  | Thank you! We will be implementing an EIR. You can read the timeline here: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |                  |  |  |   |
| 138 | You are asking us to tell you what Cannabis regulations should look like, but how can we do that with limited information and a looming drought, climate chage, etc?   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | The EIR is critical. Without that, how can anyone move forward? | I agree  |  |                  |  |  |   |
| 139 | STATE LAW. not more restrictive. land owners have RIHGTS!!!  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  | Absolutely! regulated farms are trying to do the right thing!  |                  |  |  |   |
| 140 | Cannabis is a legal crop. Don't forget illegal grows are more likely to be wasteful of water and other resources.  | Leona Judson                               | trueness  | and the more farmers who have a pathway to permitting, the more responsible water use we encourage | I agree  |                  |  |  |   |
| 141 | We really need a detailed study of water by area to know what's there before going forward with more water uses (Ag, housing, etc)   | Bill Krawetz                               | are you gunna go my way?  | Agree  |  |                  |  |  |   |
| 142 | The County must conduct an independent Water Resource Availability Study for each groundwater basin or watershed, ensuring supply for all current permitted uses, setting acreage caps and other measures to protect against continued groundwater overdraft.  | judith olney                               | This should apply to ALL agricultural practices as well         |  | Agree that cannabis farmers should be heard, and would love to hear about innovative water-saving ideas that can be leveraged by other crops, too!   |                  |  |  |   |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)                              | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| 143 | Cannabis has solutions that no other industry has ever implemented to conserve water and reduce environmental impact. We have to be allowed to be a part of the conversation with the county to introduce these solutions. Not trailing behind at BOS meetings to submit public comment.  | Sam De La Paz                              | agreed                                 | Hopefully this can be understood by the community. The first step is to educate and not scapegoat. Thanks you  | Cannabis has medicinal value that has been scientifically proven in addition its use lowers opioid usage in states dealing with epidemics that allow it in their state. are you just a proponent of opioids and alternatives ? | <a href="https://www.sonomacountygazette.com/sonoma-county-news/could-your-cannabis-be-cleaner-than-your-organic-produce/">https://www.sonomacountygazette.com/sonoma-county-news/could-your-cannabis-be-cleaner-than-your-organic-produce/</a> |   |           |           |
| 144 | You can call anything a medicine...marijuana is not a medicine. Food is a medicine.   | Ann Storms                                 | This is erroneous...alcohol kills      | That is some bias sounding rhetoric Ann... I am sorry, but a centuries old medicinal practice. What would your input be on Cannabis testing standards testing cleaner than your Whole Foods Organic produce? |  |   |   |           |           |
| 145 | 3. Agricultural Production. An application for a cannabis cultivation site that is located within an agricultural zone (LIA, LEA, DA) must be consistent with General Plan Policy AR-4a, in that the primary use of the parcel shall be agricultural production and related processing, support services, and visitor serving uses  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 146 | lower tax break for cannabis landowners to keep water reserves for local drinking water fountains for old folks,  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 147 | Agree, Judith.  | Michele Parr                               |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 148 | monitoring is already required for county permits. wells already need to have meters for this reporting. maybe we can start w chapter 38 and ask the folks who spent years working on it. or is this groundhog year again?  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 149 | Dept Water Resources: Petaluma current GSA study/ model assumes zero cannabis when it's known that cannabis applications are in process in this area.   | judith olney                               |  | '+1  | Agree  |   | Why not let these poor Mom and Pop Cannabis farmers through the permit program and require vineyards to curb water use or acreage to compensate?? The double standards are very exhaustive. |           |           |
| 150 | Wait to authorize any permits for cannabis, grapes etc until water becomes less of a scarcity and there is a scientific understanding of the water requirements.<br><br>Also, the entire county needs to be remapped as it relates to water scarcity zones. Those zones were created a VERY long time ago and it would be very beneficial to understand the groundwater limitations in all of the county. | Dani La Noire                              | Agree! It seems pretty simple, but.... | ABSOLUTELY!  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 151 | I'm going to re-iterate, we are in a drought. The Governor has asked us to lessen our usage by 15%. Folks have removed lawns, upgraded irrigation, removed crops, etc. We need to enact a moratorium on all development while we are in this situation. Until we are out of the drought, we cannot allow any development with a clear conscience.   | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | I agree                                |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 152 | Do everything Andy Casarez suggested  | Carol Bokaie.com                           |  |  |  |   |   |           |           |
| 153 | Have a clear idea how much water is being used - meter water and learn about water use.   | Valorie Dallas                             | yes!                                   |  |  |   |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 154 | For new cannabis permits in ALL groundwater zones, use permit conditions must follow the State Agency requirement that hydrogeologist reports, in addition to well pumping tests, are necessary to determine long-term water availability. All tests and reports are to meet industry standards and performed under the direction of licensed professionals.   | judith olney                               | this is state law   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 155 | When was the last time zones were assessed   | Mandy Clendenen                            |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 156 | Conversion is part of agriculture, when I first moved to this county we grew apples and pears; many of the orchards were pulled in favor of grapes, now we have to make decisions as to how we will use our water resources in times of drought. It's time to incentivize pulling those thirsty grapes and permitting cannabis cultivation with it's small canopy and higher economic yield per acre foot  | Joanna Cedar                               | all crops are thirsty, limit all crops and any development until we are out of the drought! |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 157 | The new ordinance should include a provision for restriction of water use under special circumstances. We are now experiencing a "special circumstance": a historic drought. The County should reserve the right to reduce the extent of ANY permitted activity including but not limited to the area of cultivation allowed under any issued permit in the event that environmental conditions, (such as a sustained drought or low flows) where commercial cannabis activity (or any other activity) is located and will not support water withdrawals without substantially and adversely affecting existing fish and wildlife resources.   | Brantly Richardson                         | I agree   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 158 | these folks want all the restriction they can dream up in their authoritarian imagination. how did the flower children generation turn into this?  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 159 | The EIR must evaluate the amount and availability of treated wastewater for all uses, including cannabis, including how to get it to properties needing it. Trucking shouldn't be permitted. Remember, Santa Rosa has no treated wastewater available for sale/use -- it's all already spoken for.   | Sonia Taylor                               |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 160 | joanna=nice one  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 161 | Waste Water Discharge. Applicant must submit a wastewater management plan that complies with the department's best management practices and the following requirements:<br>1. Identify the estimated amount and disposal of waste water, excess irrigation and domestic wastewater, and provide data to support the estimate;<br>2. Include verification of compliance with or waiver from the waste discharge requirements of the state water resource control board;<br>3. Direct excess irrigation water or effluent to a sanitary sewer, septic, irrigation, graywater or bio-retention treatment system;<br>4. If discharging excess irrigation to a septic system, include a system capacity evaluation by a qualified sanitary engineer that demonstrates the system has adequate capacity;<br>5. Dispose of domestic wastewater discharge from employees in a permanent sanitary sewer or on-site septic system demonstrated by a system capacity evaluation by a qualified sanitary engineer to have adequate capacity. | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 162 | Whether marijuana is a "medicine" or not has NO bearing on whether Sonoma County should be degraded with pot grows. It is a water/resource issue.  | Ann Storms                                 | careful, your irrational bias is showing  |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)     | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| 163 | Orchards and cannabis use 2 - 3 AFY while grapes are water efficient, using only 0.6 AFY ... 7 inches of water/ acre vs 2 to 3 feet per acre   | judith olney                               | how many acres are required though ? Grapes-\$2500 per acre and no tax revenue. Cannabis - \$500K per acre and its taxed   |  |  |  |               |           |           |
| 164 | too bad they cant rip the plants out of the ground themselves. you would never see fat grandpa and angry abusive grama move so fast.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  |  |  |               |           |           |
| 165 | Sec. 38.16.010. – Purpose.<br>This article establishes provisions that are intended to ensure compliance with the requirements of this chapter and permits issued pursuant to this chapter, and provide for the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare of the county.<br>Sec. 38.16.020. – Decisions in Compliance with Chapter.<br>All departments, officials, and employees of the county assigned the authority or duty to issue permits shall comply with the provisions of this chapter.<br>A. Permits in conflict with chapter. Permits that would be in conflict with the provisions of this chapter shall not be issued.<br>B. Permits deemed void. Any permit issued in conflict with the provisions of this chapter, or in error, shall be void and of no effect.<br>C. County may refuse to issue permits. The county shall not issue any permit sought pursuant to this chapter where the property upon which the activity is proposed is in violation of this code. | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  |  |  |               |           |           |
| 166 | Enforcement responsibility. The Agricultural Commissioner is authorized to enforce the provisions of this chapter and permits issued pursuant to this chapter. The Agricultural Commissioner may initiate proceedings to suspend, revoke, or modify permits issued pursuant to this chapter, act as enforcing officer for the purposes of exercising the authority provided in Sections 1-7.1, 1-7.3, and 1-7.6 of this code, and issue letters of correction, notices of violation, notices of proposed action, stop work orders, and citations for any violations of this chapter or any permit issued pursuant to this chapter.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |  | Accountability is great, Cannabis is the most accountable. Can we say the same about much more impactful industries in our County? I think neighbors should be talking to neighbors and these operators are neighbors. | What do you suggest? we already undergo routine inspection by AG. department as well as state officials including waterboard AND must report water use annually. |               |           |           |
| 167 | Accountability needs to be built into the ordinance. We shouldn't be relying on neighbors to turn in neighbors who are violating their permits.  | Harriet Buckwalter                         | I agree. Pitting neighbor against neighbor, and making cannabis farmers feel that they are not part of the "neighborhood" is the county's fault and has poisoned our community discourse | I agree  |  |  | Agree natasha |           |           |
| 168 | Why not let these poor Mom and Pop Cannabis farmers through the permit program and require vineyards to curb water use or acreage to compensate?? All of these double standards in the comments and the erroneous focus on Cannabis are very exhaustive.   | Sam De La Paz                              | I disagree   | Could you please provide any reason that you disagree, Carol? Or do you have an implicit bias toward Cannabis? |  |  |               |           |           |
| 169 | cannabis should be treated like any other crop. the cannabis industry has already endured the strictest permit approval and inspections by far. minimize regs to state law and dont apply penalizing pet projects from tormenting dishonest neighbors who already have the sites in mind they want to shut down for any reason you allow.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 | I disagree cannabis should be considered an agricultural crop.   |  |  |  |               |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|-----------|
| 170 | Cannabis crops are more accountable than all other farmers combined.  | Joanna Cedar                               | Agreed  |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 171 | There should be financial protection if wells in rural areas dry up when Cannabis farms, who drop deeper wells and suck the more shallow wells dry. Cannabis growers need to post a bond or some insurance to protect rural neighbors!  | Forest Houtz                               | good idea   |  | Cannabis has been growing in SoCO for 50 years...we have this data. Many are just choosing not to see it  | I agree  | Excellent suggestion - Practice farms pending an in-depth science-based EIR.              |  |           |
| 172 | Build trust and learn -- Have a few "practice farms" - use the farms already permitted - and monitor them to see how it goes - almost like a franchise is created. We need more data before we can make such important decisions. Meter their water, disclose all complaints and solutions, have a person on both the county-side and the cannabis farm side who communicate about the issues and have them steward the way forward for others. This can begin now and hopefully help provide data and trust over the next 3 years as the ordinance is developed. | Valorie Dallas                             | '+1   | agree  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 173 | how can you have small children and get morning unannounced inspection? we are ok with that but it is really unfair to be traumatic inspections that feel like raids  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 174 | ministerial is the only way to keep neighbors from each others throats.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 175 | chapter 38 had that in mind but for some reason we are subjected to this dishonest abuse from old folks who are set in their ways.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 176 | The county needs more staff to ensure accountability  | Carol Bokaie.com                           | absolutely  |  | Our mutual water company (80,homes) invested in smart meters when our meter reader volunteer decided to retire. it's great and they let you know if you have a leak |  |   |  |           |
| 177 | Water metering should be done using tamper proof smart meters that are inspected instead of relying on self reporting.  | Jeff McConathy                             | Agree   | yes yes yes!   |   |  |   |  |           |
| 178 | Violators do not get permit renewed   | judith olney                               | I agree   |  | Then maybe the county needs to not allow permits near neighborhoods where children and family's grow.   | the restrictive permitting process is eliminating the mom and pops from having a chance increasing the cost of entry and thus in turn making it so only larger entities can survive such hostile circumstances | Outside money has moved in and taken over. LLCs are the norm with a local as the "front". | Small business is an issue in our country. Are we are being naive enough to think that this county won't be covered in commercial LARGE SCALE grows ?we will all be proved wrong ... soon! |           |
| 179 | Does anyone truly think that the "mom and pop" farms are going to the real issue? The commercial grows are the issues when it comes to all of these issues  | Mandy Clendenen                            | agree   | the mom and pop farms have no pathway to a permit  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 180 | They only way the county can afford more staff is if there is a robust permitting program   | Joanna Cedar                               | They can't seem to afford it it now. Is it because it is so labor intensive for a permit?                           |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 181 | use cannabis permit fees/tax revenue to fund any accountability measures, so it's self-funding.   | Caroline Koss                              | there have to be enough farms for this. There is no reasonable pathway to a permit for the vast majority of parcels |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 182 | ask enforcement to be nicer to farms that have earned the respect from passing grades. maybe a little less shock and awe. in general the ag dept is fair and good folks and are just adhering to the ordinance 6245.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |  |   |  |   |  |           |
| 183 | County needs more enforcement staff - more Sheriff resources and fire protection. This new commercial use increases the public costs of public services   | judith olney                               | this is a legal crop. The sheriff should not be involved  | Not all operators are following the law - and the value of the crop can cause crime with remote locations are not protected by sheriff resources |   |  |   |  |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 184 | I think neighborhood focused Comm-Unity meetings are in order and our Hessel Farmers Grange would be honored to host an educated, civil, meaningful and informed meeting. <a href="http://www.hessselfarmersgrange.com">www.hessselfarmersgrange.com</a> Please reach out. We are open books! | Sam De La Paz                              | Please, lets focus on SOLUTIONS and let us do it TOGETHER without bias or aggrevation!! Nobody in the Cannabis community I am here for wants to see Santa Barbara commericalization. | Maybe you are, but that does not mean all of the other grwoers are open books, Sam. You make it sound like these are all a bunch of angels starting these businesses, when we know that is just not the case. Let us know when your house is right next to a grow that is being run but some really scary groups who also run aggressive and active drug businesses in the east bay. Or your kids. Or your wife. When there are gun shots throughout the night and sketchy people driving up and down our roads. If you would just focus on appropriate, industrial areas that many of us have agreed with, much of this opposition would go away. You make fun of us, saying we are old, out of touch and not in our back yards, but none of you have seen the people being really affected by this. |   |  |           |           |           |
| 185 | too many potholes on hessel. POTHOLE LAWYERS may sue. or some boomer generation lady will fall in a pothole and take the county for 1.6M  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 186 | Permits should expire annually subject to renewal if compliance has been met in the last year in order to avoid long legal proceedings to abate non-compliant operator.   | Harriet Buckwalter                         | Agree. With Global warming, every year is different, so we can't assume a prior water right/use would be ok in a future year   | agree   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 187 | <u>Set criteria for Inclusion and Exclusion Zones based on water data</u>   | Valorie Dallas                             |  |   | You betcha!   |  |           |           |           |
| 188 | You are missing the big picture-we are out of water, for everyone! No one, big developments (Bennett Valley Golf Course), low water areas, grape growers, cannabis farmers, etc. It's that simple!  | Victoria De Crescenzo                      | Yes. We do have a problem we don't seem to be recognizing.   | Drought is the bottom line issue - all must reduce their water use by a significant percentage.   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 189 | permits should be 5 years to deactivate these people who harras pot farms   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   | Why do they refuse to address the drought and perils ahead? |  |           |           |           |
| 190 | Water use shouldn't just focus on cannabis industry - it should be all crops. Droughts are our future, and we all need to focus on reducing water, regardless of the crop or industry.  | Caroline Koss                              | Agree!   | Caroline, this is correct! Big development, all crops, etc. I don't know why they keep asking us for ideas, when they refuse to address the issue.  | I value water for everyone and we don't have it!            | Sam, thank you for not being rude. It's been great reading your comments |           |           |           |
| 191 | Please, lets focus on SOLUTIONS and let us do it TOGETHER without bias or resentments!! Nobody in the Cannabis community I am here for wants to see Santa Barbara commericalization.  | Sam De La Paz                              | agreed   | agreed  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 192 | why are the old folk opposition such control freaks. is there an opposition in here less than 70? just curious. leave the next generation have the same freedoms you had.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 193 | my values are anti-communist  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Water Considerations - August 11, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                 | Answer(s)           | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 194 | We have solutions that can help many farming practices prevail in environmental considerations. Like methods that can save up to 75% water. Please, again, reach out anytime! | Sam De La Paz                              |   |                           | include AR/RR zones | Great question, but with no operations we don't have an example to set. I would love to discuss this further Harriet! |           |           |           |
| 195 | Lowering restrictions won't necessarily discourage large industrial operations. How can ordinance language encourage smaller operations?                                      | Harriet Buckwalter                         | Allow cottage license farmers on rural lands. VERY small canopy | reduce the cost of entry. |                     |   |           |           |           |
| 196 | how to defend yourself with a bully empowered by a broken government? 2016 was 5 years ago.   | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                           |                     |   |           |           |           |
| 197 | Thank you staff for facilitating dialogue.  | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                        | agreed  |                           |                     |   |           |           |           |
| 198 | great work staff. nothing but respect.  | The Real Martin Luther White Movement 1918 |   |                           |                     |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| # | Question   | Asker Name      | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                             | Answer(s)        | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | good morning   | steven sommer   | Good morning Steven! Thank you for joining us again!   | We have the ability to prioritize small to medium sized operations that show how a crop can be beautifully farmed in a sustainable manner. Rolling vineyards are acceptable, but Cannabis is not? None of the Cannabis industry I align with want to see rolling greenhouses like Santa Barbara... |   |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 2 | With the enormous expansion of commercial cannabis that both the Industry and the County are proposing it would be almost impossible to not have significant visual impacts, given the need for security fencing, plastic hoop houses roads,etc. - unless in commercial areas.   | Bridget Beytagh | 40 acres of cannabis a crop with proven scientific medicinal value vs. 60,000+ acres of grapes for a crop with little medicinal value and has no requirement for visual concealment is unjust.   | 100% agreed Moira.   | This is ridiculous ,the wine industry does not have to follow these rule  |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 3 | The EIR must study ALL environmental impacts of the county's plan to aggressively promote industrial scale THC drug production throughout county lands. The hoop houses are a blight on the landscape, they must be forbidden in all our view sheds. Hoop houses for THC drug production are fundamentally an industrial scale operation.<br><br>The EIR must study the increase in public costs for landfill and wastewater treatment plant capacity, water pipelines, and additional code enforcement, police and fire | Moira Jacobs    | The EIR must study the increase in public costs for landfill and wastewater treatment plant capacity, water pipelines, and additional code enforcement, police and fire services. Some counties, such as Napa and Santa Barbara found that the additional public costs significantly diminished tax revenue projections from commercial cannabis. Colorado has found the same: the tax revenues from recreational drug production only results in far higher social, health and environmental costs. | Totally agree.   | Agree   | We live in an agriculturally rich and diverse county. Cannabis and hemp is the future of farming.   | Disagree                              |                  |           |           |           |
| 4 | Please don't allow commercial cannabis cultivation to destroy the charm of our peaceful, scenic rural areas. Restrict growing to small, well-screened "micro" or "boutique" producers, away from rural residential neighborhoods, or in warehouses in commercial industrial areas. Preserve our special environment and minimize impacts (visual, odor, water, traffic, etc) by NOT allowing large scale industrial grows, and keeping the number of growers per area limited.   | Rebecca Bass    | Totally agree with Rebecca   | They don't have ugly plastic hoop houses or security fencing, lighting etc.  | Yes instead they have stadium lighting during times of high activity.   | Grape vines are beautiful! The leaves turn beautiful fall colors, in spring and summer also beautiful, great fire breaks, and grapes are a nutritional fruit! No comparison to THC producing smelly weed. It's an unhealthy drug. | Do not agree. Look at the grape vines | It's agriculture |           |           |           |
| 5 | The County must ensure that visual blight is not allowed in community separators.  | Bridget Beytagh | Our county is covered in grapes some would consider this monocropping a blight.  |  |   |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 6 | Cannabis operations should never be allowed in any voter protected Community Separators. 173,000 Sonoma County voters adopted the Community Separator ordinance in 2016, and the language of the ordinance makes clear that cannabis operations with security fencing/lighting and/or plastic covered hoop houses are a use the voters did NOT intend to be in those voter protected lands.  | Sonia Taylor    | Agree. No cannabis operations in Community Separators.   |  |   |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 7 | The scenic natural beauty of Sonoma County is the main draw of tourism, natural bucolic landscapes. Hoop houses destroy this scenic beauty and will result in loss of tourism AND massive environmental damages.   | Moira Jacobs    | So do grapes it agricultural please move back to city  |  |   |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 8 | These sessions are valuable in defining the extremes of positions on this issue. Respectfully request County consider bringing in 3rd part Mediator(s) to help us find our "shared values."  | Mary Plimpton   |  | Yes it is, I called the Ag District to verify.   | Some of it is. And would be here.   |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |
| 9 | Traveling down 101 this year in the Solvang Valley it was alarming to see a huge Hoop House configuration off in the distance, but so huge---- probably>200 acres---that it totally marred and degraded the landscape. We CANNOT allow this in Sonoma County.  | Ann Storms      | That's not cannabis.   | Or from public lands and hiking trails.  | It's not a commercial operation. It's growing a plant, there are plenty of hoop structures that aren't growing cannabis that we seem fine with. |   |                                       |                  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name      | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|-----------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 10 | Ag + Open Space already conserve much of the County's natural resources, as well as scenic corridors and Williamson Acts. Places where cannabis can be grown is already heavily limited.   | Lindsay Blyth   | Not really. No one should have to look at these commercial operations from their home - unless in a commercial area.   | Not true  | Oldest known cultivated crop do your research please almost 7000 years | These sound like very biased assumptions toward cannabis. The rhetoric is clear in this comment |  |  |           |           |           |
| 11 | They only belong in industrial zone, one central facility. This is drug production: THC is the main active ingredient being marketed in this effort. Would we put pharmaceutical plants throughout our rural areas? Why are we using a water scarce area and wildfire prone area to produce a water intensive drug operation?  | Moira Jacobs    | Sounds like you are prejudice against a plant with proven medicinal value. Grapes on the other hand have little medicinal value and on the contrary contribute to a higher rate of DUIs in this county on our scenic roads   |   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 12 | Our General Plan has goals for city-centered growth, protection of our agricultural resources and preservation of rural character. In setting cannabis program policy, Sonoma County supervisors need to make an informed decision based on potential impacts to assets valued by visitors: 1) a quality wine experience; 2) scenic beauty; and 3) rural character and small-town charm.   | judith olney    | Cannabis IS an agricultural resource and a crop young folks are interested in farming!!  | Agreed!   | I understand that the appellation applies to in ground only.           | Agreed  | State law. It must be grown in the ground. | That's great, being grown in the ground would be amazing and much less water intensive. This paired with regenerative techniques are the answer to all of our resource use and abuse in agriculture. We have to address pollution from all of the other Ag activity. |           |           |           |
| 13 | Sonoma County is a leading recognized wine appellation in the world, and soon will be recognized as a leading cannabis appellation. Cannabis cultivators should have all of the tools and resources available in California state regulations so they can grow the best flowers, just as the vineyards grow the best grapes to produce the best wines.   | Lori Pascarella | Absolutely! A voice of reason!   |   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 14 | Authentic rural character is Sonoma County's differentiating asset – multiple surveys have found this is the primary reason tourists visit Sonoma County. Our scenic landscapes give us competitive advantage – the quality of the tourist experience is an economic imperative.   | judith olney    |  | And yet we let 60000 acres of grapes  |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 15 | Rolling hills, pastures with stately valley oak trees, live oaks, uninterrupted vistas, old barns and outbuildings, aeromotor windmills, tank houses, lack of industrial looking fencing, winding drives, fruit orchards, vegetable gardens and small scale farming, dark night skies, wildflowers, riparian areas along Russian River, redwood forests, meandering tributaries, native animals and birds, fish ladders, protected wetlands and marshes. | Anna Ransome    | Agree  |   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 16 | In my view, Sonoma county has the most beautiful landscapes in the 9 bay area counties. The beauty of views is from countless roads, not merely the so called scenic routes.   | katherine yates |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 17 | Businesses with large scale buildings and parking lots already disrupt the visual landscape in our Ag zones – this current reality must be factored into visual impact cumulative impact analyses. Siting criteria and visual mitigations must be rigorously applied before our ag lands experience irreparable impacts.   | judith olney    | Look at grapes 60000 acres and Cannabis at 40 acres  | We need to protect actual nutrition producing Ag: food or fruit, dairy, protein, etc., not drug production. Humans can't get nutrition from THC, it only affects the brain and other fatty organs very negatively.<br><br>We must protect and promote our food supply. We must protect farmers and ranchers producing food and nutrition. | It is food fiber and has huge amount of uses other than smoking        |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 18 | we need to protect ag  | ron ferraro     | It's not Ag.   |   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |
| 19 | Limiting cultivators to indoor industrial areas will keep them from being able to compete with cultivators in the state. Cannabis should be allowed to grow outdoors in temporary hoop structures and be able to use mixed lighting tier 1 in parallel with state laws.  | Lori Pascarella | There are counties in California that have wisely chosen not to allow commercial marijuana grows. Why should we allow it? And why should we allow so many outside interests & LLCs buy up our precious land to grow marijuana? A very few monied interests win, the rest of us lose. | The plastic is a blight on landscape.   | Grapes are the blight not Cannabis                                     | The plastic sheeting has to be changed out every 2-3yrs and CANNOT be recycled.                 |  |  |           |           |           |
| 20 | plastics are recycled and much needed  | ron ferraro     | So, all that plastic is being recycled??   | Agreed with sam   |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                    | Answer(s)    | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 21 | We have the ability to prioritize small to medium sized operations that show how a crop can be beautifully farmed in a sustainable manner. Rolling vineyards are acceptable, but Cannabis is not? None of the Cannabis industry I align with want to see rolling greenhouses like Santa Barbara...   | Sam De La Paz              | Then why the request for year round?  | Agreed   |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 22 | Cannabis is a beautiful plant. Hemp can be planted out in the open by right and looks just like cannabis. The EIR should consider eliminating screening requirements for cannabis.   | Craig Litwin               | The screening requirements are safety mitigations that must be retained   |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 23 | no other rural agricultural product requires high fencing and security lights. These features of cannabis cultivation means it is much more like an industrial product than an agricultural one, and therefore belongs in industrial zones, not in the beautiful rural landscape   | katherine yates            | Not true those are requirements imposed upon us   | Grapes are industrial as well  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 24 | By definition a landscape interrupted by industrial-looking cannabis operations and more intensive commercial uses will degrade rural character. Rural Character can be defined as ... encompassing open space and agrarian landscapes, with low density, low intensity development, and yielding low traffic volumes.   | judith olney               | My farm does not look industrial. I have transformed a lot with non native grasses to one of more diversity and natural wildlife. |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 25 | what about the vegetal hoop house which already in ag zones 30 dry creek   | steven sommer              | Not so tall.  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 26 | We must protect our community separators, our parks, our lands protected by the Open Space District, and four categories of visual resources identified in the County's General Plan: Scenic Landscape Units, Scenic Corridors, Greenbelts, Greenways and expanded Greenbelts. Cannabis operations with their industrial character and plastic hoop houses must not be visible from any of those valuable resources.   | Sonia Taylor               | Do not agree  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 27 | The General Plan states that development needs to be compatible with the preservation of scenic values along designated scenic corridors.  | Anna Ransome               | Please do this with grapevine as well   | Please point to source of these findings.                                |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 28 | 46% our the tourism coming to this area are looking to have a cannabis experience.   | steven sommer              | where is the evidence?  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 29 | All areas should allow for cannabis cultivation if it fits the existing land use table.  | Craig Litwin               | Agreed  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 30 | protect ag farmers from 80 year old pothole lawyers who will be passed away before this ordinance is in place. no one wants to keep giving all this attn to the vocal MINORITY of these old baby boomers who are stuck in their ways and prejudices  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 31 | Sonoma County's tourist industry is largely based on its rural character, bucolic countryside vistas and rolling green hills dotted with grazing animals. To protect this valuable industry, Sonoma County must identify existing and eligible cannabis cultivation sites that may apply for a cannabis cultivation permit and provide an analysis of view sheds and simulations of views from public viewpoints such as trails, roadways, parks and scenic vistas to determine the best siting to mitigate visual impacts. (VS)   | veva edelson               |   |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 32 | Visual impacts of cannabis operations will affect both public and private views, will affect voter protected Community Separator lands, scenic landscape units, Greenbelts, Greenways and expanded Greenbelts, in addition to Scenic Corridors. (ST+)  | veva edelson               | Do not agree  | I do not agree and think the grapevines are the blight in b              | Agree with Rebecca           |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 33 | Protect scenic Bennett Valley and Sonoma Valley from commercial cultivation that involves structures like hoop houses.   | Rebecca Bass               | Agree. Impose moratorium on allowing cannabis operations in these valleys until Board bans in these areas.                        | Eliminates the nasty odor problem also.                                  | agree with Nancy's comments! | Do not agree |           |           |           |           |           |
| 34 | If all cultivation were indoors we wouldn't need to have a visioning session on visual impacts because there would be none. This isn't a crazy idea. Indoor produces a higher quality crop and uses less water. Since California's energy supply is heavily weighted with renewables, energy consumption would not be a drawback. Already there are incentives for off-peak use. There are even Tesla power walls to take solar powered buildings off the grid during peak times. Grow lights can be timed to respond to the load and can be turned off during emergencies. Permit only outdoor grows with plants in the ground (not tubs) for the tourism market. Determine the size of this market for this higher priced product. No green houses, no ugly hoop house, no unsightly pot fences. Grow indoors in industrial areas. | Nancy Richardson           | I like these ideas! Agree!  | I know. But someone suggested no fencing. I doubt they would be willing. |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 35 | Would the industry be willing to grow without security fences?   | Bridget Beytagh            | The State require these security protections  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |
| 36 | Prohibit cannabis hoop house use in any location that can be seen from a Scenic Corridor (B), a public park or a public right of way, classify hoop houses as outdoor cultivation with no electrical, plumbing or mechanical, limit the siting of hoop houses and require that no flapping plastic exists or contaminates the environment (DAE)  | veva edelson               | Get rid of grapes as well please  |  |                              |              |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                                      | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 37 | Cannabis keeps ag land in ag, protecting open spaces for the long-term. Farmers need to make a profit to pay taxes and resist selling large tracks of land for expensive housing.  | Craig Litwin               | Agreed   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 38 | Isn't Cannabis screened to protect the high value product?   | rachel Zierdt              | No it's required   | Are we doing this for grapes as well  |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 39 | Prohibit commercial cannabis cultivation in any scenic vistas or residential area where light or glare would impact a day or nighttime view in an area. (B)  | veva edelson               | Do you hold the same view on the monocropping of grapes?<br><br>My farm has created diversity in the scenery.  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 40 | The "feel" of Sonoma County would be affected by security cameras everywhere.  | Anna Ransome               | Not true   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 41 | Consider Community Separator provisions for rural residential neighborhoods and unincorporated towns. Protection would be provided for these residential enclaves throughout the County and would provide the same protection as community Separators closest to cities and towns by further protecting rural character and holding back sprawl and further parcelization of agricultural lands for residential uses.(GA-VS)                           | veva edelson               | Maybe we should stop building houses on ag land  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 42 | if this rigorous process were in place when the baby boomers were in their prime we would have no wine industry and tourism in sonoma county. isn't it hypocritical for boomers to change the laws on their way out of this life after they already ruined the world with their terrible culture and policies?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Agreed   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 43 | There are countless lovely private views from residents of this county. So placement of hoop houses and 8 foot fencing with night time security lighting needs to not impact private views   | katherine yates            | The lighting has to be motion sensored and not causing light pollution.  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 44 | cannabis guidelines already have included policy for limiting visibility. Also not all cannabis is grown in hoop houses  | steven sommer              | Agreed   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 45 | treat cannabis like any other ag crop and don't subject us all to this energy drain. these old pothole lawyers and their old friends have nothing better to do but to delay this process. isn't that relevant?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  | Absolutely agree! We just have to get passed these extremely antiquated and biased stigmas. | Sorry that was wrong thread... but love this idea! | Agreed   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 46 | Can we get some presentations from cannabis cultivators or potential cultivators on what cannabis grows look like and what they see as pros and cons of cultivation?   | Kimberly Susukida          | Great idea!  | Wouldn't that be nice   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 47 | What I would love to see is a healthy integrated poly-culture regenerative farm with a resident farm house with the owner operator living on the land while farming it. Grow small amounts 10,000 sq. ft or under in the ground outside with no hoops. Grow one crop a year planting early in the spring to take advantage of moisture in the ground. Water minimally if at all using drop irrigation. No security! Just like the rest of us out here. | veva edelson               | Sounds like my farm!!  | Absolutely agree! We just have to get passed these extremely antiquated and biased stigmas. | Agreed   | Beauty is in the eye of the beholder, I guess. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 48 | Cannabis adds to the visual beauty.  | Craig Litwin               | It sure does!  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 49 | The existing requirements of biotic studies, cultural resource studies, setbacks, slope, water availability studies already keep cannabis limited to tightly defined Ag Zoned areas. This should not be changed as it already limits cannabis to appropriate areas within our beautiful county.  | Lori Pascarella            | Agreed   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 50 | lea, lia, da zones   | ron ferraro                |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 51 | Concentrated in industrial and commercial zoned land   | judith olney               | Do not agree   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 52 | What I would love to see is a healthy integrated poly-culture regenerative farm with a resident farm house with the owner operator living on the land while farming it. Grow small amounts 10,000 sq. ft or under in the ground outside with no hoops. Grow one crop a year planting early in the spring to take advantage of moisture in the ground. Water minimally if at all using drop irrigation. No security! Just like the rest of us out here. | veva edelson               |  | Not true and please site your reference   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 53 | Allow only in Industrial zone! One secure complex, collocates with top lab testing site to verify THC and CBD product safety. This is drug production.   | Moira Jacobs               | This is an agricultural county. Young people need to enter the farming sector as this is a dying trade. Young people are interested in farming cannabis. | Agree with Anna. No visual blight if all grown indoors.                                     |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 54 | The only places where cannabis would not detract from the visual beauty of the county are commercial and industrial zones where the security apparatus does not mar the rural nature of the most aesthetic aspects of the county or in some designated cannabis growing zone that is located out of public view and not impacting residences, parks, etc.  | Anna Ransome               | Not true do not agree  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 55 | What I would love to see is a healthy integrated poly-culture regenerative farm with a resident farm house with the owner operator living on the land while farming it. Grow small amounts 10,000 sq. ft or under in the ground outside with no hoops. Grow one crop a year planting early in the spring to take advantage of moisture in the ground. Water minimally if at all using drop irrigation. No security! Just like the rest of us out here. | veva edelson               |  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                                  | Answer(s)                      | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|----------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| 56 | chapter 38 was very clear and stuck to the state law well. why not mention how chapter 38 already passed planning? what about all the work folks did on that?  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | They ignored the public.  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 57 | Hoop structures provide not just crop protection and quality enhancement capabilities, but also provide visual screening of the cultivation area thus enhancing security. They can also be screened themselves with fencing, shrubs and trees  | ron ferraro                | Agreed  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 58 | The EIR must determine any increase in public costs for landfill and wastewater treatment capacity, water pipelines, additional Code Enforcement, police and fire services. It should be determined if these services will need to be increased and whether increased public costs will diminish revenue from this industry.   | Nancy Richardson           |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 59 | classified industrial areas. To have a certain number in more rural settings for cannabis tourism, choose areas far from neighborhoods.  | katherine yates            | Do not agree  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 60 | Some day hemp will grow dairy belt that should look planted like alfa  | steven sommer              |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 61 | setbacks are too extreme and are only in place to protect politicians who are trying to appease these unappeasable old abulance chasing lawyers?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 62 | Should be located: commercial industrial zones or very small grows on large ag parcels (>10 acres) if not visible from residential areas   | Rebecca Bass               | Do not agree  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 63 | It should be grown where it can't be seen from the public roads  | Kathy Pons                 | As well as grapes   | Exactly  | Agreed with sam                            |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 64 | Why would Cannabis, a farmed plant, be any less appealing to the eye than Acres of other Agriculture that are already prevalent?   | Sam De La Paz              | Simple. Hoop houses and security fences.                                    |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 65 | Industrial areas where odor, noise, traffic will not affect neighborhoods.   | Robert Nissenbaum          | Do not agree  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 66 | Cannabis is better outdoors, not indoors. Renewable energy is needed for other uses besides growing plants.  | Craig Litwin               | Agreed  | You also have said we shouldn't have weddings in Sonoma. | Weddings are not agricultural promotion    | What should we have? Anything? | It has been cultivated in Sonoma county for decades! And Sonoma county is an optimum location considering it's climate and tourism | Sonoma should create national testing lab complex, lots of very high paying jobs. If you prove both THC and CBD are great for everyone, then terrific. Yet even then Sonoma will never be optimal place to produce pot or hemp. It can be produced in water rich industrial Ag areas in other parts of country. | You have no idea what you are talking about |           |           |
| 67 | Sonoma County is not optimum for cultivation of cannabis - we do not need to degrade our rural character to enjoy consuming here   | judith olney               | Agree!  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 68 | Would non-hoop house/outdoor grows be done without high fencing to keep out animal, domestic and wild? Without all-night lighting? Without guards with guns?   | Mary Plimpton              |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 69 | are we able to ask if craig harrison is paid for his work against the cannabis industry. i see many names in this chat have contributed to the gofundme. some contributed \$1000. what are they paying for? is harrison getting rich doing this work? just curious.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 70 | The EIR should determine if Sonoma County's landfill has the capacity to accept all the plastic from the number of hoop houses anticipated. The sheeting has to be disposed every 2 to 3 years and cannot be recycled.   | Nancy Richardson           | Agree!  |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 71 | What I would love to see is a healthy integrated poly-culture regenerative farm with a resident farm house with the owner operator living on the land while farming it. Grow small amounts 10,000 sq. ft or under in the ground outside with no hoops. Grow one crop a year planting early in the spring to take advantage of moisture in the ground. Water minimally if at all using drop irrigation. No security! Just like the rest of us out here. | veva edelson               |   |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 72 | The DA zoning is based on historical uses of land. Times have changed, and many properties in DA zones are owned by people who purchased them for their bucolic views, not to raise crops or livestock.  | katherine yates            | very good points. There's Ag-intensive DA, and there's more residential DA. | Agreed   |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 73 | it should be allowed anywhere other crops are allowed. this is discriminatory.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Without security fences, parking, hoop houses etc???                        |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 74 | What I would love to see is a healthy integrated poly-culture regenerative farm with a resident farm house with the owner operator living on the land while farming it. Grow small amounts 10,000 sq. ft or under in the ground outside with no hoops. Grow one crop a year planting early in the spring to take advantage of moisture in the ground. Water minimally if at all using drop irrigation. No security! Just like the rest of us out here. | veva edelson               |   | Proposed for water storage near Graton.                  | and right next to a walking, biking trail. |                                |  |   |   |           |           |
| 75 | How does 30 parking places, 18 greenhouses, 12 water towers and a guard house in a DA zone against a neighborhood not be visual blight? This was proposed by me.   | Bridget Beytagh            | What are the twelve water towers for?                                       |  |  |                                |  |   |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 76 | The MND prepared for Chapter 38 was in violation of CEQA.  | Sonia Taylor               | It is NOT the existing Ordinance - the existing ordinance requires a Use Permit as required by state law                     |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 77 | sonoma county has a county seal. look it up. it says AGRICULTURE, INDUSTRY, RECREATION. it does not say BOOMERS, AUTHORITARIAN, WASHED UP LAWYERS.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  | Not true  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 78 | Lake and Mendocino counties have far fewer residents, and lots more water. They are much more appropriate counties for cannabis cultivation than Sonoma county is  | katherine yates            | Meanwhile we have 65k acres of grapes? Please make sense of this for me... I am all ears.                                    | Yesss!  | Agreed  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 79 | AR zoning also allows ag. Consider AR zones for smaller cottage grows allowing home based cottage businesses.  | Craig Litwin               | Yes! Support the small Family farmers  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 80 | thre future should be 38 and add some.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 81 | supervisor want legal protection from lawsuit so the need an EIR. chapter 38 is what should be repropsoed.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | EIR is the law.  | Napa punts said no!!  | Napa County.  | Do not agree   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 82 | 100 foot setback from vineyards may not be adequate - more study is required.<br><br>Napa's and Santa Barbara's grape growers have identified multiple reasons why vineyards cannot coexist with cannabis cultivation, including warnings about conflicts with cannabis resulting in vineyard operators having to change to less effective farming practices and compete for increasingly scarce water resources.  | judith olney               | Wine Spectator came out with a lengthy article stating scientific facts about how vineyards and cannabis can coexist easily. | Board already rejected allowing commercial cultivation in the Rural Residential District. Let's not go backwards. | They are asking for suggestions for future. This one move excluded thousands of small family farmers. | I have planted over 40 trees to create visual screening including 33 redwoods<br><br>As well as fruit tree berms<br><br>I grow annuals such as corn and sunflowers |           |           |           |           |           |
| 83 | Cottage cultivation in AR/RR zones   | Dr. Natasha Khalouf        | NO cultivation should be allowed in the Rural Residential Zone   |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 84 | Never in voter protected community separators.   | Sonia Taylor               |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 85 | The EIR should determine the number of parcels in and adjacent to open space, parks and community separators where scenic vistas must remain unsullied by industrial fencing, hoop house and visual blight associated with grows. The taxpayers overwhelmingly approved taxes for these sensitive parcels and greatly value them.  | Nancy Richardson           |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 86 | we dont want cultivation in illegal residences above garages in neiughbors glass houses  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Agreed   | No setbacks at all this is ag   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 87 | 300 ft from nearest residence  | ron ferraro                | Not enough   |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 88 | Sonoma County's tourist industry is largely based on its rural character, bucolic countryside vistas and rolling green hills dotted with grazing animals. To protect this valuable industry, Sonoma County must identify existing and eligible cannabis cultivation sites that may apply for a cannabis cultivation permit and provide an analysis of view sheds and simulations of views from public viewpoints such as trails, roadways, parks and scenic vistas to determine the best siting to mitigate visual impacts. (VS) | veva edelson               | Not true   |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 89 | cannabis is part of the fabric of this county and has been for many years now. The califonia state fair will be judging cannabis alongside diary, wine and all ag products this year.  | steven sommer              | Sweet progress   | Public info and neighborhood groups that posted our names and address   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 90 | no one can see my crop. or smell it. but they still harrass and threaten me.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | So, how do they know?  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 91 | Commercial cannabis cultivation operations must not be visible in designated scenic Corridors or scenic landscape units. Siting of operations and/or screening must not degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views and its surroundings and/or have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista. (B)  | veva edelson               | Grapevines are also a blight and yet we're not talking about 600000acres   | Agree, a current tactic.  | The max per parcel is ONE single acre (4 permits)   | a direct result of the faulty Ag ministerial process   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 92 | I am concerned about people pulling multiple permits for small sizes but co-located so that it ends up as a large scale commercial production next to neighbor homes, children, wildlife; and also skirting EI studies. Example Franz Valley School Road.  | Denise Drawsky             | good point   | Town hall meetings were open to all residents. All you had to do was sign up.....                                 | Not true lori   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 93 | The board of supervisors cannabis ad hoc committee spent nearly two years conducting town halls, meeting with members of community, to draft updated ordinance. All of this work needs to be part of the public record. This work should not be discarded, or discredited as it directly pertains to update of cannabis ordinance. This would be nothing more than time lost and money wasted by the county.   | Lori Pascarella            | Again. They ignored the Public. Actually County refused to speak to our group.   | Do not agree  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 94 | Close to or within neighborhoods. Period.  | katherine yates            | I agree  |   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 95  | Prohibit cannabis hoop house use in any location that can be seen from a Scenic Corridor (B), a public park or a public right of way, classify hoop houses as outdoor cultivation with no electrical, plumbing or mechanical, limit the siting of hoop houses and require that no flapping plastic exists or contaminates the environment (DAE)   | veva edelson               | agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 96  | my neighbors loved me until they found out i was doing cannabis. they never would have known if not for my address being posted by neighbors to spite me.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Why w   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 97  | are we going to let 15 baby boomers who are stuck in their prejudices overwhelm this process?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Do not agree  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 98  | Consider commercial cannabis cultivation visual impacts on all of Sonoma County's natural environment. Cannabis is NOT like other agricultural uses. The necessary security fencing, lighting, etc., creates an industrial setting and should not be part of our otherwise bucolic landscape. (ST+)   | veva edelson               | agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 99  | Adequate separation from hospitality venues: the visual impacts as well as pungent "skunk-like" odor drifting to nearby existing commercial operations hurts their businesses, not in the "view or odor-scape" of existing tasting rooms.   | judith olney               | Again grapevines and winery should be banned then   | Agree   | Do not agree   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 100 | Hoop house mechanical features are noisy and require power and should not be permitted.   | Anna Ransome               | agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 101 | these boomers will be gone soon and their legacy will be shameful. why dont we let grandma and grandpa rest in their old age. make this MINISTERIAL and deactivate these folks who have had their way for far too long. move along grama. dont try to bully my young family.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Where is the date on water intensity?                       | And yet you let 60000 acres of grapes be planted   |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 102 | New Cannabis operations should not be allowed in SoCo when we are in a drought, and family farms are already being asked to conserve water. We have given up our yards. How is this water intensive crop sensible in this environmental climate?  | Denise Drawsky             | Agree!  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 103 | The future vision should be: one central production complex in industrial zone with collocated testing labs and R&D on THC and CBD. Sonoma could be the HQ for USA on proving out what if anything are useful and safe drug therapies. You will attract much better jobs here with this vision. It should include study of latest healthcare therapies as well. Let's see which drugs win the actual scientific testing.<br><br>The production of marijuana and hemp can and should be produced in water rich areas | Moira Jacobs               | This not true please do better research and educate yourself  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 104 | hoop houses should have acces to permited power for fans to provide for better yeilds to provide higher taxes for the county  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | And more noise for neighbors - 24/7   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 105 | Hoop houses are adouble edged problem. They are a huge visual blight but are also are a huge polluter and greenhouse gas generator with the hydor carbons of the plastic. All outdoor cannabis should be grown in the ground (no hoop houses, no artificial light). to acquire the sonoma terroir theymust be grown in the ground.  | Nancy Richardson           |   | No COMMERCIAL cannabis cultivation in or near neighborhoods | But there is no objection to other agriculture and their pesticide/fertilizer pollution??  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 106 | Adults can grow six plants, by law. It is already in neighborhoods.   | Craig Litwin               | Are they surrounded by high security fences, cameras, motion detector lights?                       |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 107 | hoop houses should be all year round and not limited to 180 days.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Agreed  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 108 | agreed  | ron ferraro                |   | Very few real "small family". Talking point.                | There are MANY small family farmers that would love to come online with acceptance from the community and pathways to permitting.<br><br>Not in my backyard groups have pushed out mom and pops and now are complaining about commercialization... seems ironic to me. | That would invite in big ag and I think you have a bigger problem |           |           |           |           |           |
| 109 | Not on parcels smaller than 20 acres  | katherine yates            | That excludes the small family farmer and welcomes the larger entities and out of county residents. | So what?? We evolve and improve.                            | Natasha is correct, pretending that Cannabis has not been a pillar of our constituency here is a disservice to our communities.  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 110 | 27 California counties DO NOT allow commercial marijuana cultivation. Not all counties believe there is any benefit to their residents, wildlife, or lands. This number may increase as Climate Change deepens and grows.   | Ann Storms                 | This is a county that has historically grown cannabis.  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 111 | not a good fit for people to retire in ag zones   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Some of us lived in them many years.  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                                       | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)           | Answer(s)    | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 112 | not a good fit for people to retire in ag zones  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Impractical                                     | Industrial zone only.   | Agree. It's doable. | Do not agree |           |           |           |           |
| 113 | Adequate setbacks from rural neighbor property lines is essential - minimum 600-1000 ft. This would solve many issues.   | Robert Nissenbaum          | agree   | Yes!  | No. There are 5 acre parcels in the Rural Residential zoning districts and therefore should NOT be allowed in that district, period. The Board agreed in 2018 that there should NOT be commercial cultivation in Rural Residential districts. | Agreed              |              |           |           |           |           |
| 114 | Reduce the parcel minimum to five acres for smaller cottage grows.   | Craig Litwin               | maybe   |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 115 | Visual impacts of cannabis operations will affect both public and private views, will affect voter protected Community Separator lands, scenic landscape units, Greenbelts, Greenways and expanded Greenbelts, in addition to Scenic Corridors.(ST+)   | veva edelson               |   | We are water rich the grapes are sucking us dry |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 116 | Good fit: water rich states  | Moira Jacobs               | Water rich, but we have plenty of water when not being used abusively by other Ag and pumping to other counties.  | Correct!  | Agreed  |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 117 | Some of the largest tax payers in this county are cannabis businesses  | steven sommer              | That is right.  | Moderator!                                      |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 118 | it would not be a good fit to let grandpa lawyer raise money from resentful mean spirited old timers. their families probably cannot stand these old folks. but they are able to force the county and the cannabis industry to devote this time and energy to their minority viewpoint. grandpa and grandma BOLSHEVIK BOOMER.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | What?! This comment makes no sense.   |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 119 | successful would be for the county to protect the high tax paying farmers from these manipulative control freak liars. i know first hand that they LIE and will do anything to harm young family farmers   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | It truly has more of impact than outdoor.       | Not true  |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 120 | Indoor cultivation commands a higher price in the market and does not impact our natural resources   | judith olney               | It has more of an environmental impact  |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 121 | hoop houses should be aesthetically pleasing and should receive violations if they are not visually acceptable   | ron ferraro                | Temporary plastic structures are by definition disruptive to rural character  |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 122 | i wish these boomer bullies were invisible.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | hoops should be year round for many reasons. water retention, sight, smell, etc  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Profits.  |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | Both separation and scale are important mitigations: Retain the 1-acre maximum size limit: Scenes from Santa Barbara with acres of industrial-scale developments, many with unsightly plastic hoop house structures, demonstrate the potential degradation of our scenic landscapes  | judith olney               | wholeheartedly agree!   | i agree   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | in the ground uncovered and less than 10,000 sq ft.  | veva edelson               | Permit and license fees are so high as well as taxes that small farmers are forced to scale Up. 10K Reducing entry costs would support the small farmer and allow this approach to be a reality |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | What are the regulations regarding proximity to schools and parks?   | Kimberly Susukida          | 1000ft.   | Agreed  | This is critical. If a crop is damaged it is a waste of water and resources. Protecting crops is good for the environment and should be considered in the EIR.  | Agreed              |              |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | Hoop houses protect crop quality from neighboring agricultural use spray-drift. Cultivators should be allowed to protect their crops with hoop houses because cannabis is subject to very stringent testing requirements, and any pesticides residues could cause failed testing and destruction of product  | ron ferraro                | agreed  | Not true  |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Hoop houses are ugly and an ecological nightmare with the need to replace non-recyclable plastic every few years. The price to dispose of this mass of petrochemical material will be born by all as dump tipping fees will go up for everyone. Neighbors in other counties where these are in abundance complain of the glare (and nighttime glow where allowed) and interrupted vistas. Google Santa Barbara or San Luis Obispo for a preview of what to expect with rampant hoop house development. | Anna Ransome               | Exactly - this is what we want to prevent from happening here!!!!   |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | mean old ladies are a blight and i'd like them to disappear  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | I do not agree                                  |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |
| 130 | grows in commercial and industrial zones could have tasting rooms adjacent that are made attractive the way restaurants are. There would be parking lots there already. for tourism.   | katherine yates            | this sounds like a constructive suggestion  |   |   |                     |              |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)           | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 131 | Hoop houses help to prevent unchecked vertical plant growth, and help to keep the plants screened from public view.  | Collin Davis               |  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | chapter 38 allowed for PERMITTED elec for hoops. this is same as state law   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | That was a change. Originally not allowed.                                 |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | hoop houses acually create a visual barrier so that you cannot see what is inside  | steven sommer              | Ugly   |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | Hoop houses, as temporary structures, are already allowed in Sonoma County. The EIR should study how to utilize fencing, trees and shrubs to screen hoop houses.   | Craig Litwin               | Agreed   | agree  | Please define "industrial scaled cannabis"? | Reduce restrictions and legacy farmers would enter the market willingly. | I hear you Natasha. | Agreed    |           |           |           |
| 135 | I don't want to see industrial scaled cannabis in Sonoma county. I thought the intention was to bring legacy farmers into compliance not to invite an industrial scaled cannabis industry into the county  | veva edelson               | agree  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | Cannabis should be located on Ag Zone properties, within appropriate setbacks, and slopes, and should be contained within hoop structures or greenhouses to screen from view, and help growers to be able to have better quality crops and yields so they generate better jobs creation, taxes, and reputation for Sonoma outdoor grown cannabis.  | Lori Pascarella            |  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | MINISTERIAL permits should allow for immature plant area without the CUP boomer nightmare  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | Vegetative fences can shield views of farms from the outside.  | Lindsay Blyth              | They all look obvious and take years to grow.                              |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | The FOUR kinds of scenic resources in our General Plan that are recommended to be protected are: Scenic Landscape Units, Scenic Landscape Units, Scenic Highways and Corridors and Greenbelts, Greenways and Expanded Greenbelts. The cannabis EIR should ensure these resources are protected.  | Nancy Richardson           | agree  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 140 | Typical security fencing is cyclone fencing with wood slats for privacy, with barbed wire above at 8 feet. There is nothing appealing about this, so ban security fencing and lighting for grows in rural landscapes   | katherine yates            |  | It has already been determined that our landfills are over capacity      | I'd like to see proof.                      |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 141 | The plastic in hoop houses is a nightmare from an environmental standpoint. We banned the use of plastic bags for a reason. Sheet plastic cannot easily be recycled (or at all), and I doubt we have the landfill capacity to dispose of it, and it has to be thrown away every 2-3 years.   | Sonia Taylor               | they are recycled  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 142 | the ww1 vets were never like this to the boomers generation. thats why grandpa lawyer has had such a charmed life. why is this bad generation allowed to be so self serving over and over again? this is nuts to let these narcissists be a part of this.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 143 | collective farming is right out of the russian revolution  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | future starts with chapter 38. that process created an approvable ordinance.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Was thrown out.  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | Cannabis crop quality and yield is improved due to protection from excess heat or solar radiation during high heat index days. Improved quality and yield results in better sales revenues, and ultimately tax revenues to county and state. Hoop houses protect cannabis from excess heat or solar radiation.   | ron ferraro                | Agreed   | Very recycled item   |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 146 | There should be a recycling program for hoop plastics.   | Lindsay Blyth              | It's not recyclable, unfortunately.  |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 147 | If growers need to use hoop houses, they should be located in industrial/ag areas. For example, there is a cannabis retail operation in Santa Rosa with several acres open behind it.  | Denise Drawsky             | Do not agree   | Do not agree   |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 148 | Hoop house should not include plumbing and electrical.   | Anna Ransome               | Agreed - this increases fire and night light impacts                       | agree  | Agreed                                      |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 149 | Hoop structures provide not just crop protection and quality enhancement capabilities, but also provide visual screening of the cultivation area thus enhancing security. They can also be screened themselves with fencing, shrubs and trees  | ron ferraro                | agree  | Thank you great comment and so true                                      |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 150 | the looks would be happy hard working family farmers and not a full time job defending the industry from pothole lawyers   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | You make no sense!   | You make no sense read the facts that are out there and educate yourself |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 151 | The future vision should be: one central production complex in industrial zone with collocated testing labs and R&D on THC and CBD. Sonoma could be the HQ for USA on proving out what if anything are useful and safe drug therapies. You will attract much better jobs here with this vision. It could include study of latest of ALL healthcare therapies. Let's see which drugs win the actual scientific testing. Big ??s for THC require testing<br><br>Lgmarijuana/hemp should only be produced in water rich areas | Moira Jacobs               | Co-locating will also reduce GHG from distribution.                        | hemp was farmed for fiber for many years                                 | Not profitable.                             | I disagree Cannabis has sooooo many uses                                 |                     |           |           |           |           |
| 152 | NEVER allow cannabis in Community Separators. The text of Measure K (passed by 173,000 voters in Sonoma County) specifically states that agricultural uses in the Community Separators are for the production of food or fiber. Cannabis is neither food nor fiber.  | Nancy Richardson           | Hemp is can be considered both food and fiber!! And Identical to Cannabis! |  |   |  |                     |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                         | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 153 | screening with plants   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 154 | Legalize Cannabis today   | Vince scholten             |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 155 | screening w plants that will grow tall enough to block 70% of view within 5 years of planting   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 156 | wine is going to what wine for napa   | steven sommer              | correction: cannabis could do for sonoma what wine has done for napa                                   |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 157 | Don't allow cannabis to cover viable ag land with buildings – which means no processing and limited employee buildings. Buildings create impermeable surfaces increasing potential for flooding and lessening aquifer recharge.   | Anna Ransome               | Do not allow on prime soils  | But not recyclable   | Agreed and recycleable  |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 158 | Just as plastic dripline is used to save water use, plastic hoop houses save crops from being wasted by pesticide/smoke drift, saving water.  | Craig Litwin               | I agree and also would love to see better plastics recycling programs for all agricultural industries. | From what Cannabis specifically or Grapes and all Ag? Is there bias toward Cannabis only here?                           | Love cannabis. Not commercial cultivation in the wrong places.            | And yet we have 60000 acres of grapes  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 159 | Bottom line: the County needs to protect our visual resources.  | Nancy Richardson           | absolutely!  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 160 | AGRICULTURE, INDUSTRY, RECREATION   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 161 | I would suggest a distance of at least 1 mile from schools and parks.   | Kimberly Susukida          | For all ag Think you might find it hard to find food soon  | Yes!   | Just because other crops behave badly doesn't mean cannabis should.       | Agreed   |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 162 | How can we chastise one crop (that is more sustainable & regenerative) and try to hide it, when other industries are responsible for plenty of controversy, pollution and blight on our counties?   | Sam De La Paz              | Yes, exactly.  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 163 | screening w plants that will grow tall enough to block 70% of view within 5 years of planting   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 164 | the red guard never had this much control. ask the white movement (which included all races for those triggered by the word white).   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 165 | A successful program would mirror the grape and wine industry in Sonoma County, only on less acreage. Cannabis can be sustainably farmed, will soon be able to certified organic and classified under a designated appellation the same as wine! It will be legal nationally and Sonoma County needs to act now to be a part of the future market growth, or it will be left behind.                              | Lori Pascarella            | Agreed   | The State defines cannabis as a product - it is not a crop like other county agriculture                                 | The EIR should study what treating cannabis like other ag means.          | Again, neither State and County law does not allow the "right to farm" to apply to cannabis operations | Eventually, it will be normalized like other crops. Likely by the time this process is done. Let's make an effort to encourage a plant that does not use pesticides and is more economically viable than grapes get the foothold it needs to redefine what healthy ag should look like. | This is ag any way you look at it |           |           |           |
| 166 | Cannabis is NOT like other ag. Because of the necessary security, fencing, lighting etc... it is essentially industrial in nature and should not be part of our otherwise bucolic landscape.  | Nancy Richardson           | It is only because of this very present stigma and prohibition!  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 167 | stop letting small parcels that were broken off of ag lands dictate to the large ag parcels. THAT IS BACKWARDS  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 168 | Landscaped berms would work better than vegetation alone  | judith olney               | At what cost   |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 169 | Only native vegetation should be used for screening ugly fencing, not straight rows of something like Leyland cypress – a blight on the landscape. Growers should be required to replace dead or unhealthy vegetation that is used for screening.   | Anna Ransome               |  | Agree  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 170 | The EIR should consider how legalization may allow cannabis to fall under the Right to Farm ordinance. The EIR should study how to encourage best practices for cannabis cultivation when it is eventually treated like Hemp. Best practices for hoop house maintenance, waste and recycling efforts, and visual impacts should be developed when we cannot mandate unreasonable rules for an agricultural plant. | Craig Litwin               | Agree completely with this!  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 171 | chapter 38 had a lot of approvable ideas. might be worth a look?  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  | This is what I'm talking about!! More sustainable and more regenerative pioneers like Dr. Natasha need to be supported!! | Very nice   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 172 | I have planted over 40 trees including 33 redwoods<br><br>Grow fruit trees in berms<br><br>As well as annuals such as corn and sunflowers   | Dr. Natasha Khalilouf      | Thank you  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 173 | let the boomers start a design board. that's the solution   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |  |   |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |
| 174 | this ordinance will only be as good as the process that created it. The nature of this process is polarizing. We should focus on common ground and a less stressfull intense process  | veva edelson               | Agreed   | Thank you for sharing this information Moira. Please call (707) 565-1992 to report a possible cannabis violation.        | Please call on all the grapevines that are a visual blight on this county |  |   |                                   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                         | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)       | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|----------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| 175 | We can see a huge THC production facility from the Sonoma Mountain County Park, from the trails. The blight is already happening. This blight in Bennett Valley breaks the current law since it should not be visible from parks. There's a big trailer there too, likely workers living there illegally. Wellspring Rd. Please close that operation. It's a blight and dumping garbage. | Moira Jacobs               | Good point!  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 176 | let cannabis farms have RV residences for full time security. the homeless are allowed to camp on county roads for years at a time without consequence. why cant land owners allow an RV with an employee for full time safety and security  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 177 | Cannabis operators should not be required to put in vegetative screening that requires irrigation. Fences and hoop structures more than adequately screen plants from view while protecting the crop.  | Lori Pascarella            | If so, then it definitely should be only in industrial zones.                              |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 178 | The security measures required for such a high value crop are in themselves ugly and jarring and naturally separate cannabis operations from traditional agriculture. Doesn't everyone recognize cannabis grow sites by their security features?   | Anna Ransome               | Another reason to incent cannabis operations in industrial zones where security is present |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 179 | screens made with trees that get tall could adversely affect views, so the species of screen plants needs to be monitored.   | katherine yates            | I use native trees or beneficials such as nitrogen fixing of fruit.                        |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 180 | the county has to take responsibility for activating these 80 year old hack lawyers from having a end of life hobby bullying this young industry.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 181 | The Board should not exacerbate existing visual problems in the County by expanding cannabis cultivation with its visual impacts.  | Richard Rudnansky          | Do not agree   | Is there a model eco-friendly and aesthetically pleasing cannabis grow anywhere?  | Yes Anna there are a few of us    | I have seen them in the past when growers grew a few plants in amongst the tomatoes. The push for millions is what is driving this into the scale that is disruptive | Love to see it. | There are many models that our Cannabis industry and the community therein are pioneering. These models will exceed any other Ag models to date. | Thank you for this constructive dialogue everyone. SOLUTIONS are the key | Agreed    |           |
| 182 | We should focus on the farmers that are doing a great job and learn from each other  | veva edelson               | I agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 183 | the blight is the LACK of freedom. thanks grandpa. your generation sure was the best buddy.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 184 | Without the opportunity to have deep conversations in a safe environment we are crippled   | veva edelson               | Agreed   |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 185 | harrison's address is easily found with a quick search. nice house. life sure was a lot more free for him to have a charmed life.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  | I hear you Ann, none of us want to see that! Our small family farmers that have been waiting in the pipeline for 4 yrs are not trying to green rush in here. They have been pillars of the community and should be supported before any more corporate and commercialized operations are even considered. | Totally agree. Very well said Ann | Not true we are trying to make a better future   |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 186 | This cannabis frenzy reminds me of the ill-fated Gold Rush. A mad dash to make a buck, and the beautiful California hillsides destroyed. Just like this current cannabis frenzy, that everyone seems to think is such a great idea for us all.   | Ann Storms                 | good point!  | Agreed  |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 187 | Why are we so focused on screening, when it only needs to be normalized! Hemp can be farmed without a fence or screening and is the SAME plant!  | Sam De La Paz              | Exactly! Study what no screening looks like.   |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 188 | does anyone live close to harrison? 4953 Sonoma Mountain Rd. Santa Rosa Ca   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 189 | It is not just about protecting the crop! The unsightly view of hoop houses should be creatively addressed. Place artistic sculptures, painted flowers, etc. if you don't want to grow plants.   | Denise Drawsky             |  | Please look at the grapevines which are a blight  |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 190 | Our tourist industry is mainly based on our rural character with our lovely and scenic views. This valuable industry must be protected. Public viewpoints from trails, roadways and parks must not be sullied or degraded.   | Nancy Richardson           | agree  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 191 | curious if he enjoys his address being posted as much as he likes to post cannabis farms addresses. we have families and we want to be safe.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |
| 192 | Agree. Very well said  | Richard Rudnansky          |  | All of them?? Or just some.   |                                   |  |                 |  |  |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 193 | The alignment of county regulations with state regulations to allow for temporary hoop structures, tarps and low wattage lighting as in Tier 1 Mixed Lighting classification should be allowed so that cultivators can protect their crops from contaminants and help provide the best quality possible. Sustainable farming practices to increase product quality and yields can set Sonoma County at the forefront of a developing industry as a major player.                                | Lori Pascarella            | Yes! Line up local regs with state regs.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | Anyone is free to grow hemp, so why are they not. No problem.   | Bridget Beytagh            |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | send a letter to craig harrison and tell him how you feel about his operation. tens of thousands of dollars have been raised for him to chase cannabis farmers instead of chasing potholes and hawaiian birds. tough life gramps.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | CRAIG HARRISON: 4953 Sonoma Mountain Rd. Santa Rosa Ca  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | That is my desire and what I have been doing since permitted in 2018   | Exactly what is needed thank you  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | A perfect cultivation site would be promoting bio-dynamic practices that support biodiveristy and also grow companion plants and make it a enjoyable and asthetically pleasing expeience.   | steven sommer              | Yes!!!  | Agreed and hemp is the same plant  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | Do we screen Cannabis with Hemp? Would that work under the regs? Hemp does not need a screen, but cannabis does. The irony is clear.<br><br>It is time to normalize our perspective on this plant and see it for the environmental shift in local ag that we need. At more value per acre it is the superior environmenal alternative for any land use.   | Craig Litwin               | Please grow hemp then.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | hoops should be allowed all year if the operator would like. the visual would be better for jerks who dont like the looks of a plant  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | STATE LAW   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | No one's minds the look of the plant - it's the commercial/industrial operation.  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | The future vision should be: one central production complex in industrial zone with collocated testing labs and R&D on THC and CBD. Sonoma could be the HQ for USA on proving out what if anything are useful and safe drug therapies. You will attract much better jobs here with this vision. It should include study of latest healthcare therapies as well. Let's see which drugs win the actual scientific testing.<br><br>marijuana and hemp should be produced in water rich areas only. | Moira Jacobs               | Do not agree this is just not how ag works and you now want to pick and choose which crops you like. Not being very grangerly   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | I had to join late - I did not get to see your example of the supply chain. Can you send it to me via email?  | Denise Drawsky             | Hi Denise - you can find it on slide 7: <a href="https://share.sonoma-county.org/link-pn0a5McFKU/PowerPoint%20Presentations/Cannabis%20Update%20Visioning%20Session%20PPT%20Visual%20Considerations%2008-12-2021.pdf">https://share.sonoma-county.org/link-pn0a5McFKU/PowerPoint%20Presentations/Cannabis%20Update%20Visioning%20Session%20PPT%20Visual%20Considerations%2008-12-2021.pdf</a> | NO ONE, on either side of the aisle, should be publishing addresses. I hope the SOS website finally pulled that map down of commercial cannabis addresses as well. |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | Mute Kulak who is publishing personal addresses.  | Anna Ransome               | these anti cannabis groups have been posted our addresses for years. it is VERY DANGEROUS   |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | Difficult question to answer - obviously depends on where each element is located...whereever it is, it needs to fit the character of the area where it is located  | Rebecca Bass               | The use permit process must include project-specific design review  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 205 | lets make all hoops have to be painted with pictures of lenin and stalin so that the commie boomers feel like they won.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | NO ONE, on either side of the aisle, should be publishing addresses. I hope the SOS website finally pulled that map down of commercial cannabis addresses as well. |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | I thought that you were going to prevent seeing objectionable comments. They are still coming in.   | rachel Zierdt              | Yes. Kulak is putting in personal addresses. Delete!  |  |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | Moderators - Enforce your rules with Kulak.   | Anna Ransome               |   | How do you centralize all businesses in our economic system? Does not seem to make sense.  | seems like a reasonable location for elements like dispensaries and tasting lounges |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | Set aside land in an industrial/commercial zone and set it up like the Barlow in Sebastopol, where there is a mix of grow buildings, dispensaries, research labs and tasting rooms  | katherine yates            | Some of us are farmers and would like the opportunity to farm. Not work in a warehouse  | No problem with retail, only commercial production in wrong place.   |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 209 | Most cannabis operations should be in industrial areas. Retail should be within incorporated jurisdictions.   | Sonia Taylor               | Still respectfully disagree. Retail should be allowed in the county, in Forestville, Graton, etc. Other commercial activities are allowed in the county, why not a highly regulated dispensary? |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 210 | these anti cannabis groups have been posted our addresses for years. it is VERY DANGEROUS   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 211 | silence him!!   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 212 | FREE SPEECH   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 213 | Most retail locations zoned in municipal are located nextdoor to eachother, however, retail cannabis has setbacks between retail locations.   | Lindsay Blyth              |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 214 | ag land is LEA DA LIA   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 215 | CHAPTER uh 38!!!  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 216 | anything new being accomplished here? groundhog day again.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | witnessed and agree   | Not minority or Prohibitionist. Just want intelligent land use.                      | agree with Bridget - we are just trying to prevent our beautiful valley from being overtaken by unsightly agribusiness |           |           |           |           |           |
| 217 | According to statistics provided at last week's Wine and Weed Symposium in Santa Rosa, Sonoma County has potential to grow immensely in tourism specifically connected to cannabis. This growth should not be impeded by catering to the minority voice of prohibitionists. The new ordinance should mirror state regulations and embrace tourism with events, and tasting lounges. | Lori Pascarella            | YES   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 218 | Sonoma County cities have defined density and other criteria for dispensaries.  | judith olney               |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 219 | State regulations are very restrictive - rightly so given public and traffic safety impacts   | judith olney               |   | seems like existing rules have not been adequately enforced | I have very little traffic at my farm. My neighbors with horse stalls have much more |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 220 | So how do neighbors understand 11 plots of cannabis in family home neighborhoods with commercial traffic moving the product from the grow house to the highways? I have observed an increase in such commercial traffic near existing cannabis operations.<br>How do the existing county ordinances address that?   | Denise Drawsky             | Yes, big problem!   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 221 | COUNTY should allow tasting at farms and dispensaries if not visible to public  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 222 | Example of good dispensary: Sparc on N. Dutton Ave.   | Denise Drawsky             |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 223 | the county already does not allow any light to be seen. that also was addressed in the ordinance changes made in CHAPTER 38. we spent 2-3 years on that process. waiting, dealing w grampa pothole lawyer, etc. has anyone see how ,much these hack lawyers raise for mean old ladies? take a look. easy search.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 224 | Farmers should be allowed to sell direct to consumers, through tourism and tasting rooms. This would eliminate large commercialized transport and shipping, while creating destinations for tourism within our county. Cannabis should be treated in parity with other industries.  | Sam De La Paz              | Agreed!   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 225 | as a "right to farm" issue everyone should read the ag element of the general plan. a lot of what we are discussing has already been made policy in that plan.  | steven sommer              |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 226 | Warm spectrum LED lighting has less harmful impacts on bird migration and human sleep.  | Anna Ransome               | Thank you Anna, great solution!   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 227 | There should be incentives for Eco-Conscious lighting.  | Sam De La Paz              |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 228 | None in hoop houses: Pictures from Mendo hoop house operations show the disruption to night sky when electrical is allowed  | judith olney               | This is largely referring to unregulated grows. In Mendo licensed operators are required to screen lighting. That can be blocked by coverage at night.  | Not at all true, sorry, this is agriculture.                |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 229 | The lighting problem is another of the 500 reasons these need to be in industrial zone!   | Moira Jacobs               | My 10k uses no lighting   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 230 | the tired lighting for low wattage for mixed lights and hoops houses was done very well by andrew and scott in the CHAPTER 38.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 231 | Allow mixed lighting, in line with state law.   | Craig Litwin               | Agree!  |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 232 | Night lighting should be prohibited. Downward facing lighting is still visible from a distance.   | Sonia Taylor               |   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 233 | lighting in hoop houses/greenhouses, should have no light pollution at night  | ron ferraro                | Agree   |   |  |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|----------------------------|---|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 234 | I would not want the lighting to contribute to evening light pollution.  | Denise Drawsky             | Neither would I, there needs to be measures to reduce nighttime light pollution, for sure.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 235 | low tax rates and not TARP TAX that makes mixed light unaffordable   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 236 | There are existing lighting standards that should be maintained.   | Craig Litwin               | Agree   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 237 | Motion detector of short duration only.  | Anna Ransome               |   | Agreed   | CHAPTER 38 sure was GOOD. that's why it passed planning.  | Yessss!  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 238 | Hoop houses should be allowed the use of minimal lighting as in Tier 1 Mixed Lighting state licensing (less than 6 watts per square foot). This allows for improvements in quality and yield by allowing the cultivator to minimally enhance available lumens during foggy, cloudy or otherwise low light days | ron ferraro                | EXACTLY.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 239 | mendo hoop pics are not what we have here.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 240 | Humbolt County has a huge problem with night lighting from operations. Even a name - glowworms.  | Bridget Beytagh            | Those are illicit grows primarily I believe.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 241 | Nightime exterior lighting is particularly harmful to insects/animals, which has negative implications for birds, mammals etc up the food chain. EIR will go into this in much more detail, I'm sure.  | Caroline Koss              | Yes! No lighting period in rural areas, messes with wildlife and people, plus think through the net new electric generation this all takes. |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 242 | they love their old facts and stats and figures  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Exactly  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 243 | lighting issues can be mitigated by covering at night  | steven sommer              | Exactly.  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 244 | Hoop houses should be allowed lighting per state law, and lighting must be screened.   | Craig Litwin               | Yes!  |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 245 | STATE LAW GRANNY   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 246 | the law is already strong on light leak  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Agree  | Agree.  | Yes! Light pollution is a health and environmental concern                             | agreed    | Agree!    |           |           |           |
| 247 | Keep the nights dark. Make the standard that light pollution is not acceptable.  | Craig Litwin               | agree   | agreed   | agreed  | As long as they are used. In Humbolt they don't bother - I suspect many wouldn't here. | Agree     |           |           |           |           |
| 248 | The Sonoma county industry should be able to engage in all of the same practices as other cultivators in the state, including mixed light Tier 1. Black out tarps can be utilized to contain all lighting so that none escapes hoop structures.  | Lori Pascarella            | agree   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 249 | All practices allowed in Tier 1 Mixed Lighting under the state regulations, under the California Department of Food & Agriculture CalCannabis Division program which has merged with other state cannabis regulatory and enforcement agencies as the Department of Cannabis Control                            | ron ferraro                | agree   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 250 | Where do we look for these links you are posted? I feel like I walked into a movie and it is over. I really need to understand more about this new industry.   | Denise Drawsky             |   | Agree Marcy! Without first determining the potential impacts on existing businesses and our tourism draw, elected officials may be throwing long-established Ag and visitor-serving businesses under the bus for an unstudied industry - with unintended consequences and irreparable damage to Sonoma County's economy. | Agree.  |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 251 | Hoophouses will degrade one of So.Co. biggest income asset. What tourists expect to experience when they visit here—a non-industrial landscape. Don't mar the beauty of our lovely county by allowing hoophouses in any areas other than in industrial designated areas.                                       | Marcy Meadows              | yes   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 252 | grandma slang word of the year: glow worm  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | And violators should be shut down.   |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 253 | Black out tarps should be allowed to be used to contain all light within hoop structures or green houses. Align with state regs on this.   | Lori Pascarella            | agree   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 254 | The more rural a light is, the bigger its impact on the night time. I own a 3 acre property in rural Sonoma County. One 60 watt lightbulb throws light hundreds of feet on my land. Lights need to be hooded to a downward-only direction, and soft LED  | katherine yates            |   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 255 | ordinance 6245 was enhanced and corrected by CHAPTER 38 and CHAPTER 26 edits. WHY ARE WE IGNORING THAT EXCELLENT WORK? did we all waste our time on that?  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Yes, we need to reduce nighttime light pollution   | agree....there is ways to mitigate light pollution easily |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 256 | Light pollution is a concern. Many of us enjoy viewing the stars.  | Kimberly Susukida          | Agree   |  |   |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                     | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 257 | I'm sorry - where do I look for these links?   | Denise Drawsky             | <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Long-Range-Plans/General-Plan/Open-Space-and-Resource-Conservation/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Long-Range-Plans/General-Plan/Open-Space-and-Resource-Conservation/</a><br>Section 2.4 | Also not right.   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 258 | The light concern is across the board and not just for growers.  | Kimberly Susukida          | Yes my neighbors near my farm have the most obvious lights as well as the stadium lights at the adjacent vineyard  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 259 | Keep grows in industrial settings, where security lighting is perfectly appropriate  | katherine yates            | Agree  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 260 | could you give them link to ag element   | steven sommer              | live answered  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 261 | Clustering of cultivation sites onto larger ag zone properties should be allowed to help address general plan and open space resource conservation concerns.   | Lori Pascarella            |  | agree - and once it's gone, it's gone forever. Like climate change, we need to think ahead before it's too late   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 262 | Lights, fans, trucks, many vehicle trips, generators = INDUSTRIAL. you're turning Sonoma's rural spaces into industrial before our very eyes. Horrible public policy!  | Moira Jacobs               | Again, the EIR must assess the cumulative impacts to ag zoned lands – our Ag and open space lands are dying the death of 1000 cuts.  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 263 | Thank you  | Denise Drawsky             | live answered  | Agree   | Not ag according to state law | Wrong. Actually pot production is 24/7x365. Wine has a few times in year with mild noise issues, it's not everyday 365 like THC drug production. Industrial!   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 264 | wine industry uses crop lights and loud fans. there is nothing we can do to stop that. it MUST be the same for cannabis  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | Why?   |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 265 | great thank you  | steven sommer              |  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 266 | is any opposition here younger than 70? why are we writing an ordinance for these spiteful spoiled half brain dead boomers who already messed up everything they touched for the past 50 years?  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 267 | Unfortunately, Sonoma County's General Plan is horribly out of date. The Cannabis EIR will have to evaluate lighting adequately by today's standards.  | Sonia Taylor               | Note that ag building sizes are now more than double the size assumed in the Gen Plan EIR, and that large scale parking lots taking land out of Ag production were not even assessed.  |   |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |
| 268 | lets have a meeting with this same group of old folks to help us write the new ordinances about gay marriage and gender pronouns. that would be fruitful.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  | This is an extremely important and very true statement!   | Agree 100%                    | The allowance of compatible and reasonable expansion of Cannabis cultivation in Sonoma County could offset the impacts from other less accountable operations. |           |           |           |           |           |
| 269 | The standards on cannabis are more significant than any other plant (including the essentially identical Hemp plant). Study how rules on this industry are already environmental superior with prohibition on light pollution, well water meters, and no allowance of pesticide use. The EIR should document how impacts like light pollution are reduced to less than significant levels with cannabis regs compared to other plants. | Craig Litwin               | Your absolutely correct!   | The majority of vineyards are owned by larger wineries such as Jackson Family Wines, Gallo of Sonoma, Constellation brands and others and are leasing the land for those vineyards. |                               |  |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)    | Answer(s)                          | Answer(s)  |
|-----|--|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 270 | No, it MUST NOT BE THE SAME FOR CANNABIS! Such wine operations are typically located adjacent to other vineyards, not my neighbors' house!   | Denise Drawsky             | wrong.  | TRUE  | Completely agree.   | Yes! Cannabis can lift the bar for other ag with the sustainable way that cannabis is cultivated in the regulated market. | agree  | Absolutely agree.   | Absolutely!! | totally need a level playing field | If we allow the cannabis industry to thrive, with higher standards, the foothold it achieves will help other ag improve best practices over time. If we over regulate cannabis then it stays in the alternative, non regulated market and we do not model the environmental protections. There is a silver lining in that a plant with lower required acreage to other crops (cannabis) could impact many more acres of other ag uses (vineyards). |
| 271 | We should hold every Agricultural industry accountable without double standards toward Cannabis. That includes inspections, violations, punishment, etc.   | Sam De La Paz              | AGREED  |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 272 | AGREED   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 273 | The existing system of local and state permitting, licensing, inspections, enforcement is more than stringent enough to maintain operators in good practices, or identify them for non-renewal of permitting and licensing.  | Lori Pascarella            | If only.  |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 274 | One area of accountability has to do with the consolidation of the cannabis industry and the possibility of operator bankruptcy. Accountability means the County requiring the posting of a \$50k mitigation bond upon issuance of each permit to cover the costs of removing structures, fencing and trash if the operator abandons a site.   | judith olney               | Cannabis cultivators are already required to maintain a bond in addition to liability insurance.                          | Agree   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 275 | The largest complaints about lighting will likely be night lighting -- the County must have a live person available 24/7 who will respond to complaints about night lighting. Two violations and they lose their permit, and their crop.   | Sonia Taylor               | great idea  |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 276 | should be the same as any other ag product   | steven sommer              |   |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 277 | enforcement is already very rigorous. dont make this young industry feel like jews in germany after the RED SCARES.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   | Most of the permits I've seen are ILLCs   | likewise our family has been farming in sonoma county for over 100 years  |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 278 | Mr. De La Paz: if only the county had taken the time and had the foresight to craft a commercial cannabis ordinance that allowed a VERY limited number of "family farmers" to grow in only certain restricted designated areas, we wouldn't be in the mess we are today. Instead we have this scourge of money-hungry industrial-scale pot people spreading over the county like a plague. Many who represent LLCs and monied out-of-town investors. | Ann Storms                 | Not true. I was one of the first permitted and I am a legacy farmer.  |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 279 | There needs to be a specific county phone number and email address for reporting violations  | katherine yates            | And more enforcement.   |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 280 | send the enforcement officers to make sure that the 80 year pothole lawyers and their friends are not violating county codes like the neighbors close to be do.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 281 | The allowance of Compatible and reasonable expansion of Cannabis cultivation in Sonoma County could offset the impacts from other less accountable operations.   | Sam De La Paz              | Or less accountable industries I should say. Cannabis will set new more environmentally and socially equitable standards. | Unfortunately, others do.   | Why throw the baby out with the bath water?? We are not pollutive compared to ANY other crop.   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 282 | I don't agree that we should let this new industry pollute the land, water, environment just because we have violators now in the wine business.   | Denise Drawsky             | I don't pollute the land or environment   | I would love to know how much the county actually collected in fines and what percentage that fine is compared to worth of the product. | Ya right, code enforcement has been borderline extortionate toward Cannabis operations. Coming out with 50x multipliers when all other industries face maximum 10x multipliers. | Not nearly enough. Prefer to work with violators.   | Know your numbers... we would all love that laundry to be aired! | if they would focus on supporting operators they would generate more tax dollars. |              |                                    |  |
| 283 | Code Enforcement needs a larger budget   | Anna Ransome               | They are collecting it with hefty fines and multipliers to non compliant operators  |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |
| 284 | make cannabis farmers life more hell than it is. that will make grampa happy. if we try to appease them we will never get a good ordinance together. CHAPTER 38 was already very rigorous.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |   |   |   |  |   |              |                                    |  |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)          | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|--|--------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 285 | Lighting, noise, smells, etc, authorities are unlikely to respond to all the thousands of complaints. Not enough resources. We can't get sherriff out to many areas in time.<br><br>This plan is unrealistic. There will be no peace for the thousands of rural residents. You are industrializing our rural areas.           | Moira Jacobs               |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 286 | what does anna know about the code enforcement?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 287 | Let's not defer to "two wrongs make a right" land use planning!   | judith olney               | No, but the elephant in the room is that one brings better solutions and receives much negative bias condemning... while others are allowed to run wild  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 288 | I know landlords who are always in code violation, and they just pay the fines and go on violating. The county needs to create more meaningful enforcement. This means the county needs revenue from the growers to fund the extra staffing,  | katherine yates            |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 289 | enforcement is done with no notice at 8am. i have small children and its like a raid by the nazis looking for poor jews.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 290 | STOP DISCRIMINATING against cannabis operators.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson | AGREE!!!!  | Agreed             | Agree. BUT, real violators should be closed down.   | Agree!    | agree     |           |           |           |           |
| 291 | Unsubstantiated complaints by individuals against cannabis cultivators should be treated like the proverbial crying wolf and be met with fines for wasting valuable time and resources of county officials.   | Lori Pascarella            | Agreed   |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 292 | Thank you for deleting disrespectful comments by pro cannabis people. If they want to be taken seriously, they are not helping their cause.   | Richard Rudnansky          |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 293 | i have personal experience with neighbor groups who lie about things to the sherrif to try to get me swatted. does that personal experince matter?  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 294 | Establish reporting system with tracking number, similar to trouble calls with AT&T. Then public can place calls with violations and have a way to follow up.   | Denise Drawsky             |  | agree              |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 295 | Address less accountable industries I say. Cannabis will set new more environmentally and socially equitable standards.   | Sam De La Paz              | agreed   |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 296 | civil disobedience is fair for me too or only the boomers can play that game?   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 297 | the county also needs to be held accountable for enforcing, to ensure the county isn't just taking the fines money and not taking action against a violator.  | katherine yates            |  | yes                | Cannabis earns farmer money, helping keep farm lands in production versus being sold for expensive large housing. It has a very smal footprint compared to vines. |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 298 | Heed the warning of financial experts: "My belief is that tourists come to wine country because it is beautiful. ... If wine country gets crowded and loses its charm – we will be killing the goose that laid the golden egg." Source: EVP Rob McMillan Silicon Valley Bank – Advisor/Lender to the California wine industry | judith olney               | How true!  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 299 | VISUAL: no garbage bins left at the street for days at a time. ALL neighbors. not just cannabis folks   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 300 | VISUAL: dont let the spiteful lawyers post addresses of farmers to make them unsafe.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 301 | MY FARM and PROPERTY is more beautiful than any of these spoiled narcissist old folks around me. i dont see their families much. i dont think they like grandpa and grandma boomer.   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 302 | ill be here   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 303 | VISUAL: no garbage bins left at the street for days at a time. ALL neighbors. not just cannabis folks   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 304 | we use organic practices and have to deal with overspray issue from vineyards   | steven sommer              |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 305 | time for grama to take her afternoon happy pills  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 306 | Thank you for providing this opportunity.   | Kimberly Susukida          | Thank you for joining us Kimberly. You can learn more about the process and future events here:<br><a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> | 8pm heard. Thanks! |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 307 | 8am or pm?  | Craig Litwin               | live answered  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 308 | BJ and SARAH could solve middle east peace. what a show!  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 309 | thanks ladies. always fun.  | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |  |                    |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 11:30 AM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                 | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)         | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|----------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 310 | Thank you for facilitating dialogue amongst us.   | Dr. Natasha Khalouf        | Thank you for joining us again Dr. Khalouf. Don't forget to bookmark our website if you haven't already: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a>                                      | will do, and have |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 311 | thank you   | jim Barnacle               | Thank you Jim for spending your early afternoon with us. Be sure to visit our website to learn more about the process if you haven't already: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 312 | Medicinal value and small farmers are not the norm here in Sonoma County. While there is some medicinal value, most consumers use it for other reasons and we have way more cannabis grown than can be used for meds. As for small farmers, I do believe there are some...good ....hard working people...but most now are LLC and large corps...most have no affiliation with the county...just money.... | rachel Zierdt              |   |                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 313 | consider CHAPTER 38 if you would please crystal   | Kulak Christian Mel Gibson |   |                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 314 | Thank you   | steven sommer              |   |                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 315 | Thank you hosts and all, from ALL perspectives for sharing in considerate civil dialogue!   | Sam De La Paz              |   |                   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| # | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                 | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)                       |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| 1 | a lot of important information:   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |                           |  |  |                                 |
| 2 | <a href="https://www.saveoursonoma.com/">https://www.saveoursonoma.com/</a>   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |                           |  |  |                                 |
| 3 | Will there be a moratorium on new Cannabis permits until the new ordinance is completed?  | Joseph Carbonaro                             |  | The problem is parcels are being subdivided to grow more than one acre per parcel.               | That is a very lengthy process in this county. Is this a confirmed instance or speculative?                           | I will send you an email. | Dr. Natasha - the circumstance I am referring to results in these 11 x 10,000 sq. ft. Across three 11 acre parcels. It is clearly an end around, and there has been no response from the permit department about this. AND these people are proceeding with development in advance of actually receiving the permits. This is in a rural residential zone. | It should not be 300 feet from a private home, home owner garden, in areas where the response from fire or law enforcement is greater than 10 minutes. | RR zone is currently prohibited |
| 4 | TO PERMIT SONOMA: I have yet to hear these listening sessions address the concern regarding an applicant side stepping the EIR process by applying for 11 different permits for smaller plant development size, co-located so that there results 2.75 acres of cannabis which would have necessitated an EIR, which is the case for the Franz Valley Cannabis operation.  | Denise Drawsky                               | The is a one acre cap per parcel.  | Your local farmers do not want to take a back seat in an industry that we created. We refuse!!!! |   |                           |  |  |                                 |
| 5 | If the EIR takes this long, what happens to those already in the pipeline? Will we not lose our license because of the fact that we are stuck as provisionals when the time is up?  | Jamie Ballachino                             | This is a significant issue. Alignment with the state is needed both for regulatory/compliance concerns and most importantly with the licensing timeline |  |   |                           |  |  |                                 |
| 6 | did the BOS say that all the work done on Chapter 38 should be thrown out and disregarded? it was so well done. i propose we start with Chapter 38? we got 38 approved through compromise and tons of public input and then BOS requires a redo for EIR. now the deal we made is being renegotiated all over again with the same lawyers that the county needs to insulate itself from with the EIR. we should start with CHAPTER 38 and work with the current county code and state law. why dont we work together to apply the county code the same with cannabis as hemp. isnt that a good start? and add tasting rooms at dispensaries. andrew and ag dept worked so hard and so did all the public commenters. why is all that productive work thrown out to start over from rock bottom? only lawyers and folks who raise \$20k on gofundme are having fun with this. MY VALUES ARE ANTI-COMMUNIST. is that age-ist? i need to have free speech to defend ourselves from the dishonest opposition. thank you. | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | perfectly legal-ministrial permit. no neighborhood input.  | No, that's not true. Franz Valley was designated as a scenic corridor, and yet we have these 11 plots moving forward. |                           |  |  |                                 |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                              | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) |
|----|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|-----------|
| 7  | Scenic corridors should be protected. When folks build in a scenic corridor, they have strict guidelines that they have to follow. Then, a cannabis farm comes in, removes trees, has white PVC grow sheds, lit at night and well, how is that scenic?   | Victoria De Crescenzo                   | Sounds like you are referring to a illegal grow not a regulated cannabis farm which prohibits cultivation in scenic corridors as well as the removal of trees | vineyards are not screened from public roadways and produce a product that can kill. Cannabis plants growing outside is no different than an orchard   | Ms. Cedar, have you taken a poll to ask if people object to seeing vineyards. | your poll was a push poll  | wine kills?   | Ms. Cedar, you know nothing about our poll. I encourage PRMD to take its own poll. Don't believe either me or you. | Yes, Mr. Harrison, I have lived in Sonoma County long enough to have experienced the same arguments against vineyards (land orthodonture) that are being used against cannabis |           |
| 8  | The vision of most people in Sonoma County is reflected in a poll conducted by SOSN over three years ago in which there was overwhelming agreement (2-1 or 3-1) with this statement:<br><br>All parts of marijuana cultivation operations should be screened from public roadways, including the plants themselves and accessory structures.                     | Craig Harrison                          | agreed and we shouldn't see them from park trails, etc.   |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 9  | If the cannabis ordinance does not comport with what the vast majority want, it's a huge mistake. Please do your own poll if you think this doesn't reflect what residents want.   | Craig Harrison                          |   |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 10 | the ridgetops view need restrictions. setbacks from ridgetops  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself | that is required by state fire safe regulations   |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 11 | cannabis is beautiful and farmers are forced to be regenerative; low water use, no pesticides. cannabis should be allowed to be grown anywhere you can grow grapes, apples, or build houses. yes on hoop houses, no hiding this beautiful plant behind fences.   | Lisa Lai                                | agree   | agree  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 12 | screened from view has to mean not seen, not just hidden by a fence  | Deborah Eppstein                        | agree   |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 13 | Incentivize plants in the ground, sungrown and therefore eligible for applications designation   | Joanna Cedar                            |   | Dr. Natasha, no he is not misinformed. My family personally went thru the permitting process to rebuild 4 homes that were destroyed in the Tubbs fire. It was exhaustive and lengthy, full of restrictions for set backs from riparian streams, etc. over 18 months. | I agree that PRMD has many hoops to jump through                              | I referring to his comment that there is no oversight on cannabis sights. They too go through a very lengthy process | A biological study, hydrogeological Report and often an archeological study. As well as the various regulatory bodies. No other industry undergoes such scrutiny. |  |  |           |
| 14 | We should be protecting our already established scenic corridors. Residential homes go through a long permitting and inspection process to ensure they don't impact scenic easements and scenic corridors, but the cannabis farms seem to have no such oversight.  | Jeff McConathy                          | You are misinformed   | agree  |   |  |   |  |  |           |
| 15 | I value our scenic hillsides, treelines, animals, and ridgetops. I value seeing this from my home and yard. Having a facility pop up 300ft from my home with security fencing, huge greenhouses, parking lots, large driveway, compost/pesticide/trash area takes this scenery away. It is not beautiful and should not be near any neighborhoods with families. | Lauren Marra                            | agree   |  |   |  |   |  |  |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---|--|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 16 | stop building bike trails that bring tents with homeless that no one will get rid of until it closes your business. the county needs to value family farmers more than homeless drug addicts and the neighborhood cranks. that NOT age-ist.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | I agree with Matt  | And despite my best efforts my neighbors continue to be hostile |           |           |           |           |
| 17 | Cannabis operations should blend in with the landscapes. This means no white sheds, concrete, bright lights, etc. these grows look more like manufacturing facilities. If grows looked more natural, there would be much less community push back. Community funds could be used to make sure they blend in to the natural landscape. Perhaps this could come from a cannabis sales tax?  | Janet  | I welcome you to my farm Mike. Where you can see how I have increased the biodiversity.   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 18 | Cannabis plants are different from an orchard. There are odors, safety issues, lighting and visual impacts.   | Joseph Carbonaro                             |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 19 | this area has done well with the money printing economy we have had for the past 50 years. lots of landowners got rich and now the agricultural county is like a country club for stuffy neighbors.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | I agree with Craig, that residue from abandoned sites should be cleaned up. A deposit should be required so there are funds for clean-up if needed |   |           |           |           |           |
| 20 | The growers at 7900 Petaluma Hill Road, Penngrove, cultivated and harvested marijuana in 2018. On March 11, 2019, Permit Sonoma notified the operator that the site is located within designated critical habitat for the endangered California tiger salamander, and the applicants could not get incidental take permits from the federal and state agencies. The applicants withdrew their permit proposal in mid-2019. Yet an unsightly wooden fence that surrounds the 1-acre grow setback 200 feet from Petaluma Hill Road continues to blight the otherwise scenic landscape almost three years later.<br><br>This failure of county officials to protect our scenic landscapes is allowing environmental and aesthetic harm to occur. | Craig Harrison                               | I love that cannabis farmers get to operate under a penalty relief permit, try that if you are a grape grower or a home builder |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 21 | Agriculture in Sonoma County has never looked like agriculture in Santa Barbara or Monterey. It has always been on a smaller scale and will be so with cannabis cultivation   | Joanna Cedar                                 | why do you say this? How do you know that?  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 22 | all the industrialists to chart the path like the old guys did  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | This is an unreasonable comparison with over 60,000 acres of grapes versus 40 acres TOTAL of cannabis.   | What we see now is not what we want to see more of              |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)                                      | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 23 | We need to maintain Sonoma County's bucolic vistas, existing agriculture and not deplete its limited water availability. Currently this includes both undeveloped land, green or golden and tree-dotted hillsides, and fields, parks, as well as vineyards with architecturally designed tasting rooms and grounds, with residential communities interspersed. What we have seen in the 4 years of cannabis cultivation since 2017 is a proliferation of ugly cultivation sites and structures- fences and hoop-houses that are an eyesore, and other newly constructed buildings on prime agricultural land or in native Oak woodlands in RRD to house indoor and greenhouse cannabis cultivation and processing. | Deborah Eppstein                             | Completely agree.                              | Hi Mary! Thank you for joining us again. Sorry you had to miss our Monday presentation. You can find a link to the Monday presentations here: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a><br><br>Also all of the comments will be made public before the Board fo Supervisors meeting the week of 9/13. | Good evening Victoria! We actually had "Water Wednesday" yesterday. You can find all of our water discussions at this link: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a> |           |           |           |           |
| 24 | While we are talking about Visuals now, are we forgetting odor issues?   | Mary Plimpton                                | are we forgetting water???                     |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 25 | Any outdoor cultivation site should only be allowed on a parcel that is large enough that the cultivation site can not be seen from any public roadway.  | Virginia Hair                                |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 26 | The rural character of the county needs to be protected. Rural residential neighborhoods need to be protected from the impacts of Cannabis cultivation.  | Joseph Carbonaro                             |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 27 | SONOMA COUNTY CREST: Agriculture, Industry, Recreation. do you recognize that?   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | No one can see odor...kind of required when iusing the word "vista"   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 28 | Cannabis odor is definitely a big thorn to the scenic vista.   | Deborah Eppstein                             | agree  | Agreed, it wold hurt all tourist industries.  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 29 | If we harm our landscapes, we may harm tourism and ultiamltey bring in less tax revenue.   | Craig Harrison                               | agreed   | There are only cannabis temporary hoop houses now. Others are structural greenhouses and are permanent  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 30 | I see hoop house all over the county with no cannabis inside. it is just a normal part of farming and should be allowed.   | Lisa Lai                                     | agreed   |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 31 | recreation for retired folks who are anti-cannabis is third to AGRICULTURE and INDUSTRY. there is nothing like cannabis for the young enbtrepeneur these days. let the young generation THRIVE. make laws same as state regs.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 32 | as you fly over the county, it is the most beautiful sight that I have seen in any other country. It is a treasure....green, bright. When we come home, we ask ourselves why did we ever leave? I think that hoop houses, unsightly fences, industrial looking structures etc will spoil it all.   | rachel zierdt                                | I agree, it will ruin the beauty of the County |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 33 | i love the smell of cannabis so do most folks i know under the age of 75. thots not age-ist.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |   |   |           |           |           |           |
| 34 | Tax revenues? We are the biggest tax revenue   | Jamie Ballachino                             |  | If there is too much cannabis, the tax resources will fall  |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|--|--|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 35 | tax money and tourism is booming for cannabis  | Lisa Lai                                     | It should be located along highways, like the pumpkin patch next to 101 in Santa Rosa. Or in Industrial / Ag zoning.                               |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 36 | For many reasons the solution is for cannabis cultivation to be only done indoors, in commercial or industrial zones. To reduce energy needed, glass greenhouses are a good choice as they reduce water requirements and take advantage of natural sunlight augmented by artificial lighting to increase yield but with less energy requirements than full indoor cultivation. 100% renewable energy should be employed. | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agree with this. Climate change will require rethinking how we use energy sources.   |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 37 | LEA, DA, LIA.....5 acres and up  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 38 | also M1, M2, M3  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | disagree, we need to look at more than zoning alone. we need to ensure that we preserve neighborhoods, protect our families and children not have commercial facilities built 300 ft from multiple families (cannabis or any other commercial operation) | In these zones new buildings are not allowed to be constructed due to cannabis use as the ordinance currently stands  |  |           |           |           |
| 39 | All Agricultural zoned parcels including AR  | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                          | I agree  |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 40 | Sorry. I am saying that even if cannabis may be beautiful to look at, BUT...it has an aggressive side that cannot be separated from visuals  | Mary Plimpton                                |  |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 41 | It won't interfere with the county's scenic vistas if these facilities are allowed in industrial areas   | Lauren Marra                                 | agree  | A great idea to get them started within our county would be in commercial, industrial zones so they can grow their businesses, have adequate water and power resources, and not harm or affect neighborhoods   | It's much less expense to grow anything in other areas of the US. It's good to go somewhere where what you want to grow is welcomed   | The people of Sonoma county want locally grown cannabis. |           |           |           |
| 42 | Cultivation should be located in other counties. They grow plenty that can be sold here. Anyone who wants to use pot for medical or recreation would be able to do so if not a single commercial plant were grown in Sonoma County.  | Craig Harrison                               | Cannabis has been cultivated in this county for decades. Young people need to enter the farming sector and they are excited to cultivate cannabis. |  |   |  |           |           |           |
| 43 | call me ZE not HE please   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | agree with Joseph.   | Agree with Joseph. Permaculture type of growing is important to keep impacts in a controlled environment  |  |           |           |           |
| 44 | Cultivation should be in warehouses where permit compliance can be monitored, water use, odor, and lighting is not an impact on neighbors.   | Joseph Carbonaro                             | Cannabis is Ag. Bio identical to hemp which is legal to be grown anywhere  | We need to keep our agricultural land for crops that sustain people, not for growing unnecessary recreational drugs  | Cannabis has been scientifically proven to have medicinal value since 1996 with the introduction of proposition 215. Many folks including residents of Sonoma county want locally grown cannabis. |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|--|--|--|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 45 | AG is where it belongs!!!! That's what it is!!   | Jamie Ballachino                             | yup  | Not in residential areas or next to them  | Not in rural residential areas  | There should be at least a 1000ft setback from residential property lines. |           |           |           |
| 46 | Cannabis cultivation should be allowed wherever plants can be grown for the commercial market. This includes RR and AR parcels over 2 acres  | Joanna Cedar                                 | yes  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 47 | zone 1,2,3 water zones   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself | yes, Mike, low water zones should not be where it is grown.        |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 48 | 100 feet from schools  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 49 | It should be allowed where hemp is allowed -- does hemp not look exactly like cannabis?  | Herman G. Hernandez                          | yes it does  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 50 | same setbacks as STATE LAW   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Disagree with Natasha. There are many ways to grow sustainably within a greenhouse/warehouse  | Agree with Deborah, The impacts on larger projects are too intense for agricultural lands   |  |           |           |           |
| 51 | 5. Only in Industrial/Commercial zones for indoor and greenhouse (mixed light) over 10,000 sf<br><br>Outdoor only on large parcels   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Sounds like you don't actually care about the environment Deborah. | yes. Listen to the county staff who researched this extensively in 2016 and made excellent recommendations to the planning commission and the board of supervisors. | disagree, the county needs to focus on how to keep these facilities away from family homes and in locations where businesses can thrive but neighborhoods can remain unaffected.      |  |           |           |           |
| 52 | let's add back RR and AR so small farmers can get back to farming. 2 acre min.   | Lisa Lai                                     | Not in residential areas or next to homes                          | yes   | Yes.  | Agree  |           |           |           |
| 53 | If the grows look like industrial operations, they should be limited to industrial areas. If they can blend in with the agricultural landscape, then it makes sense to be in general ag. | Janet  | agree  | Almost no hemp is grown here because it is an economic loser.   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 54 | set cannabis the same as HEMP.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself | I agree  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 55 | check the talmud. one of the lawyers can help interpret.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 56 | gutzmans farm smell like horse crap and she complains about everything   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 57 | Do the grapes blend in after prohibition ended?  | Jamie Ballachino                             |  | agree   | I agree, The entire setback needs to be eliminated. Using a private residential owners own property as a buffer is unsupportable and ruins the home owners use of their own property. |  |           |           |           |
| 58 | Set backs from residences for Hemp and Cannabis need to be increased from 300 feet.  | Joseph Carbonaro                             | agree  | I agree   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 59 | I am not a fan of this process...but I must say you two have tried very hard to keep us moving along, be chirpy (not a bad thing) and acknowledge and be positive as much as possible.   | rachel zierdt                                | I agree  |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 60 | BOYCOTT KRINGLES CORNER which the gutzmans own if you disagree with their position against cannabis.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Agree, not in RRD   | It should not be allowed to be grown in a parcel that adjoins a RRD area, regardless of how the proposed grow / processing site is zoned  |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|----|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 61 | not in RRD  | Deborah Eppstein                             | strongly disagree.  |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 62 | FREE JAMIE BALLACINO  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | Concur. Ag is Ag.   | Cannabis is not a crop. It is a product   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 63 | Cannabis should not be in our scenic corridors!   | Victoria De Crescenzo                        | then neither should any other outdoor agriculture.  |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 64 | a couple OGs in here now.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 65 | Don't let it grow where the crop or structures can be seen from roads or parks.   | Craig Harrison                               | Agree.  | Truth   | then just dont' grow it- uses lots of natural resources   | there are many ways to grow sustainably within a greenhouse/warehouse that can use less water/resources than just outdoors or in hoop houses  |  |           |           |           |
| 66 | It should NOT be in industrial areas. This just makes the climate crisis worse. Has anybody noticed the climate changing around us?   | Jamie Ballachino                             | Absolutely!   |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 67 | GUTZMANS are anti cannabis. no one should but christmas trees at their buisness next to the luther burbank ceneter for performing arts.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | agree   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 68 | For the last question, cannabis cultivation should be permitted to industrial zones, grown inside to limit light pollution and hoop house structures.   | Michele Parr                                 | agree!  |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 69 | should not be allowed in R1, R2 and R3. open up the other zones include LIA, RR and AR. max lot size should not matter if you can make the state setbacks.  | Lisa Lai                                     |   | vineyards are...and they produce a product that kills and the vineyards dump pesticides into the environment  | I agree with Virginia. These areas should be free of cannabis as they are enjoyed by children and people enjoying the outdoors. |   |  |           |           |           |
| 70 | It should not be near any parks, trails, schools, playgrounds, woodlands, or rural residential houses or communities.   | Virginia Hair                                | Are you not seeing my comments?   |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 71 | GUTZMAN got a violation for an illegal building thet built. but they want to tell everyone else what to do.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | That would be ideal but this entire county appears fire prone minutes coastal Zones.  | Some areas are more fire prone then others and cannabis should be prohibited there.   | I agree that all areas are fire prone...so maybe the answer is a moratorium right now till things get better.   |  |           |           |           |
| 72 | not in fire prone areas   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agreee. It will promnote the pposibility of fires, which blight the landscapes. We've all noticed how bad the landscapes look after wildland fires. |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |
| 73 | as a black tranny the cannabis business has got to be a crazy industry in this county. no representation. BLACK TRANS LIVES MATTER. no joke. i love old people like deborah, stephanie, and pothole lawyer. | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | You can find a map of scenic corridors, etc here: <a href="https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&amp;rct=j&amp;q=&amp;esrc=s&amp;source=web&amp;cd=&amp;ved=2ahUKEwj1xvm75qzyAhWEHDQIHdEaAicQFnoECAQQAQ&amp;url=https%3A%2F%2Fsonomacounty.ca.gov%2FworkArea%2FDownloadAsset.aspx%3Fid%3D2147542644&amp;usg=AOvVaw11EsDha1DCLzexgErmRKHA">https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&amp;rct=j&amp;q=&amp;esrc=s&amp;source=web&amp;cd=&amp;ved=2ahUKEwj1xvm75qzyAhWEHDQIHdEaAicQFnoECAQQAQ&amp;url=https%3A%2F%2Fsonomacounty.ca.gov%2FworkArea%2FDownloadAsset.aspx%3Fid%3D2147542644&amp;usg=AOvVaw11EsDha1DCLzexgErmRKHA</a> | If that link doesn't work let me know and we'll find another way. It's a PDF.   | <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Long-Range-Plans/General-Plan/Open-Space-Scenic-Resource-Areas/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/PRMD/Long-Range-Plans/General-Plan/Open-Space-Scenic-Resource-Areas/</a> | sorry, wrong spot to post. Crystal I will email you as to why this particalr grow has been allowed to increase with not public involvement |           |           |           |
| 74 | maybe scenic corridors should be better defined as to what that includes.   | Victoria De Crescenzo                        | and excludes....  |   |   |   |  |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #  | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)                           | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s)   |
|----|--|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|
| 75 | DA, LEA, LIA 5 acres and up. water zone 1,2,3. setbacks 200 from houses, 100 from property lines, 100 from blue lines. archaeological test and biologic assessment required                              | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 76 | Where do people farm?  | Jamie Ballachino                             |  | agree  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 77 | in greenhouses in industria area, glass, no plastic hoop houses  | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agreed   |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 78 | There should be very few restrictions on small scale outdoor cannabis, treat it the same as hemp Indoor cultivation and ML2 should have the same regulations as other types of manufacturing.            | Joanna Cedar                                 |  | agree  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 79 | They should look like farms  | Jamie Ballachino                             | agree  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 80 | old buildings and new buildings. up to 50% of total area of parcel   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Yes they don't have an ideal climate, we do we can grow successfully under full sun. |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 81 | Santa Barbara is a good example of an awful visual mess. The hoop houses there are horrific.   | Craig Harrison                               | agreed, but they were there before cannabis for cut flowers...SoCo has never had this kind of agriculture                              | agree  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 82 | small outdoor plots (10,000 sf) in the ground, no hoop houses ot meet state requirements for Sonoma Appelation   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Good idea. That way it won't be grown in pots  | can you grow non-stinky varieties?   |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 83 | They would look like vineyards or apple orchards, etc. What we're used to in Sonoma.   | Janet  | yes  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 84 | sanat barb went too big. we all agree w that   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | and from any rural residences  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 85 | Grow sites or processing barns should be in remote areas, a substantial distance from any road or highway(minimum of 2,500 feet from any roadway)  | Joseph Carbonaro                             | I agree except processing should be located in Industrial area where this intense use is usual   |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 86 | chapter 38 was great when it passed planning and was thrown in the wastebasket. but yea...   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 87 | The growers don't want Sonoma Barbara  | Jamie Ballachino                             |  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 88 | sarah is the life of every party i bet   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Agreed   | neighborhood do not want cannabis next door. I would think you would know that by now |                                     |           |           |   |
| 89 | you have two options; huge farms (Santa Barbara) or a craft market. craft market needs to ease restrictions, lower lot sizes, add back AR and RR, keep RRD, allow indoor, outdoor, hoops and greenhouse. | Lisa Lai                                     | truth  |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 90 | ag lands with same as all other ag crops and STATE LAW   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Agree and night lighting, locked gates, drones and guard dogs                        | plus 24 hr. lighting and security, this is NOT how I view my Sonoma County            |                                     |           |           |   |
| 91 | Cannabis grows do not look like vineyard or orchards. Any grows, indoors or outdoors that require a razor wire topped fence do not belong in a residential area.   | Joann Binder                                 | agree!   |  |   |                                     |           |           |   |
| 92 | looking at the scenic map, our local grow is within the scenic corridor-how do we address this?  | Victoria De Crescenzo                        | I'm sorry we can't help with that tonight! But, please email Cannabis@sonoma-county.org and they can point you in the right direction. | agree  | agree   | Plants belong outside under the sun | agree     | agree     | permaculture type indoor ag is importand for the future with climate change |
|    |  |  |  |  |   |                                     |           |           | Industrial area only !  |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                              | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|---|--|---|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 93  | In industrial zones where all of the problems associated with cultivation would be mitigated by adequate resources to control water usage, odor, light, fire safety, noise, pollution, and crime.                  | Virginia Hair                           | agree  | more is grown in all of CA than si used in CA       | Cannabis is part of a burgeoning industry that creates direct and indirect economic growth  | It was illegal in the past is the only reason it was here             |           |           |           |
| 94  | Other than to satisfy the financial interest of growers, no one has explained why it needs to be grown in Sonoma County at all. There's plenty of it grown in other counties.                                      | Craig Harrison                          | Cannabis has a long standing history being grown in Sonoma county.   |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 95  | social engineering made possible by the last generations. we used to be free when they were young and draining creeks into their private lakes. not we have the strong arm of cranky nieghbors. THAT ISNT AGE-ist. | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 96  | I felt I was looking at an armed camp or prison when I saw a grow...huge fence, a camera watching me and barking dogs..this was certainly not successful. And the smell was awful.                                 | rachel zierdt                           | Crime is a real concern for neighbors who may adjoin cannabis operations. Criminals look for ways to get into a opertion and most residential homes do not have high fences, locked gates, drone security etc. |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 97  | not on ridgetops, not in scenic corridor, stop with bike paths, jeez   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 98  | Not from park trails.  | Craig Harrison                          |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 99  | it would be so ironic if one day all the cannabis operators would make the next generation's exciting industries impossible to manage. wow, that would be ironic would it not? not age-ist.                        | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 100 | Screening is not required for hemp so do not require it for cannabis. It is the same plant.  | Joanna Cedar                            | This is 100% Accurate  | agree. 1000ft setback from property lines is a must |   |   |           |           |           |
| 101 | if outdoor, only on very large parcels where it cannot seen or smelled by neighbors. Screening should be by natural topography   | Deborah Eppstein                        | yes with at least 1000ft setback from residential property lines   |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 102 | during the succesful chapter 38 deliberating process we came up with some good ideas? should we review or?????   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 103 | shrubs instead of fences   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  | Probably should ban hemp.                           | Yes let's ban options that provide potential for booming sustainable industries for our next generations and continue the nonsense that has perpetuated us into the problems of today ☹ | People can't eat cannabis and that is what we will need in the future |           |           |           |
| 104 | The need for screening will go away. Screening is over. There's no way they can win this. We can use hemp to screen the cannabis by law.   | Jamie Ballachino                        | Yes with the same odor as cannabis   |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 105 | fences are not the answer, natural topography and distance   | Deborah Eppstein                        | Agree  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 106 | required blocking hedge plants need to provid 68% blockage within 5 years. thats the best idea.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |  |   |   |   |           |           |           |
| 107 | Separation between hemp and the surrounding area is not required, it should not be required for cannabis. It is the same plant.  | Joanna Cedar                            | Concur   |   |   |   |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|-----------|-----------|
| 108 | i think ill screen with HEMP   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | beautiful   | I have a neighbor who is a bee keeper who is concerned about cannabis. The pollen is not the same as what pollinators need  | Vi- Cannabis has been around long before humans criminalized it 100 years ago and prohibited its cultivation. The bees were better off then and have an innate intelligence |  |           |           |
| 109 | Successful Cannabis farms are creating diversity through the introduction of cannabis and other beneficial plants that invite in pollinators such as bees, hummingbirds and butterflies this overall increasing biodiversity.<br><br>On my own parcel I have planted over 40 trees including 33 of the native redwoods<br><br>I also have screened with annuals such as corn and sunflowers. | Dr. Natasha Khalouf                          | Agreed, farms like Dr. Natasha's are examples of wholistic integrated approaches  |   |   |   |  |           |           |
| 110 | and i will plant my 6 personal plants 15 feet tall and position them as close as i can to whoever i want   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |   |   |  |           |           |
| 111 | if neighbors want to have no cannabis and then they can live in a non-ag zone  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |   |   |  |           |           |
| 112 | my land cost a ton for the rights to farm. who is anyone to restrict CANNABIS when HEMP has state regs and doesnt need to beg for lawyers to accept.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | Yes   | Seeing greenery of any kind has been proven to be therapeutic and reduce cortisol (primary stress hormone) in the body.   | Disagree. Plants and crops that provide food for people are beautiful and necessary. Cannabis is not necessary  |  |           |           |
| 113 | I don't want separation. cannabis farmers are regenerative and the plants are beautiful. no screening  | Lisa Lai                                     | Yes!!!  |   |   |   |  |           |           |
| 114 | the lawyers that are against cannabis have raised tens of thousands on their gofundme. take a look. they have an incentive to prolong this and make this suck.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | crime is due to the unregulated market  | According to a law suit just filed in Franz Valley, growers there have been broken into numerous times. How do you protect it without fences? Just shoot the thieves? | Joanna is complete right. Law abiding operators are not the problem. It's the illicit market that bolsters crime.   | Security cameras can be hidden out of sight. Lights will come on nobody bothers. Think about the dangers of the pesticides that the grape growers need to use. We are clean- by law. We don't even have a choice. Your grapes cause sickness in your older folks. Professional thieves don't steal from legal farms. Only amateurs. Why do so many of our older folks a have cancer? What's really dangerous here? |           |           |
| 115 | what about crime?  | Deborah Eppstein                             | Hi Deborah - You can find a link to the tuesday sessions on Safety in the yellow box here: <a href="https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/">https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Cannabis/Comprehensive-Cannabis-Program-Update-and-Environmental-Impact-Report/</a><br><br>Thank you for joining us again! | Hemp is still governed by the requirements of governmental ordinances by the Bay Area Air Quality District, just like any other agricultural product. |   |   |  |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 116 | Hemp puts off the same odor as cannabis, so they should be included in the same cannabis ordinance requirements.  | Joseph Carbonaro                             | Hemp is protected under the right to farm act.   | Correct  | We read the PD, we know the crime that happens re cannabis, including murders of locals |           |           |           |           |
| 117 | the sherrif said on these session that there is virtually no crime at licensed ops. JASON LUCAS   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself | truth  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 118 | too bad for joseph that help is a state ag crop. good luck buddy boy.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 119 | HEMP is a state ag crop. JOSEPH cant do anything about it.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 120 | the staff really has done an excellent job all week. couple this with the ORIGINAL chapter 38 without compromise and get the EIR. add tasting rooms at dispensaries full time and farms with special license. ALL MINISTERIAL | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself | Agreed!  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 121 | allow signs   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | Agree, Billboard are visual blight at the very least |   |           |           |           |           |
| 122 | dispensaries only in commercial areas. No bill boards   | Deborah Eppstein                             | agree  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 123 | signs are everywhere else. there are billboards with kissing people half dresses. sometimes cis and sometime trans and homo. whay cant we have cannabis signs? how are these businesses supposed to THRIVE.                   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 124 | put it all in industrial and commercial areas   | Jake D                                       |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 125 | For any of the operations in commercial or industrial zoned areas, no one expects beauty. Tourists and residents don't go to such sites for the views.  | Craig Harrison                               | Agree  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 126 | no clusters. that is social engineering and has its roots in marxism  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 127 | I want to see billboards and advertising out front. design is part of branding and should be left up to the owners. tasting rooms in towns and farms  | Lisa Lai                                     | treat signage the same as for winery tasting rooms   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 128 | Change the definition of dispensary to include Buds and Breakfasts  | Joanna Cedar                                 |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 129 | no on site tasting, that is a danger for driving  | Deborah Eppstein                             | agree  | Agree  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 130 | No lighting should be allowed at an outdoor grow site or greenhouse from dusk to dawn and no hoop houses should ever be allowed.  | Virginia Hair                                | Agree. Dark skies are important for residential use and wildlife   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 131 | treat cannabis like all other ag. treat manufacturing same as all manufacturing. SIMPLE.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 132 | chapter 38.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 133 | i would love a billboard w a kissing black trans couple smoking cannabis produced organically in sonoma county. love your neighbor.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 134 | one can monitor DUI for alcohol, not for cannabis   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agree. There needs to be a way for law enforcement to determine when someone is impaired and dangerous and can get them off the road | Agreed! Grant them the same privilege                |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|--|---|---|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 135 | If they looked like wineries, that would be fantastic.   | Janet  | Agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 136 | No tasting rooms at grow sites, particularly in rural locations. Tasting rooms in town, in commercial and industrial zones.  | Michele Parr                                 | Agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 137 | allow freedom is best idea   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 138 | tasting rooms at LC limited commercial   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 139 | public consuming allowed   | Lisa Lai                                     |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 140 | treat it the same as a BAR with a bar license  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 141 | Public consumption lounges!!   | Joanna Cedar                                 |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 142 | they are only available to dispensaries as they will all be retail and require the same county building and traffic regs   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 143 | no on site consumption unless at a hotel when guests spent the night   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agree, We already have so much highway deaths from Drunk drivers. Don't add to it |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 144 | priorities would be less government control and regulation   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 145 | Are you talking storefront or lounge?  | Victoria De Crescenzo                        |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 146 | GUTZMAN horse farm 1750 barlow lane. come take a smell. its utterly ridiculous she keeps emailing.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 147 | We would like not to see or smell it in rural residential areas.   | Joseph Carbonaro                             |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 148 | labs should look like labs in industrial areas   | Deborah Eppstein                             | Agree   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 149 | They should run the gamut from modern contemporary to apothecary   | Thomas Rosengren                             |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 150 | GUTZMAN own KRINGLES KORNER christmas tree and PUMPKIN patch next to LBC center for arts on the 101. BOYCOTT these people.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | But wine is okay? Alcohol has significantly greater dangers associated with its consumption                         | It is an essential medicine. Alcohol has no value comparatively and there are vineyards everywhere. You are totally biased | Listen to what people think and call someone biased for expressing their opinion. Not everyone does drugs and drinks. |           |           |           |           |
| 151 | Cannabis is a drug, it is not a crop. No on site tasting or events should ever be allowed at a grow site, processing plant, or dispensary.                           | Virginia Hair                                | marriage is between a man and a woman right? you are intolerant.                  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 152 | i dont want to smell gutzmanns stinky horse farm   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 153 | For dispensaries, landscaping, signage like any other storefront.  | Joann Binder                                 |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 154 | I feel like the business owners next to where these dispensaries operate would be a better audience for this. Perhaps that will be in a future round of questioning. | Jeff McConathy                               |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 155 | As a parent I would want the dispensaries to be clearly labeled, and ideally co located with other dispensaries so I can more easily avoid the area.                 | Janet  |   | Education and cultivating compliant experiences that allow community members to gather their own data is paramount. |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 156 | I think if there were consumption allowed on premises, that would go a long way to building a bond with the community and getting the look and feel right.           | Janet  | Concur  |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |
| 157 | why do parents send their 9 year old daughters out to protest and get involved with politics? its pathetic   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |   |  |   |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                              | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|---|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 158 | no night lighting that can be seen from neighbors. No grow lights that can be seen outside the structure. For limited outdoor cultivation, only on large parcels where lighting will not reach neighbors, and yes, downward facing | Deborah Eppstein                        | agree   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 159 | Also for dispensaries, provisions for security that do not look overbearing  | Joann Binder                            |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 160 | lighting should have mix light tier programs like what andrew put in the CHAPTER 38  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 161 | current rules are fine   | Lisa Lai                                |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 162 | industrial areas should be well lit  | Deborah Eppstein                        | industrial areas are perfect for this   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 163 | low wattage for low tax rate.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 164 | light leak is already not allowed.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 165 | Security lighting for outdoor grows put off a large amount of nighttime light pollution. It's like living next to a high school football stadium. It is very inappropriate near a rural residential area.                          | Joseph Carbonaro                        | yes yes yes   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 166 | In rural landscapes, no lighting. We live in the country, I don't want lighting in the middle of the night!  | Victoria De Crescenzo                   | Agree   | yeah      |           |           |           |           |           |
| 167 | Ask yourselves why not in Marin County or Napa County....what do they know that we don't?  | Forest Houtz                            | agree   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 168 | No lighting is acceptable in rural residential communities view sheds or in scenic corridors.  | Jeff McConathy                          | agree   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 169 | county enforcement is all over this. there cannot be light leak  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 170 | save us some time and have lunch w andrew and scott and some of the stake holders that spent so much time and effort on the planning APPROVED CHAPTER 38   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 171 | Soft white lighting is fine at night. But nothing too bright or upward facing.   | Janet                                   | Rural areas don't have street lights, we have stars and we want to be able to see them, NOT security lights |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 172 | lights needed and allowed if permitted elec  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   | Exactly   |           |           |           |           |           |
| 173 | did everyone forget that outdoor plants need dark to flower? we don't want lights at night either  | Lisa Lai                                | good job  |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 174 | let the lawyers tell us the kelvin tem allowed and it ill be amazing   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 175 | elec and fans allowed in hoops   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 176 | hoops year round   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirself |   |           |           |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)  | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s)   | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|
| 177 | enforcement is already stronger than the communist red guard against the poor christian czar and his beautiful family before the bolsheviks beat the white movement and turned russia communist for almost 100 years. in 100 years almost 100 million folks (mostly christian farmers) were killed. why do we want to build a BULLY cannabis police force. treat farmers like human beings. 8am surprise raids for A operators is wrong | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 178 | Public comments must be part of the design review process   | Jeff McConathy                               | agree  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 179 | surprise visits   | Deborah Eppstein                             |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 180 | Just a reminder that permitting and compliance enforcement are two sides of the same coin. We need a robust program to have enough permit fees to afford the staff to monitor   | Joanna Cedar                                 |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 181 | Right now they are on pentaly relief prgram, which means they can mess up and the county will walk them through the "fix". Really? It's a free pass.  | Victoria De Crescenzo                        |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 182 | same answer as before. assume legal cannabis growers are professional. enforcement through education  | Lisa Lai                                     | Brilliant!   |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 183 | no neighbors will be allowed on my parcel ever. and MINISTERIAL is the only way to deactivate the communist agenda.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  | absolutely   |   |           |   |           |           |
| 184 | Successful accountability starts with severe penalties for non-compliance, and closing down operations that have repeated compliance violations, and excluding sites that have repeated compliance violations from future cannabis permits.   | Joseph Carbonaro                             | Agree  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 185 | A strikes policy to combat repeat offenses and offenders.   | Janet  |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 186 | HELP the PRP folks with priority. FREE JAMIE BALLACINO  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 187 | Set up a committee that is made up of both members of the local regulatory bodies, exemplary cannabis operators and community members are all able to tour and get familiar with project progress and compliance  | Thomas Rosengren                             | Great idea!  | Grpae growers do not have penalty relief!                                    | Grape growers also do not pay cultivation taxes !   | Agree     | Grape growers pay Pierces repot taxes, production taxes, selling taxes, and a lot of other taxes. |           |           |
| 188 | Treat cannabis farmers the same way other farmers are treated by code enforcement   | Joanna Cedar                                 | Yup  | Code enforcement is already penalizing folks with hefty fees and multipliers | Great. Where can the public find this information so we can know which of the growers are playing by the rules and which are not? |           |   |           |           |
| 189 | We need funds for more regular and comprehensive code enforcement. We need the results of inspections and follow-up actions available to the public.  | Joann Binder                                 | Exactly, taxes of the industry should go to funding this |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 190 | people have trusted this system since 2016. it is funner now with BJ and Sarah but its so clear that the lawyers and cannabis oppsers win by this torturously broken county system.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |
| 191 | do BJ and Sarah have youtube or tiktok?   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |  |  |   |           |   |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question  | Asker Name                                   | Answer(s)   | Answer(s)  | Answer(s) | Answer(s)                                      | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) | Answer(s) |
|-----|---|--|---|--|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 192 | enforcement is too militarian   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 193 | strict code enforcement   | Forest Houtz                                 | agree   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 194 | GUTZMAN runs a stinky horse farm. por horses  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 195 | gutzman got a CWOP last month for illegal building  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 196 | GUTZMANS GLASS HOUSE  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 197 | Good operations are not scarred of compliance. They invite it.  | Thomas Rosengren                             | agree   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 198 | give harrison and these people something else to do with hteir time and gofundme donations. deactivate them. take them out of it.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | with all the problems there MUST be a moritorium | thank you |  |           |           |           |           |
| 199 | How will current projects and applications in process be affected by the County's cannabis policy re-work? Will all applications be put on hold - both ministerial and commercial permit applications? Until 2024 and Board decision? | Michele Parr                                 | Hi Michele - I'm sorry we can't answer that question tonight, but, we welcome you to email it to us: Cannabis@sonoma-county.org<br><br>Thanks for joining us! |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 200 | MINISTERIAL   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | agree  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 201 | Same comments- no indoor or hoop houses on Ag or RRD; only indoor and greenhouses in industrial parks, and only small outdoor on large parcels away form views and neighbors- sweet and simple.                                       | Deborah Eppstein                             | I agree with Deborah  |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 202 | too bad michelle stop everything on hold. good luck authoritarians. cannabis farms in good standing are NOT intimidated by your manipulations.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 203 | jefferey epstein was friends with all the succesful boomer generation. isn't that weird? i love old folks especially when they are so mean spirited.  | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   | agree  | agree     | one of them is okay, but dozens of them is not |           |           |           |           |
| 204 | I am deeply concerned about the visual impact of cannabis operations on our Sonoma County scenic landscape. Hoop houses are a travesty.   | gail cafferata                               | agree, also outdoor grows   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 205 | Restrict growth to industrial/commercial areas, none in agricultural/rural lands!   | gail cafferata                               | This is impractical. Cannabis belongs in Ag   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 206 | look and feel right by getting high. There are other more healthy wasy to look and feel right   | Vi Strain                                    | Sounds like you have a cultural bias and prejudice  |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 207 | craig harrison is part of the opposition group who tries to post everyones cannabis addresses. send him a letter about how you feel about him. 4953 Sonoma Mountain Rd. Santa Rosa Ca   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 208 | keep our familes safe from washed up old pothole lawyers and mean spirited old folks who lie. thanks.   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |
| 209 | great work everyone   | mike jones PRONOUNS: ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |   |  |           |  |           |           |           |           |

Cannabis Visioning Session - Visual Considerations - August 12, 2021 - 5:30 PM - 7:00 PM

| #   | Question   | Asker Name                                      | Answer(s) |
|-----|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 210 | This visioning process has been biased in favor of the industry by requesting "positive" comments, that is, comments in favor of the cannabis industry taking over rural lands to grow a hazardous substance with an offensive odor. | gail cafferata                                  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 211 | Thank you,great presentations and lots of work   | MaryAnn Ciavonne                                |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| 212 | id compare everything we accomplished this week for CHAPTER 38. i enjoyed all of staff's reports. very well done. props to all of you ladies.  | mike jones PRONOUNS:<br>ze/zim/zir/zirs/zirself |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |